



# Impact of Dietary Lysine Supplementation on Growth Performance of Large White Pigs in Tropical Environments

Kpassi Seme <sup>a,b\*</sup>, Koffi Ganyo Somenutse <sup>b</sup>,  
Wémbedeba Magueda <sup>c</sup>, Didja Léon Madiala <sup>c</sup>,  
Oyegunle Emmanuel Oke <sup>d,e</sup>, Kokou Voemesse <sup>b,d</sup>  
and Wéré Pitala <sup>a,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Higher School of Agronomy, University of Lomé  
ESA/UL, 01PO Box: 1515 Lomé, Togo.

<sup>b</sup> Togolese Institute of Agronomic Research, PO Box: 1163 Lomé, Togo.

<sup>c</sup> National Agricultural Training Institute of Tové, PO Box: 401 Kpalimé Togo.

<sup>d</sup> Regional Center of Excellence on Avian Sciences (CERSA), University of Lomé, 01 PO BOX: 1515  
Lomé, Togo.

<sup>e</sup> Department of Animal Physiology, Federal University of Agriculture, PO Box 2240, Abeokuta,  
Nigeria.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors KS, KGS, WM and DLM designed the protocol, collected and analyzed the data. Authors KS, KGS, KV, WP wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajob/2024/v20i10442>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121176>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/06/2024

Accepted: 05/08/2024

Published: 28/09/2024

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [semejoseh@gmail.com](mailto:semejoseh@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Seme, Kpassi, Koffi Ganyo Somenutse, Wémbedeba Magueda, Didja Léon Madiala, Oyegunle Emmanuel Oke, Kokou Voemesse, and Wéré Pitala. 2024. "Impact of Dietary Lysine Supplementation on Growth Performance of Large White Pigs in Tropical Environments". *Asian Journal of Biology* 20 (10):40-48. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajob/2024/v20i10442>.

## ABSTRACT

**Context:** The presence of lysine in the feed ration of pigs optimizes their growth rate and feed efficiency.

**Objective:** This study evaluated the effect of lysine supplementation on the production performance of weaned piglets.

**Methods:** A total of 120 Large White weanlings were subjected to four treatments (L0.95, L1, L1.15, and L1.3) with four replicates. Animals in batches L0.95, L1, L1.15 and L1.3 were fed a base ration supplemented with 0.95%, 1%, 1.15% and 1.3% lysine, respectively. Data were collected on feed intake, body weights and body measurements, such as back length, thoracic perimeter and height at the withers. The data collected were analyzed using one-way ANOVA.

**Results:** This study showed that live weight and feed intake were statistically similar for all batches; however, batch L1 gave the best feed intake index and average daily gain compared to the other batches. The strongest correlation was observed between weight and chest circumference. Economic evaluation revealed that the best production costs were found in batches L1 and L1.15.

**Conclusion:** Lysine supplementation at 1% in the diet resulted in better production and economic performance in large white piglets.

**Implications:** Lysine is a limiting factor in the growth of weaned pigs and strongly recommended to breeders.

*Keywords: Lysine; genetics; growth; piglets.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pigs are reared all over the world. In rural areas, in particular, many families own a small number of pigs, which they allow to roam freely and use for family consumption. There are also pig farms in peri-urban areas, which contribute to the population's food supply. In the context of strong demographic growth in most African countries, pork offers many advantages in areas where religious prohibitions do not prevent its consumption. To effectively help reduce poverty and the shortage of meat products, it is important to improve the production of short-cycle animals, particularly pig [1]. This is a species with a short reproduction and production cycle, high prolificacy and the ability to make the most of a wide range of diets [2]. The contribution of the livestock sub-sector represents 16.56% of agricultural GDP, and pig rearing in Togo is practised by 21.1% of farm households [3].

Several factors influence pig growth, including feed. It represents the most costly item in pig breeding, accounting for 60 to 80% of production costs [4]. The availability of new free amino acids on the market makes improving animal performance possible. Lysine is the most limiting essential amino acid in pig feeds, and the other limiting amino acids are methionine, threonine and tryptophan [5]. However, pig diets are generally based on maize and soya; which are generally low in lysine, while legumes such as soya are low in methionine. Protein resources

such as fishmeal and soya face competition for feed with other livestock or scarcity, leading to higher prices [6]. Fishmeal, especially that available in Togo and the sub-region, is also of dubious quality, limiting its use by livestock farmers [7].

Due to these challenges, some studies have shown the possibility of using lysine and methionine in their free state for supplementation with improved performance in pigs [8]. Thus, for an amino acid such as lysine, extreme levels of deficiency or excess adversely affect feed intake and growth [9]. Those studies have been conducted as references to determine the limit of lysine supplementation in weaner piglet diets; however, very few data exist in tropical environments, particularly in Togo. In this context, our study aimed was initiated to assess the effect of dietary lysine supplementation on the growth performance of weaned piglets.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

This study was carried out at a farm named "CARREFOUR" at Agamahè (Latitude: 06°43'12.39" North, Longitude: 01°10'46.92" East), Gamé canton (Fig. 1). Agamahè is located on National Road N° 1, in the Zio district, about 70 km north of the city of Lomé (Maritime region) in Togo. The area has a sub-equatorial Guinean climate with four seasons (02 rainy seasons and




Fig. 1. Study area

02 dry seasons). The main rainy season covers the period from March to mid-July and the short rainy season, from mid-September to November [10]. The average annual rainfall ranges from 800 to 950 mm. Average annual temperatures range from 27 °C to 30 °C [11].

## 2.2 Experimental Animals

One hundred and twenty 10 weeks-old weaned piglets from a Large White sow x boar cross, including 40 females, 40 whole males and 40 castrated males with an average live weight of  $10.77 \pm 0.42$  kg, were selected from the herd. The 120 piglets were then divided into four (04) batches of six (06) subjects each: 2 castrated males, 2 whole males and 2 females. Each treatment was replicated four times. The treatments were:

- Treatment L0.95: diet supplemented with 0.95% lysine ;
- Treatment L1 : diet supplemented with 1% lysine ;
- Treatment L1.15 : diet supplemented with 1.15% lysine ;

- Treatment L1.3: diet supplemented with 1.3% lysine.

These treatments were based on the updated methodology of Lounon (1969). All the pigs underwent a two-week habituation period with each experimental formula.

### 2.2.1 Experimental pigsty

The 32-stall barn was completely covered with galvanized sheet metal. All experimental pens were cleaned every morning before feeding. Troughs and drinkers were made of concrete.

### 2.2.2 Experimental feed

Various local ingredients were used in the feed formulation (Table 1).

### 2.2.3 Veterinary care

The animals were subjected to preventive treatment during the pre-trial phase and also a month after starting the experiment. The veterinary products used were: (i) Ivermectin at a dose of 1 ml per subject; (ii) Teroxylin 20% LA

at a dose of 2 ml per subject; (iii) Vit AD3E 300 INJ at a dose of 2 ml per subject.

## 2.3 Data Collection

Measurements such as animal weights and body dimensions (thoracic perimeter: TP, back length: BL, height at withers: HW) were taken throughout the experiment (D0, D15, D30, D45 and D60). All these data were collected in the morning, just after cleaning and before feeding.

## 2.4 Parameters

Daily individual feed consumption (DIFC)

$$DIFC (kg) = \frac{\text{Supplied feed} - \text{Remaining feed}}{\text{Number of pigs}} \quad (1)$$

Average Live Weight (ALW)

$$ALW (kg) = \frac{\sum \text{pigs weight from a treatment}}{\text{Number of pigs}} \quad (2)$$

Feed consumption index (FCI)

$$FCI = \frac{\text{Quantity of food consumed over a period of time}}{\text{Weight Gain during the same period}} \quad (3)$$

Average Daily Gain (GMQ)

$$GMQ (g) = \frac{\text{Average weight of last weighing} - \text{average weight of previous weighing}}{\text{Number of days between weighings}} \quad (4)$$

Production cost (PC)

$$PC (F CFA) = \frac{\text{Feed intake cost}}{\text{Weight gain}} \quad (5)$$

Production costs included feed, veterinary products, labour, electricity and water bills. Electricity was used for pumping water, estimated at 5,000 F CFA, or 1,250 F CFA for each treatment. The charge for veterinary products amounts to 8,800 F CFA or 1,760 F CFA per batch throughout the experiment. Labour costs were based on the salary received by the pig farmer. For a salary of 35,000 F CFA/month to maintain 120 pigs, the labour to maintain the 40 pigs over two months is estimated at 5,850 F CFA.

## 2.5 Statistical Analyses

The experiment was organized according to a completely randomized design. Data were

analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.1 (244) statistical software, using the ANOVA one-way test for analysis of variance. Means were compared using the TUKEY test, and the probability of  $p < 0.05$  was taken as the significance threshold. Results are presented as means plus or minus the standard error on the mean ( $M \pm S.E.M$ ).

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Effects of Lysine on Production Performance

The average daily feed consumption per animal showed no variation in lysine levels over the experimental period (Fig. 2). According to feed conversion rates, a significant difference was observed between batches L0.95; L1.3 and L1; L1.15 (Fig. 3). Live weight was statistically identical in all batches (Fig. 4). With regard to GMQ, bath L1 was statistically superior ( $p < 0.05$ ) to bath L0.95; however, no significant difference was obtained between bath L0.95 and L1.3, or between bath L1 and L1.15 (Fig. 5).

### 3.2 Body Dimensions

The body dimensions of the pig for the different treatments and their correlations with weights, varied according to the periods of measurements (Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5).

### 3.3 Production Cost

Supplementation with 1% lysine gave the best production cost (867.49 F CFA). On the other hand, the highest production cost was obtained with bath L0.95 (1,191.70 F CFA) (Table 6).

## 4. DISCUSSION

This study assessed the effect of lysine supplementation on the production performance of weaned piglets. It was found that the supplementation did not affect feed intake. This may be linked to the energy balance of the different feed rations formulated. These results corroborate those of Kendall et al. [12] on the commercial validation of true digestible lysine requirements in pigs from 11 to 27 kg. However, these results differ from those obtained by Millet et al. [13], who showed a decrease in feed intake when lysine supplementation exceeded 0.98%. The average live weight of piglets at the start of the experiment,  $10.77 \pm 0.42$  kg, was similar to

that obtained by Lougnon and Brette [14], Gaudré et al. [15] and Kendall et al. [12] in their study. The GMQ obtained over the entire trial

period is similar to those reported by Millet et al. [13]. These similar results may be linked to the source of the lysine used.




Fig. 2. Food consumption of piglets according to treatments




Fig. 3. Body weight according to treatments




Fig. 4. Average daily gain of piglets according to treatments




Fig. 5. Variation of consumption index according to treatments

Table 1. Feed composition

Raw materials	Witness		Lysine-based ration		
	L0	L0.95	L1	L1.15	L1.3
Maize	43.5	43.5	43.5	43.5	43.5
Rice bran	6	6	6	6	6
Wheat bran	6	6	6	6	6
Soya	16	16	16	16	16
Cotton cake	5	5	5	5	5
Palm kernel cake	12	12	12	12	12
Beer spent grains	10	10	10	10	10
Lysine	0	0.95	1	1.15	1.3
Shell	1	1	1	1	1
Salt	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100.95</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>101.15</b>	<b>101.3</b>
<b>Chemical values of rations</b>					
DE porc kcal / kg	3124.5	3171.7	3174.2	3181.6	3189.1
Crude protein (%)	18.1	19.01	19.05	19.2	19.35
Crude Cellulose (%)	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Lysine (%)	0.7	1.54	1.58	1.7	1.81
Methionine (%)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Methionine + cystine (%)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table 2. Piglet back length trends (BL)

Age	Batch				Sign.
	L0.95	L1	L1.15	L1.3	
J1	55.83 ± 0.70	61.00 ± 2.52	59.00 ± 0.82	60.00 ± 2.05	0.197
J15	60.33 ± 0.99	64.50 ± 1.88	62.83 ± 0.54	63.33 ± 1.50	0.188
J30	63.50 ± 0.76	68.83 ± 2.21	67.33 ± 0.56	65.50 ± 1.48	0.075
J45	66.00 ± 0.63	70.17 ± 2.06	69.67 ± 0.56	69.00 ± 1.81	0.199
J60	67.50 ± 0.76	71.33 ± 1.89	72.50 ± 0.67	69.67 ± 1.75	0.092

**Table 3. Evolution of piglet thoracic perimeter (TP)**

Age	Batch				Sign.
	L0.95	L1	L1.15	L1.3	
J1	59.33 ± 0.80	56.50 ± 2.73	53.67 ± 2.32	56.67 ± 2.39	0.366
J15	61.33 ± 0.76	59.33 ± 2.86	59.67 ± 1.17	58.67 ± 1.69	0.757
J30	62.50 ± 0.67	61.83 ± 3.08	63.33 ± 1.02	61.00 ± 1.92	0.848
J45	64.00 ± 0.86	67.00 ± 3.11	67.67 ± 0.67	64.33 ± 1.99	0.446
J60	66.33 ± 1.02	70.67 ± 2.82	72.00 ± 1.07	67.50 ± 2.08	0.155

**Table 4. Piglet height at withers (HW)**

Age	Batch				Sign.
	L0.95	L1	L1.15	L1.3	
J1	39.00 ± 0.45	39.67 ± 1.76	38.67 ± 0.49	37,50 ± 0,50	0.476
J15	42.33 ± 0.67	42.67 ± 1.38	42.50 ± 0.85	42,33 ± 1,41	0.996
J30	45.83 ± 0.31	44.00 ± 1.10	45.67 ± 0.49	44.50 ± 0.81	0.257
J45	48.17 ± 0.48	46.67 ± 0.96	47.17 ± 0.60	46,33 ± 0,96	0.388
J60	49.67 ± 0.33	48.83 ± 0.60	49.67 ± 0.42	47.67 ± 0.99	0.120

**Table 5. Correlation coefficients between weight and various body dimensions**

Variables	Lot batch				Correlation
	L0.95	L1	L1.15	L1.3	
Weight	16.21	18.86	18.25	17.75	
TP	62.7	63.07	63.27	61.63	0.91
BL	62.63	67.17	66.27	65.5	0.89
HW	45	44.37	44.73	43.67	0.81

**Table 6. Estimated production cost per kilogram of live weight**

Variables	Batch			
	L0.95	L1	L1.15	L1.3
Quantity of feed intake (kg) (A)	29.54	30.10	30.11	29.16
Cost per unit (F CFA) (B)	269.00	270.00	275.00	279.00
Feed cost (F CFA) (C)	7946.26	8127.00	8280.25	8135.64
Weight gain (kg) (D)	12.50	17.38	16.89	13.42
Veterinary products (E)	2200.00	2200.00	2200.00	2200.00
Labor cost (F)	3500.00	3500.00	3500.00	3500.00
Electricity and water bills (G)	1250.00	1250.00	1250.00	1250.00
Production Charge or Cost of kg (F CFA) (C+E+F+G)/D	1191.70	867.49	901.73	1124.12

However, our ADGs were lower compared with those obtained by Aherne and Nielsen [16]. This difference may be linked to the low protein content and low energy content of the feed. The better growth obtained in piglets of batch L1 coincides with the results of Henry [17], who obtained the best growth performance in piglets with 1% lysine supplementation. In contrast, Chauvel and Granier [18] found a better growth performance with 1.15% lysine supplementation. This may be explained by the fact that they raised their piglet to 40 kg live weights, whereas the live weights of our pigs at the end of the

experiment was 28 kg in the present study. Similarly, Aherne and Nielsen [16] achieved a rapid growth by supplementing piglets with 1.15% lysine. The availability of lysine could explain the better growth performance of treatments L1 and L1.15. This is the reference amino acid for improving digestibility and nutrient absorption [19].

However, the low live weights and GMQ obtained with batch L1,3 may be explained by the excessive use of lysine, which would have resulted in an antagonistic action with other

amino acids, leading to adverse effects on growth performance. Goodband and Nelssen [9] have shown that excess lysine utilization antagonizes arginine and adversely affects piglet growth performance. The best consumption index obtained in our study is higher than that of Aherne and Nielsen [16] and Millet et al. [13]; this could be explained by the difference between the breeds used.

The various body parts measured on the piglets showed a strong correlation with average weights. The coefficient of 0.91 obtained for the thoracic perimeter is higher than that found by Delate and Babu [20] overall (0.85) on Creole pigs in rural Haiti. Of the fourteen body dimensions considered in their study, chest circumference and back had the best correlation, which agrees with our results. Our results corroborate the findings of Faarungsang and Chantsawang [21], who showed that irrespective of genetic type, chest circumference had the strongest correlation with live weight, followed by back length and height at withers. The best predictability of weights by chest circumference was also reported by Somenutse et al. [22] on local pigs in Togo [23].

## 5. CONCLUSION

The study on the effect of lysine supplementation in the diet on the growth performance of weaned piglets produced several results. Supplementing piglets' diets with 1% lysine improved average daily gain, feed conversion ratio and cost of production. However, it did not affect feed consumption and average live weight for the different treatments over the entire experimental period. The technical-economic evaluation shows that lysine can be used at 1% as a feed supplement in piglets without compromising their growth performance. These findings have significant implications for the swine industry, suggesting that lysine supplementation can be a cost-effective strategy for improving piglet growth. Chest circumference remains the best variable for predicting body weights.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data used to generate the results in the paper are available at my level.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful Mr. Bêhema Cyrille LETAABA, the General Director of the CARREFOUR farm, for having accepted us, offering a favorable framework and for having made available to us the material and financial means for the smooth running of the internship.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Faye B. The role of livestock in the fight against poverty. *Revue Élev. Méd. vét. Pays trop.* 2001;231-238.
2. Missohou A, Niang M, Foucher H, Dieye PN. Pig farming systems in Lower Casamance (Senegal). *Agriculture Notebooks.* 2001;10(6): 405-408.
3. FAO. Review of the livestock/meat & milk sectors and the policies that influence them in Togo. 2017;76.
4. Somenutse KG, Bankole AA, Djiwa O. Guide to good practices for breeding, transporting, marketing and slaughtering pigs. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Lomé. 2022;50.
5. Henry Y. Meaning of balanced protein for pork: Interest and limits. *INRA Productions Animales.* 1988;1(1):65-74.
6. Hamidou IL, Zakari O, Francis F, Caparros Megido R. Techniques for producing house fly maggots (*Musca domestica* L. 1758) for poultry feed, bibliographical summary. *Tropicicultura.* 2021;39:1-23.
7. Attivi K. Effect of replacing fish meal with soldier fly maggot meal on broiler performance. Master's thesis at CERSA Togo. 2017;62.
8. Lounnon J. Feed supplementation with lysine and methionine in growing-finishing pigs, influence of sex and rationing. *J. Rech. Porc. en France.* 1970;85.
9. Goodband R, Nelssen J. The effects of porcine somatotropin and dietary lysine on growth performance and carcass



- characteristics of finishing swine. *Journal of Animal*. 1990;68(10):3261-3276.
10. Seme K, Pitala W, Osseyi G. Nutritional and hygienic quality of raw milk from suckling cows in the maritime region of South Togo. *European Scientific Journal*. 2015;11(36).
  11. Agbodan KML, Akpavi S, Agbodan K, Kanda M, Amegnaglo K, Adrou-Aledji A, Batawila K, Akpagana K. Agromorphological description and determination of the antioxidant potential of underutilized and newly introduced varieties of maize, cassava, cowpea and pepper in the eastern maritime region of Togo. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*. 2020 ;20(3): 15936-15953.
  12. Kendall DC, Gaines AM, Allee GL, Usry JL. Commercial validation of the true ileal digestible lysine requirement for eleven- to twenty-seven-kilogram pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*. 2008;86:324-332.
  13. Millet S, Aluwé M, Le Gall E, Corrent E, Lambert W, De Sutter J, Ampe B, De Campeneere S. The standardized ileal digestible lysine requirement of piglets weighing 8 to 24 kg. *Swine Research Days, Paris, France*. 2017;121-122.
  14. Lougnon J, Brette A. Assessment of the lysine requirement of weaned piglets. *Annals of Zootechnics*. 1971;397-397.
  15. Gaudré D, Le Bellego L, Enandorena V, Granier R, Quiniou N. Zootechnical impact of the digestible lysine content per net energy unit of the feed distributed between 12 and 25 kg of live weight. *Pig Research Days in France*. 2007;39:103-110.
  16. Aherne F, Nielsen H. Lysine requirement of pigs weighing 7 to 19 kg liveweight. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*. 1983;63(1):221-224.
  17. Henry Y. Refinement of the concept of the ideal protein for growing pigs. *INRA Productions Animales*. 1993;6(3):199-212.
  18. Chauvel J, Granier R. Effect of the lysine/energy ratio on piglet performance: Incidence of post-weaning feed distribution up to 40 kg. *Research Days Pork in France*. 1999;31:261-266.
  19. Bourdon D, Henry Y. Effects of industrial lysine supplementation on growth performance in pigs: Comparison of two forms of intake (HCl and sulfate). *Pig Research Days in France*. 1985;17: 371-381.
  20. Delate JJ, Babu R. Determination of barymetric equations on hardy pigs in a tropical environment. *Research Days Pork in France*. 1990;22:35-42.
  21. Faarungsang S, Chantsawang S. In second world congress on genetics applied to livestock production. *Garsi Madrid Spain*. 1982;505-509.
  22. Somenutse K, Aziadekey M, Kulo A. Characterization of local pig in Togo and prediction by barymetry of its live weight. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 2019;31(7).
  23. Porphyre V. Challenges and constraints of the pig sectors in West Africa. 2009;26-27.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121176>