

Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research

Volume 15, Issue 4, Page 303-314, 2024; Article no.AJACR.126243 ISSN: 2582-0273

Assessment of Water Quality in Pangani, Kayole and Dandora Boreholes in Nairobi County, Kenya

Fardowsa Abdullahi Roble ^a, Amir Okeyo Yusuf ^{a*}, Godfrey Angoe Wafula ^a and Farida Hussein Were ^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197-00100, Nairobi, Kenya.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author FAR performed the laboratory analyses and the statistical interpretation of the studies. Author AOY wrote the first and final draft of the manuscript while author FHW peer reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajacr/2024/v15i4313

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126243

Original Research Article

Received: 07/09/2024 Accepted: 09/11/2024 Published: 14/11/2024

ABSTRACT

This study was done to assess the physical-chemical properties of some boreholes in Pangani, Dandora and Kayole in Nairobi County, to assess the content of some heavy metals in some boreholes in Pangani, Dandora and Kayole and to determine the bacteriological quality of the water. These were checked for compliance as per the World Health Organization's guideline values. Borehole water samples were collected from Dandora, Kayole and Pangani. Water parameters determined included electrical conductivity, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, pH, fluoride, chloride, ammonia, nitrate, *Escherichia-coli*, total count and analysis of some selected heavy metal ions. The measurements of pH, fluoride, and electrical conductivity were made by an

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: ayusuf@uonbi.ac.ke;

Cite as: Roble, Fardowsa Abdullahi, Amir Okeyo Yusuf, Godfrey Angoe Wafula, and Farida Hussein Were. 2024. "Assessment of Water Quality in Pangani, Kayole and Dandora Boreholes in Nairobi County, Kenya". Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research 15 (4):303-14. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajacr/2024/v15i4313.

ion-selective electrode. The determinations of total dissolved solids and total suspended solids were made by the gravimetric method. The titration method was used to quantify chloride, while ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer was used to measure ammonia and nitrate. *E. coli* and total counts were ascertained by the biological method. Heavy metals were measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometer. All boreholes met the guideline values of WHO with regard to pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, chloride and ammonia. Total suspended solids were found to be above the recommended limits of WHO. Nitrate values in all the borehole conformed to the WHO guidelines. *Escherichia coli* conformed to WHO guideline except at Pangani Borehole 2. Total coliforms did not conform to the set WHO limit values. The concentration of zinc conformed with the set limit values except that of Pangani Borehole 1, Pangani Borehole 2 and Pangani Borehole 3. Concentrations of lead and cadmium in all the boreholes did not conform to the WHO guideline values. It is recommended that the boreholes at Dandora, Kayole and Pangani should not be used for drinking by humans since these heavy metal ions found in the boreholes have a detrimental effect on human health.

Keywords: Physical-chemical; boreholes; heavy metals; bacteriological.

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is vital in sustaining life in humans and other living organisms. Water as a universal solvent helps the cells to transport oxygen and other nutrients needed by the body in humans. Many chemical reactions in the body requires the presence of water in the building of larger molecules. The water for use by humans should meet certain standards as prescribed by various organizations like the World Health Organization [1]. The rapidly increasing population has put a lot of strain on governments to satisfy the demand for clean water. The increased population in most urban centres in Kenya has not been in tandem with infrastructural development. This therefore has put a strain on water availability to the population. This therefore necessitated service providers in the water sector to look for alternative sources of water in the ground. This has made the sinking of more boreholes the preferred choice in taking water to more people [2].

According to Albert et al. [3], many countries across the globe are facing water shortages due to changes in climate and population growth. Water generally is not evenly distributed around the globe to satisfy the ever-increasing demand [4]. According to the World Health Organization guidelines, water suitable for drinking should not possess significant contaminants that can endanger the human health. The most susceptible groups to waterborne illness include young children and the elderly.

Research conducted by Bremmer et al. [5] showed that about 43% of Kenyans lack access

to clean and safe drinking water. In the rural areas of Kenya and in some of the urban informal settlements, water scarcity has been experienced due to insufficient investment in water distribution. As a result, many of these people are affected by water-borne diseases. There is a substantial rural-urban disparity in terms of the availability of safe water. A report published by Marshall [6] showed that almost 85% of urban settlers globally have no access to clean water. In a study done by Ahmed et al [7], they found that underground water in Basrah, Iraq was of low quality in some parameters. In a study undertaken by Boadi et al in Kumasi, Ghana they found that 85% of the water samples were contaminated with both total and faecal coliforms, which made them unsuitable for drinking [8].

It has been found from studies done that some sub-Counties in Nairobi County have experienced an acute water shortage which has made many households to rely on underground water sources (boreholes). This has helped to supplement the city's insufficient water supply as studied by Ochungo et al. [9]. In a past study done by Nkonge [10], he found that fluoride, iron and manganese were above the WHO recommended limit. In another study by Kiplagat et al. [11], it was found that arsenic level in 16% of the boreholes in the central region were found to be above the World Health Organization's guideline value. In another study by Charity et al., they did work on some physical-chemical and heavy metal analysis of borehole waters in a mining community [12].

Assessment of the quality of water in these sub-Counties will therefore assist in mapping out the affected areas which will lead to setting out mitigation steps by the Nairobi County Government. Hence, there was a knowledge gap in relevant studies to ascertain the level of pollutants in the underground water.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Sampling Points

The research was carried out in three regions of Nairobi County (Fig. 1). The areas were located at Dandora (longitude E 36°53'30.1434" and latitude S 1°15'26.38692"), Pangani (longitude E 36°50'22.5006" and latitude S 1°16'4.5858") and Kayole (longitude E 36°54'19.62936" and latitude S 1°16'48.93888"). Water samples were collected in December 2021 from boreholes in Dandora, Kayole and Pangani. The sampling sites are shown in Fig. 1. The mapping of the various sites was done using Global Positioning System (GPS) to determine their spatial location and later used for GIS evaluation. Groundwater sampling was carried out in accordance with the groundwater sampling guidelines [13]. Water samples were collected from designated boreholes in triplicate using sterilized plastic bottles. The collected samples were transported in controlled conditions in cooler boxes to Chiromo Campus. These were stored in appropriate conditions while awaiting analysis. The depth of the boreholes at Dandora, Pangani, and Kayole ranged from 200-400 m [14].

2.2 Coordinates of the Sampling Points in Nairobi County

Table 1 shows the various sampling points and their longitudes and latitudes.



Fig. 1. Location of the sampling points in Nairobi County

Table 1.	. Sampling	points wit	h the corre	esponding	GPS	coordinates
----------	------------	------------	-------------	-----------	-----	-------------

Sampling point	Longitude	Latitude	
Dandora	E 36°53'30.1434"	S 1°15'26.38692"	
Kayole	E 36°54'19.62936"	S 1°16'48.93888"	
Pangani	E 36°50'22.5006"	S 1°16'4.5858"	

2.3 Chemicals Used in Analysis

Potassium chromate, sodium chloride, silver nitrate, Nessler's reagent, sodium hydroxide, borate buffer, hydrochloric acid, ammonium chloride, phenol sulphonic acid, potassium nitrate, ammonium hydroxide, nitric acid, CTDA (trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane N, N, N', N'tetraacetic acid), sodium fluoride and glacial acetic acid were used in the analysis of water samples.

2.4 Apparatus and Equipment Used for Analysis

Atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS-6300, Shimadzu, Japan), EC/ pH /TDS multimeter (model 15, Fisher Scientific), ultravioletvisible spectrophotometer (UV-1700, Shimadzu, Japan), pH meter (model MI306), fluoride ionselective electrode (SN. X28312), analytical balance (No.C12970), hot plate, burettes, beakers, volumetric flasks, conical flasks and no 4 filter paper.

2.5 Collection and Storage of Samples

In sampling water from each borehole, three 1litre plastic bottles were first cleaned with soap and thereafter sterilized with distilled water. The plastic bottles were then filled to the brim and caped. The samples were then placed in a cooler box with ice for immediate delivery to the Department of chemistry laboratory, University of Nairobi. The pH was determined on site. Sample for ammoniacal nitrogen was preserved below pH 2 by addition of concentrated sulphuric acid. For metals: Samples were acidified to below pH 2 with concentrated nitric acid. Samples for bacteriological analyses were stored at a temperature below 4°C and in the dark. The biological analysis was done as soon as possible, preferably within 48 hours. The samples were analysed in triplicate and the mean and standard deviation worked out.

2.6 Physical-chemical Parameters Determination

The physical-chemical parameters studied included total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, electrical conductivity, pH, fluoride, chloride, ammonia and nitrate.

2.6.1 Total dissolved solids (TDS)

Total dissolved solids determination was done through the gravimetric method. The beakers were cleaned and dried for one-hour at 105 °C before being placed in a desiccator to cool slowly before taking the weight of the cooled beakers. The process of drying was done three times until a constant weight of the coded beaker was achieved. Using a measuring cylinder, 100 ml of filtered sample was placed in the coded beaker, dried for 2 hours in an oven at 105°C to ensure all the water evaporated and then placed in a desiccator to cool. An analytical balance was used to weigh the beakers and the processes of drying were repeated to ensure a constant weight was achieved before determining the weight difference. The procedure was repeated for all water samples [15].

2.6.2 Total suspended solids (TSS)

A well-mixed sample (100 ml) was filtered through a weighted standard glass fiber filter and the residue retained on the filter was dried to a constant weight at 103-105°C. The increase in weight of the filter represented the total suspended solids. This was then converted to mg/L.

2.6.3 Determination of electrical conductivity and pH

At room temperature (25°C), the EC and pH meters were calibrated using different calibration standards and verified using known concentrations of solutions. In the calibration of pH, a Buffer solution of pH 4 and 10 were used. First the electrode was placed in the pH 10 buffer solution. After approximately one minute the measurement became stable. The electrode was then rinsed with deionized water. The electrode was then placed in the pH 4 buffer solution. These steps were then repeated until a reliable measurement was obtained. 20 mL of the borehole water was placed in a beaker and the pH electrode dipped into the sample. The reading was then taken.

In the electrical conductivity measurement calibration, the electrode was first placed in the 0.001 M KCl conductivity buffer solution. This buffer solution gave a conductivity value of 146.9 μ S/cm. After approximately one minute the measurement was stable. This step was repeated until a reliable measurement was obtained. 20 mL of the borehole water was placed in a beaker and the electrode placed in the sample. The reading was then taken. The pH/EC meter used was Hanna model 08655183.

2.6.4 Determination of fluoride

2.6.4.1 Apparatus

An ion selective electrode for fluoride ion (Thermo Scientific-Orion Star Series) coupled with a reference electrode Ag/AgCl was used for fluoride measurements.

2.6.4.2 Chemicals, reagents and materials

Trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane N,N,N',N'tetraacetic acid (CTDA), sodium chloride, sodium fluoride, sodium hydroxide and glacial acetic acid were of analytical reagent (AR) grade and were purchased from Merck (Germany).

2.6.4.3 Sampling

Water from each of the sampling points in triplicate for boreholes were collected from selected areas of Nairobi County. These were then stored in a cooler box then transported to the Department of Chemistry laboratory. The samples were stored in plastic bottles made of polyethylene terephthalate [16].

2.6.4.4 Fluoride standards and analysis

A series of fluoride standards ranging from 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 5.0 mg/L were prepared. These standards were prepared using sodium fluoride in deionized water. Total ionic strength adjusting buffer II (TISAB II) was prepared by mixing 4 g CDTA, 57 mL glacial acetic acid and 58 g NaCl in about 500 mL deionized water. The pH was adjusted to 5-5.5 by adding a few drops of 5M NaOH (200 g/L) and then this was diluted to 1 Litre. This range of concentrations ensured that fluoride ion the ion meter was properly calibrated for the quantitative determination of fluoride in water samples.

2.6.4.5 Fluoride determination

A sample bottle was taken and 10 mL of the sample was taken and mixed with 10 mL of TISAB II; after that, fluoride concentrations of all the samples were determined in duplicate using fluoride ion selective electrode.

2.6.5 Determination of chloride

The chloride solution containing chromate was titrated with silver nitrate. Silver chromate precipitated and at the end point, red silver chromate was formed. The following reagents were made to determine chloride concentration:

2.6.5.1 Preparation of 0.25 M potassium chromate solution

1.0 g of K_2CrO_4 was placed in a 20-mL volumetric flask. Distilled water was then added with shaking and the volume made to the mark. This then gave 5% potassium chromate solution.

2.6.5.2 Preparation of 0.01 M sodium chloride

0.5843 g of NaCl was taken and placed in a 100ml volumetric flask. That was followed by topping up of solution with deionized water with shaking to the mark [17].

2.6.5.3 Standardization of AgNO₃ solution with 0.1M NaCl

16.987g of silver nitrate was put into a 500-ml volumetric flask. Deionized water was then added with shaking to the mark. Titration of 10 ml of sodium chloride solution with silver nitrate was done using a chromate indicator until the end point (red color). The process of titration was continued four times to ensure precision was achieved and the average titer was calculated.

2.6.5.4 Chloride concentration determination

25 mL of the sample was taken and placed into a porcelain dish. 1 mL of 0.25 M potassium chromate (5% potassium chromate) was then added into the dish and titrated with standard silver nitrate solution until the slightest reddish colour (due to the excess formation of silver chromate) appeared [17].

2.6.6 Ammonia

The following reagents were prepared to determine the ammonia concentration in the borehole water samples: Nessler's reagent: 100 g Hgl and 70 g KI were placed in a 1000-ml volume flask, and a small volume of distilled water was added to dissolve the solutes. A chilled solution of 160 g of NaOH diluted in 500 ml of filtered water in a 1-liter volumetric flask was progressively added to this. This was then diluted with distilled water to a liter and stored away from sunlight.

Borate Buffer: A measured volume of 0.1M sodium hydroxide solution (88 ml) was added to 0.5 liters of 0.025 M sodium tetraborate

heptahydrate in a 1-liter volumetric flask (borate was made by weighing 9.5g of $Na_2B_4O_7$. $10H_2O$ dissolved and diluted with distilled water in a 1-litre volumetric flask and made up to the mark).

2.6.6.1 Preparation of the sample

25 mL of distilled water was added to volumetric flask containing boiling chips (previously treated with diluted NaOH). After adjusting the pH to 9.5 with 0.1M NaOH. A few drops of 1.25M borate buffer was added, followed by 15 ml of distilled water for a period of 2 to 3 minutes, and finally 2.5 ml of 1M HCI was added. Because of the hydrochloric acid solution level, the condenser tip was extended. After diluting the distillate to 25 mL with distilled water, an aliquot was Nesslerized to estimate the concentration of ammonia in the sample.

2.6.6.2 Ammonium chloride stock solution preparation

2.9871 g of NH_4 Cl was dissolved in distilled water and topped up to the mark in a 1-liter volumetric flask to create NH_4^+ . Working standards were made with Nessler's reagent and serial dilutions were done. The absorbance data for the standards were measured. The samples were analyzed using a 425-nanometer ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer.

2.6.7 Nitrate

The phenol-sulfonic acid technique was used to determine the nitrate ion in borehole water samples. Nitrate interacted with phenol sulfonic acid to generate a yellow nitro derivative in an alkaline solution. A change in the structure of the nitro derivative caused the yellow color. Beer's law states that the color intensity is directly proportional to the concentration of NO_3 in the water sample. The concentration of NO_3 was measured using a Ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer [18].

2.6.7.1 Preparation of 1000 ppm potassium nitrate standard

3.5 g of potassium nitrate was weighed using an analytical balance and dried in a 105° C oven before being stored in a desiccator to cool. 1.65 g of potassium nitrate was dissolved in 10 mL of water before being diluted to 1000 mL to give 1000 ppm. Serial dilutions were performed using the dilution formula C1V1 =C2V2.

2.6.7.2 Preparation of 100 ppm of potassium nitrate stock solution

10 ml of 1000 ppm potassium nitrate solution was taken and placed into a 100-ml volumetric flask. This was then made to volume with distilled water. This gave 100 ppm solution. 100 ppm stock solution was used to make 1 ppm, 2 ppm,4 ppm, 6 ppm and 8 ppm of potassium nitrate. 25ml of 1 ppm of potassium nitrate was added to a 100-ml beaker. 2ml of phenol sulphonic acid and 10ml of concentrated ammonium hydroxide were added to the beaker with stirring. Repeat preparations were done for 2 ppm ,4 ppm,6 ppm and 8 ppm. The samples were thereafter measured Ultraviolet-Visible using а spectrophotometer set at a wavelength of 410 nm

2.6.7.3 Preparation of the sample

The sample was prepared by pipetting 25 ml of borehole water sample into a 150 ml beaker. 2 mL of phenol sulfonic acid was added, and 10 ml of concentrated ammonium hydroxide was also added with care. A blank was prepared by adding 25 ml of distilled water in a 150-ml beaker, 2ml of phenol sulphonic acid and 10 ml of concentrated ammonium hydroxide were added. Ultraviolet-Visible was then used to measure the absorbance.

2.6.8 Determination of heavy metals

Atomic Absorption spectrophotometer was used to determine heavy metals concentrations.

2.6.8.1 Procedure for digestion of water samples

The aqua-regia preparation was done by adding 75 ml of hydrochloric acid and 25 ml of nitric acid. This was done in a fume chamber. 25ml of nitric acid was slowly added to 75ml of hydrochloric acid. The agua-regia is unstable and therefore, it was prepared and used immediately. In a 200-ml conical flask, 50 ml of water sample was added followed by 10 ml of aqua-regia solution. This was then stirred for complete mixing. 1 ml of perchloric acid was added to each of the samples. The flask was then heated to boiling on a hot plate magnetic stirrer until the sample was reduced to about 15 ml and then allowed to cool. Filtration was carried out, and the filtrate transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask. Distilled water was then added to volume. A blank was also prepared by adding 50 ml of distilled water into a 50 mL beaker. 10 mL of aqua-regia and 1ml of perchloric acid were then added into the beaker and the process of digestion repeated as before [19].

2.6.8.2 Analysis of samples using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer

Calibration standards were prepared for each metal under study. These standards were then aspirated, and the corresponding absorbance recorded [20]. A blank was also aspirated and the difference of the standards absorbances and the blank recorded. The difference gave the actual absorbance of the corresponding standard. The absorbance versus concentration of the standards was therefore used to give a straight-line equation. The sample was then aspirated and from the absorbance. the corresponding concentration was then calculated.

2.6.9 Escherichia coli

2.6.9.1 Sample collection, preservation, storage and analysis

The bottle cap was removed and the water collected by inserting the bottle directly into the tap. It was ensured that collected sample reached to the 100 ml fill line. The sample bottle cap was then replaced. The sample bottle was placed on ice in a cooler box for the duration of additional sampling and transportation. The date and time of the sampling was recorded. The samples were then taken to the Department of chemistry and put in the incubator. The incubator was turned on. The laboratory bench tops and the Quanti-Tray Sealer (IDEXX 2021) was then sanitized. A green light on the device indicated its readiness status. The sterile gloves were then put on.

The samples were removed from the cooler box and placed on the bench and allowed to warm. The samples were Loged into the laboratory bench sheets. 1 mL of the sample requiring dilution, was pipetted into a sterile sample bottle prefilled with sodium thiosulfate preservative and labeled with the sample number. 99 mL of sterile deionized water was added. The sample container was shaken for about 30 seconds without adding the Colilert reagent to the sample. Colilert reagent snap pack was placed on top of each sample container. The contents of the Colilert snap pack were added to the sample container, the lid replaced, and the sample was mixed by shaking for about 30 to 60 seconds. The sample or diluted sample containing the Colilert was poured into the properly labeled Quanti-Tray. The Quanti-Tray was firmly sat into the rubber template, making sure that the tab was tucked between the tray and the rubber template, to avoid crushing the tray. Slide the tray through the sealer. The used Colilert snap packs and sample bottles were properly disposed of in a biohazard container. The sample trays were then incubated at 35° C ± 0.5°C for 24 hours [21]. In the control or blank sample, sterile deionized water only was used. The other procedures remained the same. Each sample was done in triplicate.

2.6.9.2 Sample reading and quantification

The trays were removed from the incubator. For each tray, the counting was done for the number of large wells and small wells that were yellow for total coliforms. The total coliforms count on the lab bench sheet was recorded. Using an ultraviolet (UV) light and UV viewing cabinet or UV safety glasses, the counting of the number of large wells and small wells that fluoresced for E. coli. The E. coli count was recorded on the laboratory bench sheets. The used Quanti-Trays were then properly disposed in a biohazard container.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical – Chemical Values of Borehole Water Samples

The results of the values of the physical-chemical parameters of water samples in boreholes is presented in Table 2.

According to Table 2, the pH values of the physical- chemical parameters in borehole water samples ranged from 7.5 to 8.2. Kayole borehole 2 (KB2) had the lowest pH value, whereas Dandora boreholes had the highest value. The recommended pH guideline values of WHO in drinking water was in the range of 6.5-8.5. The pH of all boreholes was within the guideline established by several organizations [22,23,24]; the pH value was within the WHO guideline limit drinking water. The electrical conductivity in values in borehole water ranged from 230 to 473.3 µS/cm.The largest and lowest values of electrical conductivity were reported at site KB1and KB2 respectively. According to WHO regulations, the permissible limits for electrical conductivity in drinking water should not be more than 2,500 µS/cm. Electrical conductivity of all boreholes values were within WHO acceptable limit [23,25].

Sampling site	Physical - chemical values of parameters in boreholes			
	рН	EC (µS/cm)	TDS (mg/L)	TSS (mg/L)
DB	8.20±0.00	241.00±10.00	31.50±0.71	9.50±0.71
KB1	8.06±0.06	230.00±0.50	101.00±1.41	11.00±0.00
KB2	7.50±0.04	473.30±5.60	73.00±0.70	7.00±0.15
PB1	7.70±0.016	246.60±5.80	93.00±1.23	6.00±0.14
PB2	7.80±0.20	236.60±4.61	175.50±1.30	10.5±0.74
PB3	7.80±0.31	256.6±3.60	41.50±0.62	2.00±0.03
WHO	6.5-8.5	2,500	1,000	NIL

 Table 2. The values of physical-chemical parameters of borehole water from Dandora, Kayole, and Pangani sampling sites

Key: DB= Dandora Borehole; KB1, KB2= Kayole Boreholes1,2; PB1, PB2, PB3= Pangani Boreholes 1,2,3; ±SD = standard deviation

TDS levels were between 31.5 and 175.5 ppm. TDS concentrations in borehole water samples were highest in PB2 and lowest in DB. The WHO recommends that the acceptable level for human consumption be not more than 1000 ppm. All borehole results complied with WHO guidelines [26]. TSS levels varied from 2-11.0 ppm. The concentrations at all the sampling sites exceeded WHO guidline values . All the values for all sites for TSS did not conform to the WHO guideline value of 0 mg/l.

3.2 Anion and Ammonia Values of Physical-chemical Parameters in Borehole Water Samples

Table 3 shows the values of anions and ammonia parameters in borehole water samples.

Chloride levels in boreholes ranged from 5.70– 28.4 mg/L. Sampling site KB2 was the highest (28.2 mg/L), while DB and PB3 were the lowest. All the chloride values in boreholes at all the sites were within the guideline values of WHO. Excessive chloride concentrations corrode metals in the water's supply system. Natural sources of chloride includes sewage discharge, industrial effluents, urban runoff, weathering of soils and leaching of sodium chloride salt [27]. Chloride is not harmful to humans.

Fluoride levels in boreholes ranged from 0.50-4.00 mg/L. Sampling site PB3 had the highest value (4.00 mg/L), while KB1 (0.50 mg/L) was the lowest. All the borehole fluoride values conformed to the WHO guideline values except KB2 (3.00 mg/L), PB2 (2 mg/L) and PB3 (4.00 mg/L). From the results, it was evident that a lot of sampling sites had concentrations of fluoride higher than the allowed values by WHO [28,29]. High levels fluoride of causes tooth discolouration and decay. Its high content also leads to skeletal weakness. The high level of fluoride in some boreholes could have been caused by the geological composition of the rocks in the underground which had calcium fluoride. This could have increased the fluoride level in the water due to leaching.

Nitrate levels in boreholes ranged from 0.38 to 25.09 mg/L. Sampling site PB1 (25.1 mg/L) was the highest, while DB, KB1 and KB2 were the lowest. All the values of nitrate in all the sites conformed to WHO guideline value. High nitrate level could have been as a result of run off, wastewater, landfills, animal feedlots,

Sampling site	Concentration of anions and ammonia in boreholes (mg/L)			
	Chloride	Fluoride	Nitrate	Ammonia
DB	5.70±0.04	0.50±0.10	0.38±0.10	<0.01
KB1	17.07±0.07	3.00±0.14	0.38±0.12	<0.01
KB2	28.44±0.12	1.00±0.01	0.38±0.03	<0.01
PB1	22.76±0.16	2.00±0.06	25.09±0.01	<0.01
PB2	11.37±0.04	4.00±0.17	0.38±0.06	<0.01
PB3	5.70±0.25	0.53±0.10	2.10±0.04	<0.01
WHO	250	1.5	50	1.5

Key: DB= Dandora Borehole; KB1, KB2= Kayole Boreholes 1,2; PB1, PB2, PB3= Pangani Borehole 1,2,3; ±SD = standard deviation

ammonium nitrate fertilizers and septic tank speelage. Nitrate stimulates excessive algae and phytoplankton formation in aquatic environments, resulting in eutrophication [30]. Excess amounts of nitrate causes methemoglobinemia (blue syndrome disease), high blood pressure, headaches, stomach crumps and vomiting.

The ammonia levels in boreholes of the sampling sites were within WHO guidelines. The ammonia was not detected (detection limit was 0.01 mg/L). The most common pollutant in drinking water is ammonia. Activities that increase ammonia includes human activities in cities, metabolic processes, decomposition of organic matter, bacterial processes, agricultural and industrial processes as well as chloramine disinfection. Ammonia presence in water increases the chlorine requirement during disinfection processes. Health effect of ammonia includes throat and nose irritation [31].

3.3 Results and Discussion of Some Selected Heavy Metal Ions

Table 4 shows the concentration of heavy metals in boreholes.

Zinc levels in the borehole samples conformed to the guideline values except at sites PB1, PB2, and PB3. High levels of zinc in water causes

stomach pain and vomiting. Lead and cadmium levels conformed to WHO guidelines except at DB, KB1, KB2, PB1, PB2 and PB3. Copper levels were within the WHO guideline at all the sites. Higher levels of lead causes joint and muscle pain, memory loss, headache, abdominal pain, miscarriage and stillbirth in pregnant women. On the other hand, higher levels of cadmium in water will cause kidney, liver and heart damage. It also causes a weakness in the bones. High levels of cadmium can be caused by its release in the environment from production of metals and fossil fuel combustion. Phosphorous fertilizers and sewage sludges are also another source of cadmium. The high levels of lead could have come from leaded gasoline, lead solder and lead pipes and from mining and smelting activities. In case of zinc, higher levels could have been caused by mining, smelting, steel production, galvanized roofing materials and water pipes. Burning of coal and certain wastes can also release zinc into the environment [1].

3.4 Biological Parameters

3.4.1 Biological parameters included Escherichia coli and Total count

Table 5 shows the results of all biological parameters in borehole sampling sites.

Sampling site	Heavy Metals Concentration in Boreholes (mg/L)				
	Zn	Cu	Pb	Cd	
DB	0.73± 0.01	1.07 ± 0.02	0.56 ± 0.03	1.50 ± 0.02	
KB1	0.65 ±0.10	1.07 ± 0.04	1.16± 0.02	1.50 ± 0.01	
KB2	0.65 ± 0.12	1.12± 0.06	1.56 ± 0.01	1.48 ± 0.03	
PB1	5.21± 0.01	1.07 ± 0.03	2.25 ± 0.10	1.45 ± 0.11	
PB2	8.13 ± 0.05	1.07 ± 0.03	2.41 ± 0.12	1.50 ± 0.12	
PB3	8.74 ± 0.04	1.09± 0.04	2.75 ± 0.00	1.61± 0.02	
WHO	5	2	0.01	0.003	
Detection limit (mg/L)	0.01	0.002	0.005	0.001	

Table 4. Heavy metals concentration (mg/l) in boreholes

Key: DB= Dandora Borehole; KB1, KB2= Kayole Boreholes 1,2; PB1, PB2, PB3= Pangani Boreholes 1,2,3; ±SD = standard deviation

Sampling sites in Boreholes	E. coli	Total count/100ml
DB	Nil	914
KB1	Nil	248
KB 2	Nil	659
PB1	Nil	64
PB 2	2	16
PB 3	Nil	11

From the data on the bacteriological examination of E. coli and total count, it's evident that most samples showed zero presence of E. coli except PB2. The Total Counts were greater than zero at all the sites. E-coli is mostly found in the lower intestine of warm-blooded organisms. Most E. coli strains are harmless, but some are pathogenic and can cause serious food poisoning in their hosts, and are usually responsible for food contamination incidents. Other methods of contamination include sewerage leakage and wastes from abattoirs [32,33].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The pH. EC. TDS, and TSS values of boreholes conformed to the WHO guideline values. In the study of chloride, fluoride, nitrate and ammonia in borehole samples, chloride, nitrate and ammonia were found to be within the WHO guideline values. Fluoride was found to conform to the guideline values except at sites KB1, PB1 and PB2. Nitrate in borehole samples conformed to WHO guideline values. Ammonia for borehole samples conformed to WHO standard. In heavy metal results, zinc levels in the borehole samples conformed to the guideline values except at sites PB1, PB2 and PB3. Lead and cadmium all had values higher than the guideline values in all the sites. Copper level in all the sites conformed to the WHO guideline value. In the biological analysis of borehole samples, no E. coli was detected except at PB2. DB had the highest count at 914 and followed by KB2 (659). Higher concentrations of lead, cadmium and zinc has negative health effects on humans. This can be reduced by chemical precipitation, ion exchange, adsorption and membrane filtration. Based on the results, we recommend that the County Governments concerned should strive to monitor the levels of contaminants on a regular basis and come up with mitigation measures. Geological surveys should be done before embarking on borehole drilling.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

DISCLAIMER

This paper is an extended version of a Thesis document of the same author.

The Thesis document is available in this link: http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke:8080/bitstream/han dle/11295/165026/Fardowsa_Assessment%20of %20Water%20Quality%20in%20Some%20Distri bution%20Tankers%20and%20Boreholes%20in %20Selected%20Areas%20of%20Nairobi%20Co unty.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Department of Chemistry, University of Nairobi for making available the laboratory space and pieces of equipment that enabled Ms Fardowsa to undertake the research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 4th edition, incorporating the 1st addendum; 2017.
- Pimentel D, Berger B, Filiberto D, Newton M, Wolfe B, Karabinakis E, Nandagopal S. Water resources: Agricultural and environmental issues. Bio Science. 2004; 54(10):909-918.
- 3. Albert JS, Destouni G, Duke-Sylvester S M, Magurran AE, Oberdorff T, Reis R E. Ripple W J. Scientists' warning to humanity on the freshwater biodiversity crisis. Ambio. 2021;50(1):85-94.
- Kılıç Z. The importance of water and conscious use of water. International Journal of Hydrology. 2020;4(5):239– 241. Available:https://doi.org/10.15406/ijh.2020.

Available:https://doi.org/10.15406/ijh.2020. 04.00250.

- 5. Bremner J, Frost A, Haub C, Mather M, Ringheim K, Zuehlke E. World population highlights: Key findings from PRB's 2010 world population data sheet. Population Bulletin. 2010;65(2):1-12.
- 6. Marshall S. The Water Crisis in Kenya: Causes, Effects and Solutions. Global Majority E-Journal. 2011;2(1):31–45.
- Ahmed IM, Sepanta N, Ammar SD. Evaluation of Drinking and irrigation quality of groundwater in Basrah, Iraq. Journal of Ecological Engineering. 2023;24(9):260-271.
- 8. Boadi NO, Saah SA, Baa-Poku F, Mensah EA, Addo M. Safety of borehole water as

an alternative drinking water source. Scientific African. 2020;10:e00657.

 Ochungo EA, Ouma GO, Obiero JPO, Odero NA. The implication of unreliable Urban Water Supply Service: The Case of Vendor Water Cost in Langata Sub County, Nairobi City, Kenya. Journal of Water Resource and Protection. 2019; 11(07):896–935.

Available:https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.201 9.117055.

- Nkonge EM. Pollution of groundwater in urban areas of Kenya. Bsc in Geology undergraduate Project. University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya; 2012.
- 11. Kiplagat AS, Mwangi H, Swaleh S, Njue WM. Arsenic contamination in water from selected boreholes in Nairobi County, Kenya. European Journal of Advanced Chemistry Research. 2021;2(2):1-6.
- 12. Charity O, Albert O, Frank A, David KD, David KE. Water quality, physicochemical, heavy metal content, and health risk assessment of borehole-water from selected mining communities in Ghana. Ground Water for Sustainable Development. 2024;26:101230.
- 13. Keith, L. Environmental sampling and analysis: A practical guide. Routledge; 2017.
- 14. Barsegech EC. Speciation of heavy metals in a tributary of Nairobi River using the Joint Expert speciation System (JESS) program. Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi, Kenya; 2014.
- 15. Gilmore KR, Luong HV. Improved method for measuring total dissolved solids. Analytical Letters. 2016;49(11):1772-1782.
- Masoud A, Maedeh H, Homa A, Mohammad RK, Mojtaba N, Effat S. Determination of fluoride in bottled drinking waters in Iran. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2009;9(1):37-42.
- Bassett J, Denney RC, Jeffery GH, Mandham J. Vogel's textbook of quantitative inorganic analysis, 4th Edition, Harlow, United Kingdom, Longman; 1978.
- Abinandan S, Shanthakumar S. Assessment of physicochemical characteristics of groundwater. A case study. Int J. of Env. Health Eng. 2014; 3(6):34-37.
- Sobia A, Rahila N, Ghazala HR, Syed AA. Determination of heavy metal contents by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) in some medicinal plants from Pakistani and

Malaysian origin. Pak. J. Pharm. Sci. 2015; 1781-1787.

- García R, Báez AP. Atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS). Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. 2012;1:1-13.
- 21. Jordan D. Environmental Chemist, Technical and Logistical Services Section, IDEM OWQ WAPB; 2023.
- 22. Islam R, Faysal SM, Amin R, Juliana FM, Islam MJ, Alam J, Asaduzzaman, M. Assessment of pH and total dissolved substances (TDS) in the commercially available bottled drinking water. IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science. 2017;6(5):35-40.
- 23. Sila ONA. Physico-chemical and bacteriological quality of water sources in rural settings, a case study of Kenya, Africa. Scientific African. 2019;2:e00018.
- Wamalwa H, Mutia T. Characterization of borehole water quality from a volcanic area for drinking. Case of the Menengai geothermal project boreholes, Kenya. In Proceedings of 5th African Rift geothermal Conference Arusha, Tanzania. 2014;1-9.
- Logesh A, Magesh NS, Godson PS, Chandrasekar N. Hydro-geochemistry and application of water quality index (WQI) for groundwater quality assessment, Anna Nagar, part of Chennai City, Tamil Nadu, India. Applied Water Science. 2015;5(4): 335-343.
- 26. Kang M, Ayars JE, Jackson RB. Deep groundwater quality in the southwestern United States. Environmental Research Letters. 2019;14(3):034004.
- Tepe Y, Aydin H. Water quality assessment of an urban water, Batlama Creek (Giresun), Turkey by applying multivariate statistical techniques. Fresenius Environ Bull. 2017;26:6413-6420.
- Adongo MJ, Makokha MK, Obando JA, Ochieng JO. Seasonal Variation in Physicochemical Properties of Groundwater, a Case Study of Kamiti-Marengeta Sub-catchment Kiambu. Kenya. Journal of Water Resource and Protection. 2022;14(2):72-85.
- 29. Kut KMK, Sarswat A, Srivastava A, Pitmann Jr. CU, Mohan D. A Review of Fluoride in African groundwater and local remediation methods. Ground water for sustainable development. 2016;2:190-212.
- 30. Alahi MEE, Mukhopadhyay SC. Detection methods of nitrate in water: A review.

Roble et al.; Asian J. Appl. Chem. Res., vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 303-314, 2024; Article no.AJACR.126243

Sensors and Actuators A: Physical chemistry. 2018;280:210-221.

- Fu Q, Zheng B, Zhao X, Wang L, Liu C. Ammonia pollution characteristics of centralized drinking water sources in China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2012;24(10):1739-1743.
- 32. Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, Denamur E. The population genetics of

commensal *Escherichia coli*. Nature Reviews. Microbiology. 2010;8(3):207-217. DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2298. PMID 20157339. S2CID 5490303.

 Singleton P. Bacteria in Biology, Biotechnology and Medicine (5th ed.). Wiley. 1999;444–454.
 ISBN 978-0-471-98880-9.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126243