



The Generalized Reed-Muller Codes and the Radical Powers of a Modular Algebra

Harinaivo Andriatahiny^{1*}

¹*Mention of Mathematics and Computer Science, Domain of Sciences and Technologies, University of Antananarivo, P.O.B. 906, 101 Antananarivo, Madagascar.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2016/26735

Editor(s):

(1) Qiankun Song, Department of Mathematics, Chongqing Jiaotong University, China.

Reviewers:

(1) S. K. Rososhek, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia.

(2) Radek Matusu, Tomas Bata University in Zlin, Czech Republic.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/16173>

Received: 30th April 2016

Accepted: 20th August 2016

Published: 12th September 2016

Original Research Article

Abstract

S.D. Berman and P. Charpin characterized the Reed-Muller codes over the binary field or over an arbitrary prime field as the powers of the radical in a modular group algebra. We present a new proof of this famous theorem. Furthermore, the same method is used for the study of the Generalized Reed-Muller codes over a non prime field.

Keywords: Generalized Reed-Muller codes; modular algebra; nilpotent radical; interpolation function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N40, 94B05, 12E05.

1 Introduction

Berman [1] showed that the binary Reed-Muller codes may be identified with the powers of the radical in the group algebra over the two elements field \mathbb{F}_2 of an elementary abelian 2-group. Charpin [2] gave a generalization of Berman's result for Reed-Muller codes over a prime field. Many authors

**Corresponding author: E-mail: harinaivo.andriatahiny@univ-antananarivo.mg, hariandriatahiny@gmail.com;*

explored Berman's idea and gave another proofs of Berman's theorem (see [3],[4],[5],[6]). Recently, Tumaikin [7],[8] studied the connections between Basic Reed-Muller codes and the radical powers of the modular group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$ where H is a multiplicative group isomorphic to the additive group of the field \mathbb{F}_q of order $q = p^r$ where p is a prime number and r is an integer. The index of nilpotency of the radical of $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$ is $r(p - 1) + 1$.

This paper presents an elementary proof of Berman and Charpin's characterization of the Reed-Muller codes by using a polynomial approach as in [9].

The quotient ring $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \dots, X_m] / (X_1^p - 1, \dots, X_m^p - 1)$ where $m \geq 1$ is an integer is used to represent the ambient space of the codes. It is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{F}_p^m]$ used by P. Charpin. We utilize some properties of a linear basis of the ambient space.

We study also the case of the Generalized Reed-Muller (GRM) codes over a non prime field \mathbb{F}_q (with $r > 1$). We consider the quotient ring

$$A = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m] / (X_1^q - 1, \dots, X_m^q - 1).$$

A is a modular algebra and the index of nilpotency of the radical M of A is $m(q - 1) + 1$. Thus there are $m(q - 1) + 1$ non-zero powers of M (with $M^0 = A$). It is well-known that there are also $m(q - 1) + 1$ non-zero Reed-Muller codes of length q^m over \mathbb{F}_q . The main result is Theorem 3.6 which gives the GRM codes over a non prime field \mathbb{F}_q which are radical powers of A . We show that except for M^0, M and $M^{m(q-1)}$, none of the radical powers of A is a GRM code over the non prime field \mathbb{F}_q .

2 Definitions and Basic Properties

2.1 Definitions

Let $q = p^r$ with p a prime number and $r \geq 1$ an integer. We consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of order q . Let $P(m, q)$ be the vector space of the reduced polynomials in m variables over \mathbb{F}_q :

$$P(m, q) := \left\{ P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} u_{i_1 \dots i_m} Y_1^{i_1} \dots Y_m^{i_m} \mid u_{i_1 \dots i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The polynomial functions from $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$ to \mathbb{F}_q are given by the polynomials of $P(m, q)$.

Let ν be an integer such that $0 \leq \nu \leq m(q - 1)$. Consider the subspace of $P(m, q)$ defined by

$$P_\nu(m, q) := \{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \in P(m, q) \mid \deg(P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)) \leq \nu\}$$

where $\deg(P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m))$ is the total degree of $P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$.

Consider the ideal $I = (X_1^q - 1, \dots, X_m^q - 1)$ of the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]$.

Set $x_1 = X_1 + I, \dots, x_m = X_m + I$. Then

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \mid a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let us fix an order on the set of monomials

$$\left\{ x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \mid 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq q - 1 \right\}.$$

Then we have the following important remark:

Remark 2.1. Each element $\sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$ of A can be identified with the vector $(a_{i_1 \dots i_m})_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq q-1}$ of $(\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m}$ and vice-versa. Hence the modular algebra A is identified with $(\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m}$.

Let α be a primitive element of the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . It is clear that $\mathbb{F}_q = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}\}$.

Set

$$\beta_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = \alpha^{i-1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq q-1. \quad (2.3)$$

When considering $P(m, q)$ and A as vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_q , we have the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : P(m, q) &\longrightarrow A \\ P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) &\longmapsto \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-1} P(\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_m}) x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We give the definition of the Generalized Reed-Muller codes as formulated in [10] and [11].

Definition 2.1. The Generalized Reed-Muller code of length q^m and of order ν ($0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$) over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$C_\nu(m, q) := \{P(\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_m})_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq q-1} \mid P(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \in P_\nu(m, q)\}. \quad (2.5)$$

It is a subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m}$ and we have the following ascending sequence:

$$\{0\} \subset C_0(m, q) \subset C_1(m, q) \subset \cdots \subset C_{m(q-1)-1}(m, q) \subset C_{m(q-1)}(m, q) = (\mathbb{F}_q)^{q^m} \quad (2.6)$$

2.2 Some properties of the ambient space

The ambient space A is a local ring with maximal ideal M which is the radical of A , i.e. $M = \text{Rad}(A)$.

Let d be an integer such that $0 \leq d \leq m(q-1)$. Consider the powers M^d of M . A linear basis of M^d over \mathbb{F}_q is

$$B_d := \left\{ (x_1 - 1)^{i_1} \dots (x_m - 1)^{i_m} \mid 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq q-1, i_1 + \dots + i_m \geq d \right\} \quad (2.7)$$

We have the following ascending sequence of ideals:

$$\{0\} = M^{m(q-1)+1} \subset M^{m(q-1)} \subset \cdots \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A \quad (2.8)$$

We need the following notation:

Notation 2.1. Set $[0, q-1] = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$,
 $\underline{i} := (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in ([0, q-1])^m$,
 $|\underline{i}| := i_1 + \dots + i_m$,
 $\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}$ if $j_l \leq i_l$ for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ where $\underline{j} := (j_1, \dots, j_m) \in ([0, q-1])^m$,
 $\underline{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_m)$,
 $\underline{x}^{\underline{i}} := x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$.

Consider the polynomial

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) := (x_1 - 1)^{i_1} \dots (x_m - 1)^{i_m}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proposition 2.1. *Considering the sequences (2.6) and (2.8), we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^d) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_{m(q-1)-d}(m, q))$$

for $0 \leq d \leq m(q-1)$ where $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^d)$ is the dimension of the vector space M^d over \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof. Consider the set $E := \{\underline{i} \in ([0, q-1])^m \mid |\underline{i}| \geq d\}$.

Since $B_d = \{B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \mid |\underline{i}| \geq d\}$ is a basis of M^d , then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^d) = \text{Card}(E)$ where $\text{Card}(E)$ denotes the number of elements in the set E .

Consider the set $F := \{\underline{i} \in ([0, q-1])^m \mid |\underline{i}| \leq m(q-1) - d\}$.

We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_{m(q-1)-d}(m, q)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(P_{m(q-1)-d}(m, q)) = \text{Card}(F)$.

The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : ([0, q-1])^m &\longrightarrow ([0, q-1])^m \\ (i_1, \dots, i_m) &\longmapsto (q-1-i_1, \dots, q-1-i_m) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection and the inverse mapping is $\theta^{-1} = \theta$.

Let $\underline{i} \in E$. Then $|\underline{i}| \geq d$, and $|\theta(\underline{i})| = m(q-1) - |\underline{i}| \leq m(q-1) - d$. Hence $\theta(\underline{i}) \in F$. And it follows that $\theta(E) \subseteq F$.

Conversely, let $\underline{i} \in F$. Then $|\underline{i}| \leq m(q-1) - d$, and $|\theta(\underline{i})| = m(q-1) - |\underline{i}| \geq d$. So $\theta(\underline{i}) \in E$. Note that $\theta(\theta(\underline{i})) = \underline{i}$. Thus $F \subseteq \theta(E)$.

Therefore, $F = \theta(E)$ and $\text{Card}(E) = \text{Card}(F)$. □

It is clear that

$$(x_l - 1)^{i_l} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_l} (-1)^{i_l-j} \binom{i_l}{j} x_l^j \tag{2.10}$$

for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Let $\beta_k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ as in (2.3). Consider the indicator function

$$F_{\beta_k}(Y_l) = 1 - (Y_l - \beta_k)^{q-1} \tag{2.11}$$

with $1 \leq l \leq m$.

Then $F_{\beta_k}(Y_l) \in P(m, q)$ and

$$F_{\beta_k}(\beta_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the interpolation function

$$H_{i_l}(Y_l) := \sum_{k=0}^{i_l} (-1)^{i_l-k} \binom{i_l}{k} F_{\beta_k}(Y_l). \tag{2.12}$$

We have $H_{i_l}(Y_l) \in P(m, q)$,

$$H_{i_l}(\beta_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i_l-j} \binom{i_l}{j} & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq i_l, \\ 0 & \text{if } i_l < j \leq q-1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(x_l - 1)^{i_l} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_l} H_{i_l}(\beta_j) x_l^j. \tag{2.13}$$

Set

$$H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) := \prod_{l=1}^m H_{i_l}(Y_l). \quad (2.14)$$

Thus

$$\deg(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) = \sum_{l=1}^m \deg(H_{i_l}(Y_l)). \quad (2.15)$$

Proposition 2.2. We have $H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y}) = \phi^{-1}(B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}))$, where ϕ is the isomorphism defined in (2.4), i.e.

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_m}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) &= \prod_{l=1}^m (x_l - 1)^{i_l} \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^m \left(\sum_{j_l=0}^{i_l} H_{i_l}(\beta_{j_l}) x_l^{j_l} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^m H_{i_l}(\beta_{j_l}) \right) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{j} \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_m}) \underline{x}^{\underline{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Main Results

3.1 Generalized Reed-Muller codes over a prime field

Here, we give a new proof for the Berman and Charpin's result. We consider the case $r = 1$, i.e. $q = p$ a prime number and $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p$ a prime field.

Let $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ and set $\beta_k = k$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$.

Let us study $(x-1)^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ over \mathbb{F}_p .

We have

$$(x-1)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} x^j.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{F}_p$, according to (2.1), we have

$$F_k(Y) = 1 - (Y-k)^{p-1} = - \prod_{j=0(j \neq k)}^{p-1} (Y-j) \in P(1, p)$$

and

$$F_k(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the interpolation function

$$H_{\underline{i}}(Y) := \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} F_k(Y) \quad (3.1)$$

which is in $P(1, p)$.

Thus, $H_i(j) = (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq i$

and

$$(x - 1)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i H_i(j)x^j.$$

Proposition 3.1. *An explicit expression of $H_i(Y)$ is*

$$H_i(Y) = \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{p-1-i} (Y + j),$$

where $\alpha_i = -i! \pmod p$.

Proof. As

$$(x - 1)^i = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} x^k,$$

we have

$$H_i(k) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq i. \\ 0 & \text{if } i + 1 \leq k \leq p - 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, $H_i(Y)$ may be written as

$$H_i(Y) = P_i(Y) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1-i} (Y + j), \quad (3.3)$$

where $P_i(Y)$ is a polynomial of degree less or equal to i .

For $Y = k$ in (3.3) with $0 \leq k \leq i$ and using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} &= P_i(k)(k + 1) \dots (k + p - 1 - i), \\ (-1)^{i-k} \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} &= P_i(k) \frac{(k + p - 1 - i)!}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$(i - k)! = (-1)^{i-k} (p - 1) \dots (p - i + k) \pmod p,$$

we get

$$i! = P_i(k)(p - 1)!$$

and because $(p - 1)! = -1 \pmod p$ by the Wilson lemma, $P_i(k) = \alpha_i$ for $0 \leq k \leq i$. Therefore $P_i(Y)$ is a constant polynomial equal to α_i that achieves the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1.

$$\deg(H_i(Y)) = p - 1 - i.$$

Remark 3.1. Polynomials $H_i(Y)$, $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$, satisfy the backward recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p-1}(Y) &= 1, \\ H_i(Y) &= \frac{1}{i+1} (Y - i - 1) H_{i+1}(Y) \quad , \quad 0 \leq i \leq p - 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that in this section, the Proposition 2.2 become (see [12])

$$B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(j) \underline{x}^j \quad (3.4)$$

The following Theorem is well-known (see [1],[2]).

Theorem (Berman-Charpin) 3.2. *Let $C_\nu(m, p)$ be the Reed-Muller code of length p^m and of order ν ($0 \leq \nu \leq m(p-1)$) over the prime field \mathbb{F}_p and M the radical of $\mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \dots, X_m] / (X_1^p - 1, \dots, X_m^p - 1)$. Then*

$$C_\nu(m, p) = M^{m(p-1)-\nu}.$$

Proof. Set $d := m(p-1) - \nu$. The set $B_d = \{B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \mid |\underline{i}| \geq d\}$ is a linear basis of M^d over \mathbb{F}_p . Consider $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j \leq \underline{i}} H_{\underline{i}}(j) \underline{x}^j \in M^d$. By (2.15) and Corollary 3.1, we have $\deg(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) = \sum_{l=1}^m p-1 - i_l = m(p-1) - |\underline{i}| \leq m(p-1) - d = \nu$. It follows from Remark 2.1 and (2.5) that $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \in C_\nu(m, p)$. Thus $M^d \subseteq C_\nu(m, p)$. Moreover, if we take $r = 1$ in Proposition 2.1, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M^d) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_\nu(m, p))$. \square

3.2 Binomial function over a finite field

In this subsection, we examine some properties of $(x-1)^i, 0 \leq i \leq q-1$, over an arbitrary finite field \mathbb{F}_q where $q = p^r$ with p a prime number and $r \geq 1$ an integer.

We have already seen from (2.13), (2.12) and (2.11) of Section 2 that

$$(x-1)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i H_i(\beta_j) x^j, \quad 0 \leq i \leq q-1$$

where

$$H_i(Y) := \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} F_{\beta_k}(Y), \quad 0 \leq i \leq q-1 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$F_{\beta_k}(Y) = 1 - (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1}.$$

Lemma 3.3.

$$\binom{p^r - 1}{d} = (-1)^d \pmod{p}$$

where p is a prime number, $r \geq 1$ an integer and $0 \leq d \leq p^r - 1$.

Proof. It can be proved easily by induction on d . \square

The following proposition is fundamental.

Proposition 3.2. *The interpolation function (3.5) satisfies the relation*

$$H_i(Y) = \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{-d} \left[(-1)^i - (\alpha^d - 1)^i \right] Y^{q-1-d}$$

where α is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_q and $1 \leq i \leq q-1$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_i(Y) &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} F_{\beta_k}(Y) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} [1 - (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1}] \\
 &= (-1)^i (1 - Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} [1 - (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1}] \\
 &= (-1)^i (1 - Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (Y - \beta_k)^{q-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(Y - \beta_k)^{q-1} = \sum_{d=0}^{q-1} (-1)^d (\beta_k)^d \binom{q-1}{d} Y^{q-1-d}$$

and by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(Y - \beta_k)^{q-1} = \sum_{d=0}^{q-1} (\beta_k)^d Y^{q-1-d}.$$

Thus, by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_i(Y) &= (-1)^i (1 - Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} \left[\sum_{d=0}^{q-1} (\alpha^{k-1})^d Y^{q-1-d} \right] \\
 &= (-1)^i (1 - Y^{q-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{d=0}^{q-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (\alpha^{k-1})^d \right) Y^{q-1-d}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} = (-1)^{i+1}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_i(Y) &= (-1)^i - (-1)^i Y^{q-1} - (-1)^i - \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} \right) Y^{q-1} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (\alpha^{k-1})^d \right) Y^{q-1-d} \\
 &= - \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (\alpha^{k-1})^d \right) Y^{q-1-d} \\
 &= - \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{-d} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (\alpha^k)^d \right) Y^{q-1-d}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{-d} \left[\sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{k} (\alpha^k)^d - (-1)^i \right] Y^{q-1-d} \\
 &= - \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{-d} \left[(\alpha^d - 1)^i - (-1)^i \right] Y^{q-1-d} \\
 &= \sum_{d=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{-d} \left[(-1)^i - (\alpha^d - 1)^i \right] Y^{q-1-d}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.4. 1. $H_1(Y) = - \sum_{d=0}^{q-2} Y^d$.

2. $H_2(Y) = - \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} (2 - \alpha^{-k}) Y^k$.

3. $H_{q-1}(Y) = 1$.

Remark 3.2. $H_0(Y) = F_{\beta_0}(Y) = F_0(Y) = 1 - Y^{q-1}$.

The next corollary is important to what follows.

Corollary 3.5. If \mathbb{F}_q is a non prime field, then we have

$$\deg(H_{q-2}(Y)) = q - 2.$$

Proof. In Proposition 3.2, for $i = q - 2$, the coefficient of Y^{q-2} is $\alpha^{-1} [(-1)^{q-2} - (\alpha - 1)^{q-2}]$. If $(\alpha - 1)^{q-2} = (-1)^{q-2}$, then $(\alpha - 1)^{q-1} = (-1)^{q-2}(\alpha - 1)$. Since α is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_q , then $\alpha \neq 1$ and $(\alpha - 1)^{q-1} = 1$. Thus $1 = (-1)^{q-2}\alpha + (-1)^{q-1}$, and $(-1)^{q-2}\alpha = 0$. hence, $\alpha = 0$. This is a contradiction. □

3.3 Main theorem

Bearing in mind the Remark 2.1, we have our main theorem:

Theorem 3.6. Let $C_\nu(m, q)$ be the Generalized Reed-Muller code of length q^m ($m \geq 1$ an integer) and of order ν ($0 \leq \nu \leq m(q - 1)$) over a non prime field \mathbb{F}_q and $M = \text{Rad}(A)$ where $A = \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m] / (X_1^q - 1, \dots, X_m^q - 1)$. Then

- (i)- $M^{m(q-1)} = C_0(m, q)$, $M = C_{m(q-1)-1}(m, q)$ and $M^0 = C_{m(q-1)}(m, q)$
- (ii)- $M^i \neq C_{m(q-1)-i}(m, q)$ for all i such that $2 \leq i \leq m(q - 1) - 1$.

Proof. Since \mathbb{F}_q is a non prime field then $q \geq 4$.

(i)-(a)- $M^{m(q-1)}$ is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by $B_{(q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) = \check{1}$ the “all one word”. By (2.15) and Corollary 3.4, we have $\deg(H_{(q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = 0$. It follows from Proposition 2.2, Remark 2.1 and (2.5) that $B_{(q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \in C_0(m, q)$. Thus $M^{m(q-1)} \subseteq C_0(m, q)$. And by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M^{m(q-1)}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C_0(m, q)).$$

(b)- Consider $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) := (x_1 - 1)^{i_1} \dots (x_m - 1)^{i_m} \in M$. There is an integer l such that $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $i_l \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.2, $\deg(H_{i_l}(Y)) \leq q - 2$. And we have $\deg(H_i(Y)) \leq q - 1$ for all $i \neq i_l$. So $\deg(H_{\underline{i}}(\underline{Y})) \leq q - 2 + (m - 1)(q - 1) = m(q - 1) - 1$. Thus $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x}) \in C_{m(q-1)-1}$. This implies that $M \subseteq C_{m(q-1)-1}(m, q)$, and by Proposition 2.1, the equality holds.

(c)- It is obvious because $C_{m(q-1)}(m, q) \subseteq A = M^0$ and the Proposition 2.1 give the result.

(ii)- Consider the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &\subset M^{m(q-1)} \subset M^{m(q-1)-1} \subset \dots \subset M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1} \subset M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)} \\ &\subset \dots \subset M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1} \subset \dots \subset M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)} \subset \dots \\ &\subset M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1} \subset M^m \subset M^{m-1} \subset M^{m-2} \subset \dots \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, let us proceed step by step:

Step one:

- $M^{m(q-1)-1}$ is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m(q-1) - 1$.
- Consider $B_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in $M^{m(q-1)-1}$. By Corollary 3.4, Corollary 3.5 and (2.15), we have $\deg(H_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = q-2 > 1$ (for $q \geq 4$).
- Thus $B_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_1(m, q)$. It follows that $M^{m(q-1)-1} \neq C_1(m, q)$.
- Since $q \geq 4$, then $M^{m(q-1)-1} \subseteq M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1}$.
- Therefore, $B_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1}$ (*).
- And since $\deg(H_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = q-2 > q-3$, then $B_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{q-3}(m, q)$.
- Hence $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)+1} \neq C_{q-3}(m, q)$.
- It is clear by (2.6) that $B_{(q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(m, q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq q-4$, then by (2.8) we have $M^{m(q-1)-i} \neq C_i(m, q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq q-4$.

In particular, the statement is proved for the case $m = 1$.

Step two:

- $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)}$ is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m(q-1) - (q-2)$.
- Consider $B_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)}$ by (*).
- We have $\deg(H_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = 2(q-2) > q-2$ (for $q \geq 4$).
- Thus $B_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{q-2}(m, q)$. So $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)} \neq C_{q-2}(m, q)$.
- Since $q \geq 4$, then $M^{m(q-1)-(q-2)} \subseteq M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1}$.
- Therefore $B_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1}$.
- And since $\deg(H_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{Y})) = 2(q-2) > 2(q-2) - 1$, we have $B_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{2(q-2)-1}(m, q)$.
- Hence $M^{m(q-1)-2(q-2)+1} \neq C_{2(q-2)-1}(m, q)$.
- For $q > 4$, since $B_{(q-2, q-2, q-1, \dots, q-1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(m, q)$ where $q-1 \leq i \leq 2(q-2) - 2$, then $M^{m(q-1)-i} \neq C_i(m, q)$ for $q-1 \leq i \leq 2(q-2) - 2$.

Continuing in this way, we apply the same method for each step. Thus, for the m-th step, we have

Step m:

- $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)}$ is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m(q-1) - (m-1)(q-2)$.
- Consider $B_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{x})$ which is in $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)}$ (for $m \geq 2$). By Corollary 3.5 and (2.15), we have $\deg(H_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2) > (m-1)(q-2)$ (for $q \geq 4$).
- Thus $B_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{(m-1)(q-2)}(m, q)$. Therefore $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)} \neq C_{(m-1)(q-2)}(m, q)$.
- Since $q \geq 4$, then $M^{m(q-1)-(m-1)(q-2)} \subseteq M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1}$.
- Hence $B_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{x}) \in M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1}$.
- And since $\deg(H_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2) > m(q-2) - 1$, then $B_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-2)-1}(m, q)$.
- Therefore $M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)+1} \neq C_{m(q-2)-1}(m, q)$.

- For $q > 4$, since $B_{(q-2, \dots, q-2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_i(m, q)$ where $(m-1)(q-2) + 1 \leq i \leq m(q-2) - 2$, we have $M^{m(q-1)-i} \neq C_i(m, q)$ for $(m-1)(q-2) + 1 \leq i \leq m(q-2) - 2$.

To end the proof, we consider the following final step:

- $M^{m(q-1)-m(q-2)} = M^m$ is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m$.

Consider $B_{(0,2,1, \dots, 1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in M^m . By Corollary 3.4, Remark 3.2 and (2.15) we have $\deg(H_{(0,2,1, \dots, 1)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2) + 1 > m(q-2)$.

Thus $B_{(0,2,1, \dots, 1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-2)}(m, q)$. Hence $M^m \neq C_{m(q-2)}(m, q)$.

- M^{m-1} is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq m-1$.

Consider $B_{(0,0,2,1, \dots, 1)}(\underline{x})$ which is in M^{m-1} .

We have $\deg(H_{(0,0,2,1, \dots, 1)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-2) + 2 > m(q-2) + 1$.

Thus $B_{(0,0,2,1, \dots, 1)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-2)+1}(m, q)$. So $M^{m-1} \neq C_{m(q-2)+1}(m, q)$.

Similarly, we have finally:

- M^2 is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the $B_{\underline{i}}(\underline{x})$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq 2$.

Consider $B_{(0, \dots, 0, 2)}(\underline{x})$ which is in M^2 .

We have $\deg(H_{(0, \dots, 0, 2)}(\underline{Y})) = m(q-1) - 1 > m(q-1) - 2$.

Thus $B_{(0, \dots, 0, 2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_{m(q-1)-2}(m, q)$. Hence $M^2 \neq C_{m(q-1)-2}(m, q)$. □

3.4 An example with two variables over \mathbb{F}_4

In this section, we consider the case $m = 2$, $q = 4$ and $n = 4^2 = 16$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4$ be a root of the irreducible polynomial $1 + Z + Z^2$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . It is clear that $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$.

Set

$$\beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = 1, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_3 = \alpha^2.$$

Consider the modular algebra

$$A = \mathbb{F}_4[X_1, X_2] / (X_1^4 - 1, X_2^4 - 1) = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^3 \sum_{l=0}^3 a_{jl} x_1^j x_2^l \mid a_{jl} \in \mathbb{F}_4 \right\}$$

with $x_1 = X_1 + I$, $x_2 = X_2 + I$ and $I = (X_1^4 - 1, X_2^4 - 1)$.

Set $[0, 3] := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$\underline{i} := (i_1, i_2) \in ([0, 3])^2$,

and $\underline{x} := (x_1, x_2)$.

Let us fix an order on the set of monomials

$$\left\{ x_1^j x_2^l \mid 0 \leq j, l \leq 3 \right\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Consider the polynomial

$$B_{(i_1, i_2)}(\underline{x}) := (x_1 - 1)^{i_1} (x_2 - 1)^{i_2} = \sum_{j=0}^{i_1} \sum_{l=0}^{i_2} H_{(i_1, i_2)}(\beta_j, \beta_l) x_1^j x_2^l$$

with $H_{(i_1, i_2)}(Y_1, Y_2) = H_{i_1}(Y_1)H_{i_2}(Y_2)$.

From Proposition 3.2, we have $H_1(Y) = 1 + Y + Y^2$, $H_2(Y) = 1 + \alpha^2 Y + \alpha Y^2$ and $H_3(Y) = 1$.

And by (2.12) and (2.11), we have $H_0(Y) = F_0(Y) = 1 + Y^3$.

We have the sequence of ideals

$$\{0\} \subset M^6 \subset M^5 \subset M^4 \subset M^3 \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A \quad \text{where } M = \text{Rad}(A).$$

The ideal M^d ($0 \leq d \leq 6$) is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_4 by

$$B_d := \{B_{(i_1, i_2)}(\underline{x}) \mid 0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 3, i_1 + i_2 \geq d\}$$

Let ν be an integer such that $0 \leq \nu \leq 6$. Consider the GRM codes $C_\nu(2, 4)$ of length 16 and of order ν over \mathbb{F}_4 :

$$C_\nu(2, 4) := \{(P(\beta_j, \beta_l))_{0 \leq j, l \leq 3} \mid P(Y_1, Y_2) \in P_\nu(2, 4)\}.$$

where

$$P_\nu(2, 4) := \left\{ P(Y_1, Y_2) = \sum_{j=0}^3 \sum_{l=0}^3 u_{jl} Y_1^j Y_2^l \mid u_{jl} \in \mathbb{F}_4, \deg(P(Y_1, Y_2)) \leq \nu \right\}$$

and $\{(\beta_j, \beta_l) \mid 0 \leq j, l \leq 3\}$ is ordered as in (3.6).

We have the ascending sequence:

$$\{0\} \subset C_0(2, 4) \subset C_1(2, 4) \subset C_2(2, 4) \subset C_3(2, 4) \subset C_4(2, 4) \subset C_5(2, 4) \subset C_6(2, 4) = (\mathbb{F}_4)^{16}.$$

In virtue of the isomorphism (2.4) and the Remark 2.1, we have the following results:

- M^6 and $C_0(2, 4)$ are linearly generated by $B_{(3,3)}(\underline{x}) = \tilde{1}$ (the ‘‘all one word’’), and we have $M^6 = C_0(2, 4)$.

-Since $B_{(2,3)}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{l=0}^3 H_{(2,3)}(\beta_j, \beta_l) x_1^j x_2^l \in M^5$ and $\deg(H_{(2,3)}(Y_1, Y_2)) = 2 > 1$, then $B_{(2,3)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_1(2, 4)$. Thus, $M^5 \neq C_1(2, 4)$.

-Since $B_{(2,2)}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{l=0}^2 H_{(2,2)}(\beta_j, \beta_l) x_1^j x_2^l \in M^4$ and $\deg(H_{(2,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)) = 4 > 2$, then $B_{(2,2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_2(2, 4)$. Therefore, $M^4 \neq C_2(2, 4)$.

Since $B_{(2,2)}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{l=0}^2 H_{(2,2)}(\beta_j, \beta_l) x_1^j x_2^l \in M^3$ and $\deg(H_{(2,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)) = 4 > 3$, then $B_{(2,2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_3(2, 4)$, and we have $M^3 \neq C_3(2, 4)$.

-Since $B_{(0,2)}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^0 \sum_{l=0}^2 H_{(0,2)}(\beta_j, \beta_l) x_1^j x_2^l \in M^2$ and $\deg(H_{(0,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)) = 5 > 4$, then $B_{(0,2)}(\underline{x}) \notin C_4(2, 4)$. This implies $M^2 \neq C_4(2, 4)$.

It is clear by the proof of the Theorem 3.6. that $M = C_5(2, 4)$.

3.5 An example with one variable over \mathbb{F}_4

In this section, we consider the case $m = 1, q = 4$ and $n = 4$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4$ be a root of the irreducible polynomial $1 + Z + Z^2$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . We have $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$.

Set

$$\beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = 1, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_3 = \alpha^2,$$

Consider the modular algebra

$$A = \mathbb{F}_4[X] / (X^4 - 1) = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^3 a_j x^j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{F}_4 \right\}$$

with $x = X + I$ and $I = (X^4 - 1)$.

Let us consider the following order on the set of monomials

$$\{x^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq 3\} :$$

$$1 < x < x^2 < x^3.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 3$, consider the polynomial

$$B_i(x) := (x - 1)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i H_i(\beta_j)x^j$$

From Proposition 3.2, we have $H_1(Y) = 1 + Y + Y^2$, $H_2(Y) = 1 + \alpha^2 Y + \alpha Y^2$ and $H_3(Y) = 1$.

And from (2.12) and (2.11), we have $H_0(Y) = F_0(Y) = 1 + Y^3$.

We have the sequence of ideals

$$\{0\} \subset M^3 \subset M^2 \subset M \subset A \quad \text{where } M = \text{Rad}(A).$$

The ideal M^d ($0 \leq d \leq 3$) is linearly generated over \mathbb{F}_4 by

$$B_d := \{B_i(x) \mid d \leq i \leq 3\}$$

Let ν be an integer such that $0 \leq \nu \leq 3$. Consider the GRM codes $C_\nu(1, 4)$ of length 4 and of order ν over \mathbb{F}_4 :

$$C_\nu(1, 4) := \{(P(\beta_0), P(\beta_1), P(\beta_2), P(\beta_3)) \mid P(Y) \in P_\nu(1, 4)\}.$$

where

$$P_\nu(1, 4) := \left\{ P(Y) = \sum_{j=0}^3 u_j Y^j \mid u_j \in \mathbb{F}_4, \deg(P(Y)) \leq \nu \right\}.$$

We have the ascending sequence:

$$\{0\} \subset C_0(1, 4) \subset C_1(1, 4) \subset C_2(1, 4) \subset C_3(1, 4) = (\mathbb{F}_4)^4.$$

By the isomorphism (2.4) and the Remark 2.1, we have the following results:

- M^3 and $C_0(1, 4)$ are linearly generated by $B_3(x) = \bar{1}$ (the ‘‘all one word’’), and we have $M^3 = C_0(1, 4)$.

-Since $B_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^2 H_2(\beta_j)x^j \in M^2$ and $\deg(H_2(Y)) = 2 > 1$, then $B_2(x) \notin C_1(1, 4)$. Thus, $M^2 \neq C_1(1, 4)$.

It follows that M^2 is not a Reed-Solomon code of length 4 over \mathbb{F}_4 .

It is clear by the proof of the Theorem 3.6. that $M = C_2(1, 4)$.

4 Conclusions

- a** In the section 2, we have given the definition of the GRM codes of length q^m over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and some general properties of the residue class ring A .
- b** In the subsection 3.1, we have given a new proof of the theorem of Berman and Charpin about the Reed-Muller codes over a prime field.
- c** In the subsection 3.2, we have studied the coefficients of the binomial function over a finite field.
- d** In the subsection 3.3, we have studied the relations between the Generalized Reed-Muller codes over a non prime field and the radical powers of A .
- e** In the subsection 3.4 and 3.5, we give some examples.

A possible future work is to describe the Generalized Reed-Muller codes over a non prime field in the ambient space A .

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Prof. G. Schiffels of the University of Bielefeld, Germany, and Prof. D. Pinchon of the University of Toulouse, France, for their stimulating and helpful discussions on the subject. Their suggestions encouraged the author to work on the problem.

Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Berman SD. On the theory of group codes. *Kibernetika*. 1967;3(1):31-39.
- [2] Charpin P. Une généralisation de la construction de Berman des codes de Reed et Muller p-aires. *Communications in Algebra*. 1988;16:2231-2246.
- [3] Assmus EF. On Berman's characterization of the Reed-Muller codes. *Journal of Statistical planning and Inference*. 1994;56:17-21.
- [4] Landrock P, Manz O. Classical codes as ideals in group Algebras. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*. 1992;2:273-285.
- [5] Assmus EF, Key JD. Polynomial codes and finite geometries. *Handbook of Coding Theory*; 1994.
- [6] Couselo E, Gonzalez S, Markov VT, Martinez C, Nechaev AA. Ideal representation of Reed-Solomon and Reed-Muller codes. *Algebra and Logic*. 2012;51(3).
- [7] Tumaikin IN. Basic Reed-Muller codes and their connections with powers of radical of group algebra over a non-prime field. *Moscow University Bulletin*. 2013;68(6):295-298.
- [8] Tumaikin IN. Basic Reed-Muller codes as group codes. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*. 2015;206(6):699-710.
- [9] Poli A. Codes stables sous le groupe des automorphismes isométriques de $A = \mathbb{F}_p[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p - 1, \dots, X_m^p - 1)$, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t. 1980;290:1029-1032.
- [10] Blake IF, Mullin RC. *The mathematical theory of coding*. Academic Press; 1975.
- [11] Kasami T, Lin S, Peterson WW. New generalizations of the Reed-Muller codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*. 1968;14(2):189-205.
- [12] Andriatahiny H. *Anneaux de Galois et Codes polynomiaux*. Thèse de Doctorat de Troisième Cycle, Université d'Antananarivo; 2002.

© 2016 Andriatahiny; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/16173>