



Physicochemical Evaluation of Sugarcane Molasses (Black Honey) during Storage in Various Packaging Materials

A. H. Abdel Reheem ^{a*}, M. A. Kenawi ^b, H. M. Ali ^b and S. M. Hussien ^a

^a Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt.

^b Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Minia, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJACR/2021/v10i3-430242

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84364>

Original Research Article

Received 23 October 2021
Accepted 25 December 2021
Published 28 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Sugarcane molasses is a nutritious beverage with a black color, characterized by its viscosity, distinctive taste and aroma, which makes it a unique food with high nutritional value. The quality characteristics of sugarcane molasses stored inside various packaging materials at different storage periods were evaluated. The evaluation included the determination of the physicochemical properties of sugarcane molasses stored in four types of packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container). The stored sugarcane molasses were analyzed periodically at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 months for the optical density (at 420 nm), acidity, viscosity values and total phenol content. All studied physicochemical properties increased significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) with increasing storage time, the results showed that pottery pitchers and glass jars are suitable for packaging sugarcane molasses. They produce the most stable sugarcane molasses, so they are practical containers to use. Therefore, it is recommended to use this type of packaging materials with care of the storage temperature.

Keywords: Sugarcane molasses; storage time; packaging materials; physicochemical properties.

*Corresponding author;

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* Linn.) is a plant of the Poaceae family collected worldwide for its products of economic and medicinal value, such as cane juice, pulp, paper, xylitol, chemical alcohol, electricity, fodder and bore [1]. The original habitats of this species are Southeast Asia and South Tropical Asia. Even in hot and humid conditions, it thrives in tropical and subtropical regions with an abundance of natural materials and highly drained soils (pH 7.5-8.5) [2]. Sugarcane is the cheapest source of energy because it contains fructose and glucose [3]. Sugarcane syrups and juices have an antioxidant effect due to the presence of flavonoids, phenolic acids and various other phenolic compounds [4]. In addition, various studies have shown that blackstrap molasses is a valuable source of phenolic compounds [5].

Sugarcane honey is in great demand in the natural food market because it retains nutrients from cane juice, such as iron, phosphorus, salt, magnesium, calcium, potassium, and chlorine [6]. Sugarcane syrup is a sweetener that can be used in place of refined sugar in recipes, as well as consumed alone or in combination with flour, cookies, and cakes [7]. Sugarcane syrup production is a traditional agro-industrial process, in which industrial production is readily available on the market. The juice industry has proven to be a profitable option for small rural producers to profit from sugarcane through the use of low-cost equipment and family labor, but basic hygiene and quality control requirements in the production process are often ignored and depend on producer expertise [8]. Aimed at [7], the lack of sanitary requirements leads to the presence of some or whole insects, soil, fungi, yeasts and coliform, which are the most common problems affecting the quality of cane syrup. The lack of adequate equipment and technology to remove impurities can expose the final product to microbiological and chemical contamination when cane honey comes from nonstandard production methods. However, small adjustments to the production process such as washing the cane sticks with drinking water and filtering the broth, and then some investments such as the acquisition of suitable special cooking vessels and a sterilized place for the manufacture of cane syrup, will be sufficient to improve the quality of the product.

Few studies record how this food is produced and monitored in terms of nutritional quality

characteristics. In this context, studies must be done about the product to raise knowledge and then raise consumption, achieve a great deal of reliability, increase demand for the product, and ultimately raise income for small producers. This study aims to evaluate the quality characteristics of sugarcane syrup by studying the characteristics. We chose a storage temperature of 40°C to clarify the extent of tolerance of sugarcane molasses to the difference in storage temperatures, as it is produced in sugarcane squeezers in Upper Egypt in hot weather near the areas of sugar cane cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sugarcane Molasses

Sugarcane molasses was purchased from the main sugarcane squeezer in Upper Egypt. This squeezer is located in the main sugarcane molasses producing governorate, Kena (Nag Hammady).

2.2 Packaging Materials

The glass jars (13x8 cm), pottery pitchers (15x4cm), plastic jars (PET 14x8 cm), and tin containers (16x9 cm) were purchased from local shop. The sugarcane molasses was filtered from impurities with the first observations recorded and then packed under normal conditions in four clean, dried containers and then tightly closed (i.e., glass jars closed with metallic covers, pottery pitchers closed with sterilized tampons, plastic jars closed with plastic covers, and tin containers with tin covers), at a rate of 500 ml per package for (12 months) at 20°C in an electric incubator and in the same way, four other packages were filled, and then placed in the incubator at 40°C. During the storage period the physicochemical properties, antioxidant activity and sensory evaluation of sugarcane molasses were estimated at regular intervals of 60 days.

2.3 Physicochemical Properties

Color was measured as the optical density (OD) of the diluted extract (5% TSS), and the absorbance at 420nm was measured using a Perkin Elmer Lambda-UV/VIS spectrophotometer [9]. The viscosity was measured by viscometer (Brookfield Engineering Stoughton MA02072 U.S.A) [10]. Acidity was calculated as the number of milliliters of sodium hydroxide (0.1 N) required neutralizing a gram of the sample [11]. The total phenolic content was evaluated by

using Folin-Ciocalteu for sugarcane molasses [12].

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Temperatures, storage periods, and packaging materials all had an impact on the sugarcane molasses quality during storage. Therefore, the nutritional values of sugarcane molasses were statistically determined by Duncan's test using the SPSS 25.0 software statistical package program, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA [13].

3. RESULTS And DISCUSSION

3.1 The Physicochemical Characteristics

3.1.1 The optical density value (at 420 nm)

Firstly, the results are in the Table (1) it was observed that the optical density of sugarcane molasses (black honey) was 0.550 (OD) at 420 nm, at zero time. The optical density value findings confirmed those previously reported by [9], who studied whether the pre-treatment of sugarcane juice has an effect on the quality and crystallization of sugarcane syrup (treacle). He concluded that color samples at that level extended from 0.191 to 0.626 (OD) at 420 nm. This may be due to the diversity of sample sources. The results are in the same table it can be seen that the color values of sugarcane molasses gradually increased with the progression of the storage period, and the higher the storage temperature of all packages until the end of the storage period. During the storage periods, the optical density of all packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container) increased from 0.550(OD) at the time of zero at temperatures of 40°C and 20°C to 0.840, 0.820, 0.970, 0.990, 0.780, 0.750, 0.800, and 0.850 (OD), respectively. At the end of storage, the lowest optical density value is found in the pottery pitcher followed by a glass jar for each of the two storage temperatures, where they recorded the lowest rate of change among the rest of the packaging materials, as it appears from the same table.

As per statistical data, every one of the studied factors (storage temperatures, packaging types, and storage period) had a significant effect, and the interaction between the factors had the same effect at level ($p \leq 0.05$). These results are in agreement with those reported by [14], they studied color index changes in clarified sugarcane syrup during storage in pan supply

tanks, pointing to the color development was significant during storage, Iron reacts with polyphenols in particular to produce coloured compounds. Also, when syrup is exposed to the air color development may occur. A similar pattern of results was reported by [15]. They showed that once the pH of the syrup is reduced, it is more likely that some browning reaction of the carbonyl group of a reducing sugar with the amine group of an amino acid or peptide occurs in the advanced stages of the reaction, which may occur during storage, resulting in a darker color.

3.1.2 The viscosity value (centistock cm²/s)

The data in the Table (2) indicates that the viscosity of sugarcane molasses (black honey) was 480.0 (s t) at zero time. During the storage periods, the viscosity of all packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container) increased to 556.1, 549.6, 562.7, 574.2, 546.6, 543.2, 556.3, and 563.1(s t) at 40°C and 20°C temperatures, respectively. With increasing storage time, the viscosity values of sugarcane molasses packaging increased. The increase in the viscosity values during storage at 20°C temperature was lower than that of packaging stored at 40°C temperature.

The highest increase in the viscosity values was observed in plastic jars and tin containers during storage until the end of the storage period at both temperatures. They recorded the highest rate of change for both storage temperatures. Meanwhile, pottery pitcher packaging and glass jar recorded the lowest rate of change, as shown in the same table at the end of storage. The results in the Table (2) show that the viscosity values of sugarcane molasses (black honey) increased with the progression of the storage period and the increase in the storage temperature. As per statistical data, the interaction between the factors (temperature, packaging materials, storage period) It had a significant effect at a level ($p \leq 0.05$). Every one of the studied factors (storage temperatures, packaging materials, and storage period) had a significant effect, and the interaction between the factors had the same effect at level ($p \leq 0.05$). This result is consistent with that mentioned by [16], who mentioned that the production of dextran, a gummy compound produced by bacteria such as *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, may be responsible for the increase in viscosity of sugarcane juice.

Table 1. Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the color value sugarcane molasses

Tem.	packaging materials	Storage periods (months)							Mean	rate of change%
		0	2	4	6	8	10	12		
Temperature 40 °C	Glass jar	0.550	0.620	0.670	0.690	0.740	0.790	0.840	0.700	52.73
	Pottery pitcher	0.550	0.630	0.690	0.700	0.720	0.800	0.820	0.701	49.09
	Plastic jar	0.550	0.700	0.750	0.820	0.890	0.920	0.970	0.800	76.36
	Tin container	0.550	0.670	0.800	0.840	0.900	0.950	0.990	0.814	80.00
Mean		0.550	0.655	0.728	0.763	0.813	0.865	0.905	0.754	
Temperature 20°C	Glass jar	0.550	0.570	0.590	0.640	0.680	0.700	0.780	0.644	41.82
	Pottery pitcher	0.550	0.580	0.600	0.670	0.730	0.750	0.750	0.661	36.36
	Plastic jar	0.550	0.560	0.650	0.700	0.750	0.770	0.800	0.683	45.45
	Tin container	0.550	0.570	0.670	0.760	0.800	0.820	0.850	0.717	54.55
Mean		0.550	0.570	0.628	0.693	0.740	0.760	0.795	0.676	

*F-test: A= temperatures *, B= packaging materials 0.044, C= Storage periods 0.069 L.S.D0.05 AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials 0.068, AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods 0.080 BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods 0.110 ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage periods 0.167*

Table 2. Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the viscosity value (centistock cm²/s) of sugarcane molasses

Tem.	packaging materials	Storage periods (months)							Mean	rate of change%
		0	2	4	6	8	10	12		
Temperature 40 °C	Glass jar	480.0	488.1	508.3	522.6	531.1	543.6	556.1	518.5	15.85
	Pottery pitcher	480.0	485.4	504.2	516.5	530.2	538.4	549.6	514.9	14.50
	Plastic jar	480.0	491.3	510.5	521.3	543.3	554.5	562.7	523.4	17.23
	Tin container	480.0	494.5	515.6	526.2	550.4	563.3	574.2	529.2	19.63
Mean		480.0	489.8	509.7	521.7	538.8	550.0	560.7	521.5	
Temperature 20°C	Glass jar	480.0	485.2	506.1	519.2	525.6	535.2	546.6	514.0	13.88
	Pottery pitcher	480.0	483.5	501.3	511.4	522.7	531.2	543.2	510.5	13.17
	Plastic jar	480.0	488.1	504.5	516.3	535.3	544.1	556.3	517.8	15.90
	Tin container	480.0	490.7	509.7	521.2	538.2	551.3	563.1	522.0	17.31
Mean		480.0	486.9	505.4	517.0	530.5	540.5	552.3	516.1	

F-test : A= temperatures, B= packaging materials 0.76, C= storage periods 0.87 L.S.D0.05 AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials 0.93, AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods 1.23 BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods 1.73 ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage periods 2.50*

Table 3. Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the acidity value (0.1ml NaoH N/g) of sugarcane molasses

Tem.	packaging materials	Storage periods (months)							Mean	rate of change%
		0	2	4	6	8	10	12		
Temperature 40 °C	Glass jar	0.120	0.130	0.150	0.170	0.190	0.220	0.240	0.174	100.0
	Pottery pitcher	0.120	0.130	0.160	0.190	0.200	0.200	0.230	0.176	91.67
	Plastic jar	0.120	0.140	0.170	0.200	0.220	0.230	0.270	0.193	125.0
	Tin container	0.120	0.150	0.160	0.220	0.230	0.240	0.290	0.201	141.7
Mean		0.120	0.138	0.160	0.195	0.210	0.223	0.258	0.186	
Temperature 20°C	Glass jar	0.120	0.140	0.150	0.160	0.160	0.180	0.190	0.157	58.33
	Pottery pitcher	0.120	0.120	0.150	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.180	0.154	50.00
	Plastic jar	0.120	0.130	0.160	0.180	0.180	0.190	0.200	0.166	66.67
	Tin container	0.120	0.140	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.190	0.210	0.167	75.00
Mean		0.120	0.133	0.158	0.170	0.170	0.183	0.195	0.161	

F-test A= temperatures*, B= packaging materials 0.056, C= storage periods 0.070

L.S.D0.05 AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials 0.060

AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods 0.010

BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods 0.011

Table 4. Effect of packaging materials and storage temperatures on the total phenolic content of sugarcane molasses

Tem.	Packaging materials	Storage periods (months)							Mean	rate of change%
		0	2	4	6	8	10	12		
Temperature 40 °C	Glass jar	335.3	349.3	354.6	361.7	371.3	382.9	395.0	364.3	17.80
	Pottery pitcher	335.3	348.2	351.8	358.8	366.6	374.6	382.1	359.6	13.96
	Plastic jar	335.3	349.4	353.2	362.6	368.5	385.6	398.4	364.7	18.82
	Tin container	335.3	348.8	354.2	364.7	372.9	388.0	402.8	366.7	20.13
Mean		335.3	348.9	353.5	362.0	369.8	382.8	394.6	363.8	
Temperature 20°C	Glass jar	335.3	347.4	351.2	357.0	365.9	374.7	384.5	359.4	14.67
	Pottery pitcher	335.3	346.5	349.8	355.6	362.7	367.8	376.9	356.4	12.41
	Plastic jar	335.3	347.0	351.6	356.9	366.6	377.6	390.7	360.8	16.52
	Tin container	335.3	347.1	349.8	357.6	368.8	373.8	383.9	359.5	14.49
Mean		335.3	347.0	350.6	356.8	366.0	373.5	384.0	359.0	

F-test: A= temperatures*, B= packaging materials 0.81, C= storage periods 0.89

L.S.D0.05 AB= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials 0.93

AC= interaction between temperatures x storage periods 0.99, BC= interaction between packaging materials x storage periods 1.70

ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage periods 1.90, ABC= interaction between temperatures x packaging materials x storage periods 0.170

3.1.3 The acidity value

In the first, data in Table (3) observed that the acidity of sugarcane molasses (black honey) were 0.120 (0.1 milliliter NaOH Normal / gram of sample) at zero time. The acidity value findings confirmed those previously reported by [14]. It was found that sugarcane syrup samples (treacle) had the titratable acidity (TA) of sugar cane syrup was 0.88 milliliter NaOH 0.1 Normal/1 gram. On the other hand, [15] studied some sugarcane syrup physicochemical and sensory properties of acidity (in fifteen brands and found that they ranged from 0.87 to .049% with citric acid. These differences can be linked to the shelf life of the syrup after the production stage.

During the storage periods, the acidity of all packaging materials (glass jar, pottery pitcher, plastic jar and tin container) increased from 0.120 at the time of zero at temperatures of 40°C and 20°C to 0.240, 0.230, 0.270, 0.290, 0.190, 0.180, 0.200, and 0.210 respectively. At the end of storage, the lowest acidity value is found in the pottery pitcher followed by a glass jar for each of the two storage temperatures. The results in the table (3) show that the acidity values of sugar cane molasses (black honey) increased with the progression of the storage period and the increase in the storage temperature. Based on the rate of change evidence, we find that the increase in storage temperature had a clear effect in increasing the acidity, as the containers stored at 40°C had a rate of change twice as much as their counterparts at 20°C. According to of statistics, the interaction between the factors (temperature, packaging materials, storage period) It had a significant effect at a level ($p \leq 0.05$). These results are in accordance with those stated by [16], pointed out that the acidity of the extracted juice increases during storage. The increase in acidity of samples stored at 30°C is higher than those stored at 10°C. This may be due to the consumption of sugar from the cane juice during storage.

3.1.4 The total phenolic content

The data in the Table (4) indicates that at zero time, the total phenolic content of sugarcane molasses (black honey) was 335.3 (mg/100g). During the storage periods, the total phenolic content of all packages increased. With increasing storage time, the increase in total phenolic content during storage at 20 °C was less than that observed in packaging stored at

40°C. The highest increase in the total phenolic content was observed in plastic jars and tin containers during storage until the end of the storage period at both temperatures. Meanwhile, pottery pitcher and glass jar packaging were 376.1 and 384.5 (mg/100g) at the end of storage at 20°C respectively. Looking at the rate of change data, we find that the aforementioned two packaging materials (pottery pitcher and glass jar) recorded the lowest rate of change during the storage period.

The results shown in the Table (4) show that the total phenolic content of sugarcane molasses increased with the progression of the storage period and the increase in the storage temperature. Statistical analysis confirms that each of the factors under investigation (storage temperatures, packaging materials, and storage period) had a significant effect, and also that the interaction between the factors had the same effect at level ($p \leq 0.05$). These results are in treaty with what was mentioned by [12], they studied color index changes in clarified sugarcane syrup during storage in pan supply tanks, state that the clearer sugarcane molasses contains a high total phenolic content, which induces the polyphenols to increase with color development.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results seem that, the longer the storage time of sugarcane molasses, the greater the rate of change in physicochemical properties, especially while the temperature increases. The most appropriate packaging materials for sugarcane molasses are pottery pitchers and glass jars, as they recorded the lowest rates of change of the studied properties during the storage period. This may be because of their inert activity with these chemical molecules. High acidity affected color development over storage time. This work provides information on the most appropriate storage conditions and the selection of the best containers.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Li YR, Yang LT. Sugarcane agriculture and sugar industry in China: Sugar Tech. 2015; (1):1–8.

2. Koh HL. A Guide to Medicinal Plants: An Illustrated, Scientific and Medicinal Approach. World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ; 2009.
3. Yadav R, Solomon S. Potential of developing sugarcane by-product based industries in India: Sugar Tech. 2006;(2):104–111.
4. Payet B, Shum Cheong Sing A, Smadja J.: Comparison of the concentrations of phenolic constituents in cane sugar manufacturing products with their antioxidant activities. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006;(19):7270–7276.
5. Guimarães CM, Gião MS, Martinez SS, Pintado AI, Pintado ME, Bento LS, Malcata FX.: Antioxidant activity of sugar molasses, including protective effect against DNA oxidative damage. J. of Food Sci. 2007;(1):72-76
6. Sampaio MR, Tomasini D, Cardoso LV, Caldas SS, Primel EG. Determination of pesticide residues in sugarcane honey by QuEChERS, and liquid chromatography. J. of the Brazilian Chem. Society. 201 ;23 (2):197-205.
7. Amin WA, Safwat M, El-Iraki SM. Quality criteria of treacle (blackhoney): Food Chem. 1999;67(1):17-20.
8. Mejía VC, Martínez AB, Yordi AG, Mejía GC .Simulation Strategy to Reduce Quality Uncertainty in the Sugarcane Honey Process Design. Chemical, Food and Environmental Engineering. 2021;41(8): 2-11.
9. Abdel-Aleem WM. Effect of Sugarcane Juice Pre-Treatment on the Quality and Crystallization of Sugarcane Syrup (Treacle). Journal of Food Processing & Technology. 2020;11(7)1-11.
10. Vicentini-Polette CM, Belé SAH, Borges MR, Marta HF, Spoto R, Verruma-Bernardi MR. Physicochemical and sensorial characterization of commercial sugarcane syrups. Revista de Ciências Agrárias. 2019;(3): 808-816.
11. Abazied SR. Chemical and technological studies on sweet sorghum. Ph.D. Thesis, Chemistry Dept., Fac. of scie., South Valley Univ. Egypt; 2013.
12. Abbas SR, Sabir SM, Ahmad SD, Boligon AA, Athayde ML. Phenolic profile, antioxidant potential and DNA damage protecting activity of sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum). Food Chem. 2014;(147): 10–16.
13. SPSS. SPSS for windows. Release, 25.0., Standard Version, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2011.
14. Hung L, Tuan HQ. Changes in colour index of clarified sugarcane syrup during storage in pan supply tanks. J. Sci. & Devel. 2015;(2):259-263.
15. Farrokhi F, Mizani M, Honarvar M. Study of probable physicochemical changes during the storage of light and thick sucrose syrups. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2012;(5):715-721.
16. Krishnakumar T, Thamilselvi C, Devadas CT. Effect of delayed extraction and storage on quality of sugarcane juice. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;(10):930-935.

© 2021 Reheem et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84364>