

*Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology* 

*39(1): 112-125, 2020; Article no.CJAST.54685 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)*

# **Assessment of Genetic Diversity in Rice (***Oryza sativa* **L.) under Irrigated and Drought Stress Condition**

 $S$ adia Perween $^1$ , Anand Kumar $^{1^\star}$ , Bishun Deo Prasad $^2$  and Mukesh Choudhary $^3$ 

*1 Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour (Bhagalpur), India. <sup>2</sup> Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour (Bhagalpur), India. <sup>3</sup> ICAR- Indian Institute of Maize Research, PAU, Ludhiana, India.*

### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author SP designed the study, conducted the experiment and wrote the first draft. Author MC performed the statistical analysis. Author AK managed the analyses and edited the first draft of the study. Author BDP managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2020/v39i130487 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. A. A. Hanafi-Bojd, Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran. *Reviewers:* (1) Frédéric Ngezahayo, École Normale Supérieure de Bujumbura, Burundi. (2) Hussin Jose Hejase, Al Maaref University, Lebanon. (3) Pham Thi Thu Ha, Ton Duc Thang University, Vietnam. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/54685

*Original Research Article*

*Received 07 December 2019 Accepted 13 February 2020 Published 19 February 2020*

## **ABSTRACT**

A set of 48 rice genotypes were evaluated to assess the magnitude of genetic diversity under irrigated (control) and reproductive stage drought stress conditions during *Kharif*, 2018 at Rice Research Farm, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour (Bhagalpur), India. On the basis of  $D^2$ statistics, all the genotypes were grouped into nineteen clusters in irrigated condition with cluster I consisting of maximum number of genotypes (24) followed by cluster III (7) and rest of the clusters were represented by single genotype in irrigated condition. Under drought stress condition, forty eight genotypes were grouped into eleven clusters, cluster I consisted of maximum number of genotypes (24) followed by cluster II and III (8 genotypes in each cluster) and rest of the clusters were mono-genotypic. The highest inter-cluster distance was recorded between cluster XVIII and

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: dranandpbgbausabour@gmail.com;*

XIX (28.53), followed by cluster X and VIII (24.20), cluster XIII and XVIII (23.98) and cluster VII and XVIII (23.79) in irrigated condition while in drought stress condition the highest inter-cluster distance was observed between cluster IX and  $X$  (31.72), followed by cluster V and IX (28.77), cluster VI and VII (25.98) and cluster IV and IX (25.98) indicating wider genetic diversity among the genotypes between these clusters. The hybridisation programme involving genotype of cluster XVIII and cluster XIX under irrigated condition and the genotypes of cluster IX and X under drought stress condition could be undertaken to isolate high yielding segregants, since these genotypes have high yielding potential, number of effective tillers per hill, relative water content, leaf area, root biomass, panicle length, biological yield, harvest index, plant height, number of fertile grains per panicle, total number of spikelets per panicle, leaf area and proline content with more genetic distances. The parents for hybridisation could be selected on the basis of their large inter-cluster distance for isolating useful recombinants in the segregating generations. Hence, these genotypes might be used in a multiple crossing programme to recover transgressive segregants. Therefore, it is suggested that if the diverse genotypes from these groups along with the other desirable attributes are used in breeding programmes, it is expected to produce better segregants for high grain yield and yield contributing traits due to non-allelic interaction.

*Keywords: Rice; genetic diversity; irrigated; drought stress; clusters.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important food crop and a primary food source for more than one third of the world's population. It is a selfpollinated crop belonging to family *Poaceae* with a genome size of 430 mb. Globally rice is grown over an area of about 164 million hectares with an annual production of 723 million tons. The area, production and productivity of rice in India is 43.19 million hectares, 110.15 million tonnes and 2550 kg/hectare, respectively [1]. In Bihar the area under rice cultivation was 3.31 million hectares with productivity 2447 kg/ha (Directorate of Economics & Statistics, 2017-18). The report by International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) stated that by 2025 rice prices will increase between 32-37% as a result of climate change and yield losses in rice could be between 10-15% [2]. Rainfed rice accounts for around 45% of the world's rice area and around 40 million hectares of rain-fed area is concentrated in South and South East Asia alone [3]. Rainfed rice growing areas are highly prone to abiotic stresses such as drought, high temperature and submergence depending upon the distribution of rainfall and topography. In India, out of the total 43.19 million hectares approximately 16.2 million hectares is present in eastern-India, of which 6.3 million hectares of upland and 7.3 million hectares of lowland area are highly susceptible to drought [4]. Rice crop is highly sensitive to temperature and soil moisture deficit stresses at reproductive stage.

Germplasm is the basic material for genetic improvement of the crop. The pace and magnitude of genetic improvement generally depend on the amount of genetic variability present in a population. It is essential to assess the genetic divergence in available germplasm. The importance of genetic diversity for successful selection of parents to be used in hybridization has been emphasized earlier. Precise information on the nature and degree of genetic divergence of the parents is the prerequisite of an effective breeding program. The  $D^2$  technique developed by Mahalanobis [5] had been found to be a potent tool in quantifying the degree of divergence in germplasm. This analysis provides a measurement of relative contribution of different components on diversity both at inter-cluster and intra-cluster level and genotypes drawn from widely divergent clusters are likely to produce heterotic combinations and wide variability in segregating generation [6]. In the present study genetic divergence among 48 rice genotypes has been studied to help the breeders in selecting promising and genetically diverse parents for desired improvement in rice under rainfed condition of eastern India as well as under irrigated conditions. Along with study of the magnitude of genetic divergence, the characters contributing towards the genetic divergence in rice under irrigated and reproductive stage drought stress conditions were also identified to help the breeders in selecting promising and genetically diverse parents for improvement of rice under rainfed condition of eastern India.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A set of forty eight rice genotypes (Table 1) including two checks *viz*., Sahbhagidhan and Sabour Deep were evaluated in two

environments, irrigated condition and reproductive drought stress conditions during *kharif* 2018 in a randomized block design with three replications at rice research farm, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar. In the control set of experiment, standing water was maintained from transplanting to 20 days before maturity by supplying water through rain or through supplementary irrigation as and when required. The reproductive stage drought stress experiment was irrigated like the control experiment by allowing standing water up to 28 days after transplanting. Thereafter, the field was drained to enable them to dry for the development of stress. Supplemental irrigation was prohibited in the drought stress experiment. During the reproductive stage stress period soil moisture content was monitored through tensiometer reading. All the recommended package of practices for rice was followed to raise a healthy crop. Data were recorded on five randomly and competitive plants of each genotype from each replications for nineteen quantitative characters *viz.,* number of effective tillers per hill, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm), number of fertile grains per panicle, spikelet sterility (%), panicle density index, total number of spikelets per panicle, 1000 grain weight (g), grain yield per plant (g), biological yield (g), harvest index (%), proline content, chlorophyll index, canopy temperature, leaf area, relative water content and root biomass. Data on days to 50 % flowering and days to maturity were recorded on plot basis. Genetic divergence was determined by using  $D^2$  statistics of Mahalanobis [5] and clustering of genotypes was done according to Tocher's method. The percentage contribution of studied characters towards genetic divergence was computed according to Singh and Chaudhary [7].

# **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of variance (Table 2) revealed that there was highly significant variation among the genotypes for all the traits studied under both the environments. This in turn indicated that there was sufficient variability in the material studied under both drought stress and irrigated conditions, which could be utilized in breeding programme. Based on relative magnitude of  $D^2$ values, forty eight genotypes were grouped into nineteen clusters in irrigated condition and eleven clusters in drought stress conditions (Table 3 and Table 4). The cluster diagram by Tocher method for irrigated and drought stress

condition is given in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Among the nineteen clusters, cluster I consisted of a maximum number of genotypes (24) followed by cluster III (7) and rest of the clusters were represented by single genotype in irrigated condition (Table 3). Under drought stress condition, forty eight genotypes were grouped into eleven clusters, cluster I consisted of a maximum number of genotypes (24) followed by cluster II and III (8 genotypes in each cluster) and rest of the clusters were mono-genotypic (Table 4). The pattern of distribution of genotypes from diverse geographical region into different clusters was random. It revealed that genotypes collected from same geographic region got distributed in different clusters. It might be due to selection differential or genetic drift under diverse environmental conditions within the same geographical region. Similarly genotypes collected from different places coexisted in the same clusters. Thus the distribution of genotypes into different clusters was not associated with their geographical region. The results are in agreement with earlier findings of Roy et al*.* [8]. This tendency of genotypes occurring in the same cluster cutting across the geographical boundaries demonstrated that geographical diversity might not always be useful index in assessing genotypic diversity in rice.

The inter cluster distances were greater than intra cluster indicating wide genetic diversity among genotypes (Table 5 and Table 6). The similar results were reported by Banumathy et al. (2010) and Hosan et al. [9]. The intra-cluster  $D^2$ value ranged from 0.00 to 9.76 (Table 5) and 0.00 to 12.01(Table 6) under irrigated and drought stress conditions, respectively. The maximum intra cluster distance was exhibited by cluster III (9.76) followed by cluster I (8.92) in irrigated condition (Table 5), whereas, in drought stress condition the highest intra-cluster distance was recorded for cluster III (12.01) followed by cluster II (10.7) and cluster I (9.7) (Table  $6$ ) indicating wide genetic variability within the genotypes of these clusters. The highest intracluster distance was recorded for genotypes from these clusters could be utilized as parental lines for hybrid breeding programmes owing to their higher mean performance within group. The least intra cluster distance indicated homogenous nature of the genotypes with less deviation between the genotypes. Hence, selection will be ineffective. Similar findings were reported by Rajesh et al. [10].

$\overline{\mathsf{s}}$ .	<b>Genotypes</b>	<b>Sources</b>	S. no.	<b>Genotypes</b>	<b>Sources</b>
no.					
$\mathbf{1}$	IR 95785-31-2-1-2	<b>IRRI</b>	25	<b>IRRI 123</b>	<b>IRRI</b>
2	IR 14L155	<b>IRRI</b>	26	IR 107891-B-B-111-2-1	<b>IRRI</b>
3	IR 107891-B-B-845-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>	27	IR 107891-B-B-1019-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>
4	IR 90257-B-577-1-1-B	<b>IRRI</b>	28	IR 107891-B-B-548-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>
5	IR 14L157	<b>IRRI</b>	29	IR 107891-B-B-664-3-1	<b>IRRI</b>
6	IR 107891-B-B-846-2-1	<b>IRRI</b>	30	DRR dhan 44	IIRR,
					Hyderabad
7	IR 107891-B-B-1110-3-1	<b>IRRI</b>	31	IR 107891-B-B-1394-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>
8	IR 14L362	<b>IRRI</b>	32	Sahbhagidhan	Hazaribag,
					Jharkhand
9	IR 90257-B-577-1-1-B-1	<b>IRRI</b>	33	IR 108198-23-1-1-B	<b>IRRI</b>
10	IR 95817-5-1-1-2	<b>IRRI</b>	34	<b>SWARNA</b>	Maruteru
					(ANGRAU)
11	Sabour Deep (C)	Sabour,	35	IR 14L613	<b>IRRI</b>
		Bhagalpur			
12	<b>MTU 1010</b>	APPRI,	36	IR 107891-B-B-359-3-1	<b>IRRI</b>
		Maruteru			
13	IR 106312-50-1-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>	37	IR 107891-B-B-1284-2-1	<b>IRRI</b>
14	IR 107891-B-B-785-2-1	<b>IRRI</b>	38	IR 107891-B-B-1023-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>
15	IR 107891-B-B-1253-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>	39	IR 90257-B-577-2-1-3-B	<b>IRRI</b>
16	Sambha Mahsuri		40	IR 108198-23-24-1-B	<b>IRRI</b>
17	IR 107891-B-B-90-3-1	<b>IRRI</b>	41	IR 64	<b>IRRI</b>
18	IR 107891-B-B-379-2-1	<b>IRRI</b>	42	TRP-20-7-1-B-2-B	<b>IRRI</b>
19	IR 106516-1-2-2-2	<b>IRRI</b>	43	IR 107891-B-B-1304-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>
20	IR 107891-B-B-447-2-2	<b>IRRI</b>	44	IR 127363-76-1	<b>IRRI</b>
21	IR 103587-22-5-5-B	<b>IRRI</b>	45	<b>IR 13L378</b>	<b>IRRI</b>
22	IR 108199-24-32-1-1-B	<b>IRRI</b>	46	IR 107891-B-B-1216-1-1	<b>IRRI</b>
23	IR 107891-B-B-1432-2-1	<b>IRRI</b>	47	IR 107891-B-B-447-3-1	<b>IRRI</b>
24	IR 93827-29-1-1-4	<b>IRRI</b>	48	IR 93827-29-2-1-3	<b>IRRI</b>

**Table 1. List of genotypes**

The inter-cluster  $D^2$  value ranged from 7.45 to 28.53 and 9.64 to 31.71 under irrigated and drought stress conditions, respectively (Table 5 and Table 6). The highest inter-cluster distance was recorded between cluster XVIII and XIX  $(28.53)$ , followed by cluster X and VIII  $(24.20)$ , cluster XIII and XVIII (23.98) and cluster VII and XVIII (23.79) in irrigated condition (Table 5) while in drought stress condition the highest intercluster distance was observed between cluster IX and X (31.72), followed by cluster V and IX (28.77), cluster VI and VII (25.98) and cluster IV and IX (25.98) (Table 6) indicating wider genetic diversity among the genotypes between these clusters. These findings are in accordance with the results of Bose et al. [11], Abarshahr et al.<br>[12], Chakravorty and Ghosh [13], [12], Chakravorty and Ghosh [13], Chandramohan et al*.* [14] and Devi et al*.* [15]. The greater distance between clusters revealed wider genetic diversity between genotypes. Selection of genotypes belonging to distant clusters is expected to produce highly variable population in the segregating generation. Hence,

genotypes belonging to these clusters may be utilized in hybridization programme for rice improvement.

In the present study, a considerable variation in cluster mean values was revealed for all the characters (Table 7 and Table 8). Cluster XVIII had the highest mean value for grain yield per plant, leaf area, root biomass and days to flowering and days to maturity in irrigated condition indicating directly towards better yield potential while, Cluster XIX had the noticed highest mean value for effective tillers per hill and relative water content (Table 7). Cluster X had highest mean value for 1000 grain weight, number of fertile grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle and panicle density index in irrigated condition (Table 7) indicating directly towards better yield potential in irrigated condition while, cluster VII had noticed the highest mean value for harvest index, relative water content and proline and cluster XIII noticed the highest mean value for panicle length (Table 7).

S.	<b>Characters</b>	Mean sum of squares										
no.		<b>Replication</b> $(d.f. = 02)$		<b>Treatment</b> (d.f. =47)	Error (d.f. =94)							
		C	s	C	s	C	$\overline{\mathbf{s}}$					
1	Days to 50% flowering	10.54	12.79	18.191**	18.454**	7.32	7.15					
2	Days to maturity	5.15	2.31	23.786**	23.106**	13.61	5.55					
3	Effective tillers per hill	1.79	0.17	3.359**	1.624**	0.35	0.42					
4	Plant height (cm)	88.73	112.75	157.206**	208.411**	32.68	62.89					
5	Panicle length (cm)	0.49	0.98	$7.527**$	$9.056**$	2.01	2.17					
6	of fertile No. grains	53.24	495.58	1128.890**	581.411**	91.29	47.55					
	$panicle-1$											
7	No. grains οf sterile	1.01	7.79	92.410**	133.375**	3.30	4.69					
	panicle <sup>-1</sup>											
8	Sterility %	1.96	29.36	40.423**	61.311**	2.28	3.16					
9	Total spikelets of no.	41.47	386.54	1318.710**	868.453**	92.34	51.45					
	panicle <sup>-1</sup>											
10	Panicle density index	0.18	0.89	1.972**	1.353**	0.23	0.19					
11	1000 grain weight	1.15	3.00	13.919**	11.699**	1.38	1.83					
12	Biological yield (g)	14.88	17.06	45.945**	32.791**	12.07	12.77					
13	$H.I.$ (%)	9.73	16.74	19.097**	56.939**	11.05	23.83					
14	Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>	2.89	4.65	20.919**	13.202**	3.58	1.47					
15	Leaf area	13.15	0.72	110.514**	120.04	2.99	1.72					
16	<b>RWC</b>	1.59	0.37	46.047**	39.526**	2.98	0.65					
17	Proline	1.46	2.71	7.246**	7.464**	0.31	0.48					
18	Root biomass	1.36	1.45	66.496**	29.590**	3.50	1.94					
19	Chlorophyll Index	9.77	0.20	26.627**	13.903**	12.69	2.67					

**Table 2. Analysis of variance for nineteen different characters in forty eight rice genotypes under control and stress conditions**

Cluster XII had exhibited high biological yield while, cluster XV had the lowest mean value for flowering and maturity (Table 7).

Under drought stress condition, cluster X had the highest mean value for panicle fertile grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle, tall plant stature, leaf area, relative water content and proline while, cluster IX had high mean value for panicle length, biological yield, harvest index and grain yield per plant (Table 8). Cluster XI had noticed the highest mean value for chlorophyll index and panicle density index while, cluster VIII had high mean value for effective tillers per hill (Table 8). Cluster VII had the highest mean value for leaf area, early flowering and early maturity while, cluster V had exhibited high mean value for 1000-grain weight and root biomass (Table 8). These results are in agreement with the result of Tirkey et al*.* [16]. The findings of present study suggested that inter-crossing of genotypes from different clusters exhibiting good mean performance may be helpful for obtaining higher yield (Table 11 and Table 12) and thus, selection of more diverse parents for hybridization is

believed to provide the chances of getting better heterosis and give broad spectrum of variability in segregating generation.

The selection and desirability of parents mainly depend upon contribution of characters towards divergence. In the present investigation the highest contribution in manifestation of genetic divergence was exhibited by leaf area followed by fertile grains per panicle, proline, root biomass, relative water content, total grains per panicle, effective tillers per hill, grain yield per plant, 1000-grain weight and panicle length in irrigated condition (Table 9). Under drought stress condition, relative water content contributed maximum towards genetic divergence followed by leaf area, number of fertile grains per panicle and root biomass, proline, grain yield per plant, 1000-grain weight and harvest index (%) (Table 10). Therefore, these characters may be given importance during hybridization programme. The present findings were in accordance with the results of Banumathy et al*.* (2010), Mina et al. [17], Mahalingam et al. [18] and Basavaraja et al*.* [19].



# **Table 3. Distribution of 48 genotypes under irrigated (control) condition**

**Table 4. Distribution of 48 rice genotypes under drought stress condition**



<b>Cluster</b>			Ш	IV	v	VI	VII	<b>VIII</b>	IX	X	XI	XII	<b>XIII</b>	XIV	XV	XVI	<b>XVII</b>	<b>XVIII</b>	<b>XIX</b>
	8.92	11.11	12.83	11.51	10.65	11.11	11.07	10.7	10.91	12.15	11.01	11.17	12.94	11.42	13.17	15.26	12.78	19.55	16.85
Ш.		0	14.43	11.91	9.62	7.45	13.97	12.18	13.04	16.4	10.98	12.1	15.36	15.01	14.68	15.69	15.01	21	17.67
Ш			9.76	13.55	14.18	12.24	14.96	13.68	13.69	16.73	12.13	15.03	17.48	14.42	17.17	14.45	13.74	14.09	23.28
IV					13.97	11.45	14.68	12.18	10.7	13.39	15.75	12.26	9.11	10.36	8.9	9.37	13.1	19.02	15.78
V					0	8.42	11.71	13.59	11.72	17.58	8.54	12.92	17.23	14.55	16.76	18.4	16.2	21.29	21.37
VI							14.44	11.12	9.47	16.57	10.33	13.67	16.76	12.69	16.75	16.03	16.51	18.15	21.75
VII							0	9.59	11.78	12.47	12.12	9.63	13.58	13.82	15.65	18.11	12.21	23.79	18.5
VII								0	8.95	9.34	14.59	11.64	13.02	10.73	15.09	16.18	13.85	21.72	18.34
IX									0	12.44	13.33	12.64	14.18	8.93	15.93	17.26	15.07	20.95	20.26
X										0	18.11	13.21	11.28	10.87	12.88	17.31	13.66	24.2	15.53
XI											0	13.23	18.38	15.48	17.87	18.12	14.82	18.03	22.22
XII												0	12.8	15.9	13.47	15.15	13.85	21.58	16.63
XIII													0	11.65	8.86	12.83	14.38	23.98	10.21
XIV														0	14.07	15.87	14.51	20.84	17.79
XV															0	10.3	14.17	22.38	12.52
XVI																0	16.04	15.42	18
<b>XVII</b>																	0	21.09	17.9
<b>XVIII</b>																		0	28.53
XIX																			

**Table 5. Average intra and inter cluster distance values among nineteen clusters for forty eight genotypes of rice under irrigated condition**

**Table 6. Average intra and inter cluster distance values among nineteen clusters for forty eight genotypes of rice under drought stress condition**



	<b>DFF</b>	<b>DM</b>	EBT	<b>PHT</b>	PL	<b>NFG</b>	<b>NSG</b>	SS%	<b>TNS</b>	<b>PDI</b>	<b>1000 GR</b>	BY	<b>HI</b> %	LA	<b>RWC</b>	<b>PROLINE</b>	<b>RB</b>	СI	<b>GYPP</b>
						РP	<b>PP</b>		<b>PP</b>		<b>WT</b>								
Cluster I	89.42	117.93	8.5	103.58	27.11	115.49	13.94	10.84	129.43	4.8	25.87	45.81	48.82	23.82	86.66	32.61	20.65	44.23	24.84
Cluster II	88	116.33	8.27	86	25.78	110.74	16.94	13.24	127.68	4.96	21.31	39.84	48.5	20.68	90.4	35.14	19.73	47.4	18.28
Cluster III	88.71	118.67	8.31	99.68	26.46	126.83	11.71	8.52	138.55	5.27	26.43	44.19	49	36.04	91.14	32.87	22.46	44.94	24.04
Cluster IV	87.33	115.33	9.47	107.33	28	123.77	22.25	15.23	146.03	5.23	25.42	47.94	48.6	30.01	92.1	34.53	12.48	43.38	25.4
Cluster V	88.33	116.67	8.12	105.33	28	88	6.35	6.8	94.36	3.39	23.72	43.02	48.07	19.28	90.56	34.24	19.66	42.83	23.6
Cluster VI	90.67	120	9.35	89	26.89	124.56	9.97	7.44	134.53	5.01	23.79	45.53	47.83	23.23	93.43	30.86	18.24	44.03	25.38
Cluster VII	91	119.67	7.22	117.22	23.67	120.23	7.65	5.98	127.88	5.42	21.72	41.03	51.63	22.64	87.64	36.02	14.44	45.33	20.75
Cluster VIII	92	122	7.83	110.22	27.67	164.66	11.71	6.65	176.37	6.37	22.78	41.25	49.92	23.78	91.79	33.4	14.91	43.23	22.19
Cluster IX	88	115	10.78	103.34	26.34	136.74	6.98	4.88	143.71	5.46	25.92	47.08	48.2	24.87	90.41	34.23	13.23	47.2	27.79
Cluster X	87	115.67	8.3	106.78	26.11	182.36	17.94	9.04	200.3	7.73	28.67	48.06	47.54	22.29	87.11	31.35	16.68	49.73	24.96
Cluster XI	90.67	119.67	8.81	86.33	22.44	90.58	7.02	7.19	97.61	4.38	25.03	45.16	48.8	24.25	85.52	32.61	24.79	45.27	24.01
Cluster XII	90.67	118.33	7.11	105.67	25.56	118.02	13.28	10.13	131.3	5.15	22.31	56.61	36.89	24.8	81.21	33.71	12.37	48.63	20.3
Cluster XIII	87	116	8.7	104.44	28.78	122.7	25.59	17.34	148.29	5.15	23.01	47.05	50.1	26.02	87.77	31.11	10.46	42.23	25.59
<b>Cluster XIV</b>	85	116.67	8.7	99.89	25.89	140.81	16.41	10.44	157.22	6.1	26.16	47.73	48.76	24.39	79.39	31.49	21.19	44.67	30.28
<b>Cluster XV</b>	81.33	110.67	7.44	113.11	27.34	139.5	26.91	16.16	166.41	6.09	27.04	42.3	49.65	26.16	84.62	33.29	14.56	42.1	23.08
<b>Cluster XVI</b>	90.67	121	7.88	114.22	28.33	143.82	26.24	15.42	170.06	6	22.89	42.77	49.72	37.85	87.19	34.24	17.68	44.13	23.32
<b>Cluster XVII</b>	91.33	122	6.94	108.78	21.35	98.07	20.67	17.5	118.74	5.58	27.91	33.3	49.54	32.17	91.08	30.5	18.6	55.4	18.93
Cluster XVIII	97.67	126.33	9.02	91.11	25.33	124.69	14.62	10.49	139.31	5.5	26.92	47.84	48.11	45.47	85.28	34.52	31.27	42.53	31.36
<b>Cluster XIX</b>	88.67	118.67	10.85	101.22	27.89	98.99	32.11	24.45	131.1	4.71	21.55	37.9	45.67	20.63	94.42	30.26	17.1	44.2	19.45

**Table 7. Mean values of clusters of different characters towards genetic divergence in 48 rice genotypes under irrigated condition**

*DFF= days to 50% flowering; DM=days to maturity; EBT= number of effective tillers per hill; PHT= plant height; PL= panicle length; NFGPP= number of fertile grains per panicle; NSGPP= number of sterile grains per panicle; SS%= spikelet sterility %; TNSPP= total number of spikelets per panicle; PDI= panicle density index; GR WT= grain weight; BY= biological yield; HI= harvest index; LA= leaf area; RWC= relative water content; RB= root biomass; CI= chlorophyll index; GYPP= grain yield per plant*

	<b>DFF</b>	<b>DM</b>	EBT	<b>PHT</b>	<b>PL</b>	<b>NFGPP</b>	<b>NSGPP</b>	SS%	<b>TNSPP</b>	<b>PDI</b>	1000 GR WT	BY	HI%	LA	<b>RWC</b>	<b>PRO</b> LINE	<b>RB</b>	СI	<b>GYP</b>
Cluster I	84.61	113.87	7.75	98.45	25.86	92.49	19.63	17.32	112.12	4.37	20.73	40.57	38.79	17.39	72.28	44.24	13.69	42.06	15.61
Cluster II	84.42	113.38	- 8.2	96.88	26.66	119.49	21.85	15.28	.34 141	5.32	22.91	41.29	41.41	18.91	77.02	45.04	12.38	40.83	16.96
Cluster III	85.04	115.75	-8.14	97	26.09	96.78	19.22	16.66	115.99	4.47	20.25	40.07	40.91	28.25	71.15	44.14	15.02	41.49	16.25
Cluster IV	93.67	123.67	7.38	99.89	27.7	84.18	8.74	9.39	92.92	3.38	24.24	39.52	42.42	12.39	77.79	45.39	12.57	39.33	16.7
Cluster V	83.67	113.00	8.04	85	25.42	86.51	17.18	16.64	103.69	4.12	24.88	42.08	41.41	34.3	78.84	43.52	21.27	.28 41	17.44
Cluster VI	85.33	115.33	- 8.6	99.67	25.77	87.08	11.23	11.5	98.31	3.48	23.54	43.57	40.27	18.68	75.85	42.55	21.13	42.33	17.8
<b>Cluster VII</b>	83.33	113.00	7.31	86.11	24.34	99.31	17.59	15.12	116.89	4.8	21.66	43.46	42.94	40.5	75.7	43.72	15.62	38.47	18.62
<b>Cluster VIII</b>	84.67	116.33	8.63	96.22	26.96	89.18	37.16	29.44	126.35	4.69	22.09	33.52	33.29	14.67	72.55	41.7	12.05	43.4	11.04
Cluster IX	87.33	114.67	8.17	97.34	28.24	90.75	24.84	21.48	115.6	4.5	19.27	44.18	47.69	16.33	63.03	41.21	9.72	38.63	21.07
Cluster X	86.33	116.00	7.76	110.22	27.49	124.02	31.47	20.29	155.49	5.68	19.98	39.27	45.56	32.07	79.29	46.71	14.09	43.87	17.8
Cluster XI	87.00	118.00	6.6	104.78	20.58	82.24	35.5	30.24	117.73	5.72	18.71	29.8	36.02	24.82	67.92	43.01	10.36	41.4	10.45

**Table 8. Mean values of clusters of different characters towards genetic divergence in 48 rice genotypes under drought stress condition**

*DFF= days to 50% flowering; DM=days to maturity; EBT= number of effective tillers per hill; PHT= plant height; PL= panicle length; NFGPP= number of fertile grains per panicle; NSGPP= number of sterile grains per panicle; SS%= spikelet sterility %; TNSPP= total number of spikelets per panicle; PDI= panicle density index; GR WT= grain weight; BY= biological yield; HI= harvest index; LA= leaf area; RWC= relative water content; RB= root biomass; CI= chlorophyll index; GYPP= grain yield per plant*

<b>Source</b>	<b>Times Ranked 1st</b>	<b>Contribution %</b>
Days to 50% flowering	0	$0.00\%$
Days to maturity	0	$0.00\%$
Number of effective tillers per hill	45	3.99%
Plant height (cm)	3	0.27%
Panicle length (cm)	16	1.42%
Number of fertile grains per panicle	206	18.26%
Spikelet sterility (%)	4	0.35%
Total number of spikelets per panicle	65	5.76%
Panicle density index	1	$0.09\%$
1000 grain weight (g)	28	2.48%
Biological yield	6	0.53%
Harvest index (%)	2	0.18%
Leaf area	268	23.76%
Relative water content (RWC)	89	7.89%
Proline content	198	17.55%
Root biomass	159	14.10%
Chlorophyll index	4	0.35%
Grain yield per plant (g)	34	3.01%

**Table 9. Contribution of different characters towards genetic divergence of forty eight rice genotypes under irrigated condition**

# **Table 10. Contribution of different characters towards genetic divergence of forty eight rice genotypes under drought stress condition**



### Table 11. Selection of parents based on D<sup>2</sup> values, clusters mean and mean performance of **genotypes under irrigated condition**



### Table 12. Selection of parents based on D<sup>2</sup> values, clusters mean and mean performance of **genotypes under drought stress condition**





**Fig. 1. Cluster analysis diagram under irrigated condition**



**Fig. 1. Cluster . analysis diagram under stress condition**

### **4. CONCLUSION**

Diverse germplasm lines possess desirable characters which may be used in future breeding programme to get maximum spectrum of variability for wide range of characters and broaden the genetic base of cultivars. The

criteria used for selection of genotype a<br>for crop improvement program was<br>sess desirable analysis in the inter cluster distance<br>future breeding genotypes with the maximum cluster for crop improvement program was using  $D^2$ analysis in the inter cluster distance. Those genotypes with the maximum cluster distance are genetically more divergent. The hybridisation programme involving genotype of cluster XVIII programme involving genotype of cluster XVIII<br>(IR 107891-B-B-447-3-1) and cluster XIX ( IR criteria used for selection of genotype as parents

13L378) under irrigated condition and the genotypes of cluster IX (IR 14L157) and X (IR 95817-5-1-1-2) under drought stress condition could be undertaken to isolate high yielding segregants, since these genotypes have high yielding potential, effective tillers per hill, relative water content, leaf area, root biomass, panicle length, biological yield, harvest index, plant height, number of fertile grains per panicle, total number of grains per panicle, leaf area and proline content with more genetic distances. These parents could be selected for hybridisation on the basis of their large inter-cluster distance for isolating useful recombinants in the segregating generations.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Dept. of Agriculture. Annual Report 2016- 17, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare, New Delhi, India; 2016-2017.
- 2. Nelson GC, Rosegrant MW, Koo J, Robertson R, Sulser T, Zhu T, Ringler C, Msangi S, Palazzo A, Batka M, Magalhaes M, Valmonte-Santos R, Ewing M, Lee D. Climate change: Impact on agriculture and costs of adaptation. International Food Policy Research Institute Washington, D.C; 2009.

Available:https://www.researchgate.net/pu blication/232271861 Climate Change Im pact on Agriculture and Costs of Adapt ation

[Accessed on Feb 09 2020]

- 3. Maclean JL, Dawe DC, Hardy B, Hettel GP. Rice almanac (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition), Philippines, IRRI, WARDS, CIAT and FAO; 2002.
- 4. Pandey S, Bhandari H. Drought: Economic costs and research implications. In: Seeraj, Bennet J, Hardy B. (Eds.), Drought frontiers in rice: Crop improvement for increased rainfed production. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore. 2008;3- 17.
- 5. Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of the National Institute of Science of India. 1936;2:49-55.
- *6.* Rao CR. Advanced Statistical methods in biometrical research. Jhon Wiley and Sons Inc., New York; 1952.
- 7. Singh RK, Chaudhry BD. Biometrical methods of quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, India. 1985;30-34.
- 8. Roy B, Basu AK, Mandal AB. Genetic diversity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes under humid tropics of Andaman based on grain yield and seed characters. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2002;72(2):84-7.
- 9. Hosan SM, Sultana N, Iftekharuddaula KM, Ahmed MNU, Mia S. Genetic divergence in landraces of Bangladesh rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Agriculturists. 2010;8(2):28-34.
- 10. Rajesh T, Paramasivam K, Thirumeni S. Genetic divergence in land races of rice. Electron Journal Plant Breeding. 2010;1: 199-204.
- 11. Bose LK, Pradhan SK. Genetic divergence in deep water rice genotypes. Journal of Central European Agriculture. 2005;6:635- 640.
- 12. Abarshahr M, Rabiei B, Lahigi HS. Assessing genetic diversity of rice varieties under drought drought stress conditions. Notulae Scientia Biologicae. 2011;3(1): 114-123.
- 13. Chakravorty A, Ghosh PD. Genetic divergence in landraces of rice (*O. sativa* L.) of West Bengal, India. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2012;8(2):23-28.
- 14. Chandramohan Y, Srinivas B, Thippeswamy S, Padmaja D. Diversity and variability analysis for yield parameters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016;50(6):609-613.
- 15. Devi KR, Satish B, Chandra, Lingaiah N, Hari Y, Venkanna V. Analysis of variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza*  sativa L.). Agricultural Science Digest. 2017;37(1):1-9.
- 16. Tirkey A, Sarawgi AK, Subbarao LV. Studies on genetic diversity in various qualitative and quantitative characters in rice germplasm. Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources. 2013;26(2):132- 137.
- 17. Mina Abarshah, Babak Rabiei, Habibollah Samizadeh. Assessing genetic diversity of rice varieties under drought drought stress conditions. Notulae Scientia Biologicae. 2011;3(1):114-23.
- 18. Mahalingam A, Saraswathi R, Ramalingam Jand Jayaraj T. Genetic studies on divergence and phenotypic

characterization of indigenous and exotic *indica* germplasm lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2012;7(20):3120- 3128.

19. Basavaraja T, Asif M, Mallikarjun SK, Gangaprasad S. Correlation and path analysis of yield and yield attributes in local rice cultivars (*Oryza sativa* L.). Asian Journal of Bio Science. 2013;8 (1):36-38.

 $\_$  , and the set of th *© 2020 Perween et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/54685*