

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 9, Page 284-292, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.122979 ISSN: 2320-7035

Comparative Analysis of Soil Physico-Chemical Properties Across Different Land-Use Systems

Komal Bhatt ^{a*}, S. B. Agrawal ^a, B. S. Dwivedi ^b, **Deeksha Gupta ^a , Swati Priya c++, Poornima Malviya ^d and Mukul Anand Ray ^e**

^a Department of Forestry, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur-482004 (M.P.), India. ^b Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur-482004 (M.P.), India. c ICFRE-Eco-rehabilitation Centre, Prayagraj, UP-211002, India. ^dDepartment of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur-482004 (M.P.), India. ^e Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur, MP-482021, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94978](https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94978)

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122979>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/07/2024 Accepted: 03/09/2024 Published: 04/09/2024

ABSTRACT

A research investigation was conducted at the Research Farm, the Department of Forestry, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, located in Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. The aim of this study was to assess the impact of various land-use regimes on the physical and chemical characteristics of soil during the Rabi season, namely during the fiscal years 2022-23 and

++ Ph.D. Research Scholar;

**Corresponding author: E-mail: komalbhatt2797@gmail.com;*

Cite as: Bhatt, Komal, S. B. Agrawal, B. S. Dwivedi, Deeksha Gupta, Swati Priya, Poornima Malviya, and Mukul Anand Ray. 2024. "Comparative Analysis of Soil Physico-Chemical Properties Across Different Land-Use Systems". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (9):284-92. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94978.

2023-24. An experimental split-split plot design was used to perform the experiment. The main plot consisted of two land-use systems: Agroforestry system (S_1) and Open system (S_2) . The sub-plots were the different crop establishment methods: Broadcasting (M₁), Line sowing (M₂) and Transplanting (M3). Within each sub-plot, four sub-sub plots were laid which represented different boron levels: Control (B₀), 1 kg B ha⁻¹ as Basal (B₁), 2 kg B ha⁻¹ as Basal (B₂) and 1/₂ kg B ha⁻¹ as Basal + $\frac{1}{2}$ kg B ha⁻¹ as foliar (B₃). The soil samples under the treatments were tested to determine the physico-chemical properties of the soil. The results indicated that the agroforestry system had a significantly positive influence on the physical and chemical properties of the soil, in comparison to the open system. The agroforestry system reduced pH (7.07), bulk density (1.30 g cm⁻³) and electrical conductivity (0.28 dS m⁻¹) in soil. The agroforestry system promoted organic carbon (0.72%) and water holding capacity (39.52%) of soil. Available nutrients *viz.* nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and boron in the soil, with respective values of 290, 18.8, 191 kg ha⁻¹ and 0.76 mg ha⁻¹ increased under agroforestry system compared to the open system. Therefore, this study asserts that among the different land-use systems, agroforestry enhances the physico-chemical properties, and adoption of tree based farming system in the long run can improve soil fertility.

Keywords: Agroforestry; mustard; open system; physico-chemical properties; shisham; soil.

1. INTRODUCTION

Intense agricultural practices in the tropical and sub-tropical region of the Indian sub-continent are causing a decline in soil fertility and depletion of organic matter [1,2]. The health and sustainability of agroecosystems heavily rely on the soil condition, making it a crucial resource [3,4]. The majority of soils lack adequate fertility to provide all the essential elements required for healthy growth and development [5]. Moreover, achieving a consistent crop production and maintaining soil health over an extended period is challenging. Agriculture depletes nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium at a faster pace than mineral fertilisers restore them, leading to nutrient mining [6,7]. Nevertheless, this problem may be effectively addressed by the use of agroforestry techniques. Agroforestry systems are often recognized as a holistic and effective remedy for the issues arising from intensive agriculture [8-10].

The large-scale implementation of agroforestry Systems (AFS) is an essential strategy to diversify land use systems and fulfil the diverse needs of people, while diminishing adverse effects on the agricultural environment [11,12]. Ecological interactions in Agroforestry systems offer several benefits which include improved soil fertility through nitrogen fixation [13], increased organic matter [14], nutrient recycling [15], higher biomass production per unit area, enhanced uptake of water and nutrients [16,17] and the provision of a protective barrier against soil erosion and wind as provided by trees [18-20]. The judicious choice of tree and crop species in Agroforestry systems is essential for mitigating

land degradation, enhancing soil productivity [17], assuring land sustainability [21] and boosting resource allocation efficiency [22]. Agroforestry is considered a sustainable land management approach that improves soil quality and health. The implementation of an agroforestry land use system might be considered a feasible approach to partially alleviate land degradation.

This paper will provide empirical insights into the physical and chemical features of soil under varied land use systems, specifically focusing on mustard cultivation with varying crop establishment methods and levels of boron. The findings will offer valuable guidance for researchers in formulating and executing land-use programs that safeguard soil health and guarantee enduring environmental sustainability.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site: The experiment was conducted in the *Dalbergia sissoo* based agroforestry and open system at the Research Farm, Department of Forestry, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. The experimental site is situated at an altitude of 391 meters above sea level. The location of the area is at a latitude of 23° 12' 50" north and a longitude of 79° 57' 56" east in the Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hill agroclimatic zones of Madhya Pradesh. The climate is defined by extremely hot and dry summers, with an average highest temperature of 46°C and extremely cold and dry winters, with an average lowest temperature of 4°C. Jabalpur receives an average annual precipitation of 1350 mm. The region is famous for its high relative humidity levels, which reach 80 to 90% during the rainy season, 60 to 75% during the summer and 20 to 23% during the winter.

The experiment employed a split-split plot design, with land-use systems $(S_1 =$ agroforestry and S_2 = open system) as main plot treatment, crop establishment methods namely M_1 = broadcasting (randomly scattered), M_2 = line sowing (30 x 10 cm) and M_3 = transplanting (45 x 15 cm) as sub-plot treatments. Furthermore, the four sub-sub plot treatments included different levels of boron application ($B_0 =$ Control *i.e.*, 0 kg ha⁻¹, B₁ = 1 kg ha⁻¹ as basal, B₂ = 2 kg ha⁻¹ as basal and $B_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ kg ha⁻¹ as basal + $\frac{1}{2}$ kg ha⁻¹ as foliar) in the rabi seasons of 2022–23 and 2023– 24. The experiment consisted of using 24 distinct
treatment combinations $(S_1M_1B_0, S_1M_1B_1, S_2M_2B_2)$ treatment combinations $(S_1M_1B_0, S_1M_1B_1,$ S1M1B2, S1M1B3, S1M2B0, S1M2B1, S1M2B2, $S_1M_2B_3$, $S_1M_3B_0$, $S_1M_3B_1$, $S_1M_3B_2$, $S_1M_3B_3$, S2M1B0, S2M1B1, S2M1B2, S2M1B3, S2M2B0, S2M2B1, S2M2B2, S2M2B3, S2M3B0, S2M3B1, S2M3B2, S2M3B3). The treatments were allocated randomly into three distinct replications. Mustard was grown in the plots measuring 3.6 m x15 m between the alleys of 24-year-old *Dalbergia sissoo* trees. The trees are planted with a uniform distance of 5 x 5 m. Recommended dose of fertiliser (80:40:40 N: P: K kg ha⁻¹, respectively) was supplemented to the crop.

Soil sampling and analysis: Prior to sowing the crop for field experiment, a comprehensive soil sample was collected to assess the initial soil condition. The purpose of obtaining a soil sample before conducting the experiment was to obtain initial data for physico-chemical properties of soil. In order to get spatial diversity, five distinct sampling locations were chosen at random within each area and collected using an auger from the root zone at a depth of 0-15 cm.

After collection of soil samples, they were carried to the laboratory and underwent different procedures for different properties. To achieve uniformity, the soil samples were grounded into smaller particles and any undesirable material was removed. The pH of soil was measured using a glass electrode on a digital pH meter [23] after allowing it to reach equilibrium for half an hour in a 1:2.5 ratio of soil to water. The soil sample's electrical conductivity was measured at 25° C using a conductivity meter [24] in a 1:2.5 ratio of soil to water suspension. The organic carbon content was assessed using the method developed by Walkley and Black [25]. The alkaline potassium permanganate method, as described by Subbiah and Asija [26], was used for the determination of nitrogen available in the soil. The method used for extraction of available phosphorus was performed by following the procedure described by Olsen *et al*., [27]. Available potassium was assessed by extracting it with 1 N ammonium acetate solution at pH 7 and the potassium concentration was measured using a flame photometer, as described by Jackson, 1973. The boron availability was estimated using the hot-water soluble method developed by Gupta [28], which was further simplified by the utilisation of azomethine-H [29].

3. RESULTS

Effect of land-use systems: The impacts of the land-use systems were recorded on soil physicochemical parameters, including pH, EC, OC, bulk density, water holding capacity, available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and boron, as shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

The land-use system did not have a substantial impact on pH and electrical conductivity. Agroforestry, however, decreased the bulk density of the soil which in-turn promoted water retention capacity in soil as compared to the open system. Additionally, it was determined that the agroforestry system exhibits higher levels of organic matter, available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and boron than the open system.

Effect of crop establishment methods: There were no significant influences marked on soil physico-chemical parameters (Tables 2, 3 and 4) *viz.* pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, bulk density, water holding capacity, available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and boron due to crop establishment methods. However, pH, electrical conductivity and bulk density decreased with the transplanting method. Meanwhile, organic carbon, water holding capacity and available nutrients (N, P, K and B) were recorded to be highest with transplanting.

Effect of boron levels: The influences on soil physico-chemical parameters (Tables 2, 3 and 4) due to boron levels were marked to be nonsignificant.

4. DISCUSSION

The reduction of bulk density and increased water holding capacity in soil under agroforestry

Table 1. Physio-chemical properties of the soil in the experimental field

Table 2. pH, Electrical Conductivity and Organic Carbon in soil as affected by crop establishment methods and boron levels under different systems

Y¹ – 2022-23 Y² – 2023-24

Table 3. Organic Carbon, Bulk density and Water holding capacity in soil as affected by crop establishment methods and boron levels under different systems

Y¹ – 2022-23 Y² – 2023-24

Table 4. Available Nitrogen, Phosphorous, Potassium and Boron in soil as affected by crop establishment methods and boron levels under different systems

 \overline{a} j.

Y¹ – 2022-23 Y² – 2023-24

systems may be attributed to the rise in organic matter resulting from the built-up of leaf litter. The findings have been reported by Singh *et al*., [31] and Mesfin and Haileselassie [32]. Furthermore, the increased content of carbon and available nutrients in soil under the agroforestry system may be attributed to the presence of leaf litter, which facilitated the accessibility of organic matter and nutrients, thereby enhancing the water retention capacity within the agroforestry system. These findings coincide with Kumar *et al*., [33] and Bisht *et al*., [34], Sharma et al. [35].

5. CONCLUSION

The two-year research showed that intentionally integrating trees onto agricultural land using suitable species and optimal management techniques improves the soil physico-chemical characteristics. Trees enhance water retention capacity, organic matter content and nutrient accessibility by augmenting the quantity of organic matter *via* leaf biomass, branches and underground roots. The implementation of Agroforestry can enrich soil fertility and mitigate soil degradation, therefore rejuvenating the soil. Agroforestry has the capacity to restore deteriorated soils, enhance agricultural output and promote ecological sustainability. However, it is imperative to conduct a comprehensive, long-term and extensive investigation to observe the changes in the physical characteristics of soil.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Scotti R, Bonanomi G, Scelza R, Zoina A, Rao MA. Organic amendments as sustainable tool to recovery fertility in intensive agricultural systems. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2015;15(2):333-352.
- 2. Gomiero T. Soil degradation, land scarcity and food security: Reviewing a complex challenge. Sustainability. 2016;8(3): 281.
- 3. Magdoff, F. Concept, components and strategies of soil health in

agroecosystems. Journal of Nematology. 2001;33(4): 169.

- 4. Arshad MA, and Martin S. Identifying critical limits for soil quality indicators in agro-ecosystems. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2002;88(2):153-160.
- 5. Lal R. Soils and food sufficiency: A review. Sustainable agriculture. 2009;25-49.
- 6. Jones DL, Cross P, Withers PJ, DeLuca TH, Robinson DA, Quilliam RS, et al. Nutrient stripping: the global disparity between food security and soil nutrient stocks. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2013;50(4): 851-862.
- 7. Sanyal SK, Majumdar K, Singh VK. Nutrient management in Indian agriculture with special reference to nutrient mining-A relook. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2014;62(4):307-325.
- 8. Sarvade S, and Singh R. Role of agroforestry in food security. Popular Kheti. 2014;2(2):25-29.
- 9. Cardinael R, Cadisch G, Gosme M, Oelbermann M, Van Noordwijk M. Climate change mitigation and adaptation in agriculture: Why agroforestry should be part of the solution. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2021;319: 107555.
- 10. Pantera Α, Mosquera-Losada MR, Herzog F, Den Herder M. Agroforestry and the environment. Agroforestry Systems. 2021;95(5):767-774.
- 11. Udawatta RP., Rankoth LM, Jose S. Agroforestry and biodiversity. Sustainability. 2019;11(10):2879.
- 12. Jose S. Environmental impacts and benefits of agroforestry. In Oxford research encyclopedia of environmental science; 2019.
- 13. Kim DG, and Isaac ME. Nitrogen dynamics in agroforestry systems. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2022;42(4):60.
- 14. Fonte SJ, Barrios E, Six J. Earthworms, soil fertility and aggregate-associated soil organic matter dynamics in the Quesungual agroforestry system. Geoderma. 2010;155(3-4):320-328.
- 15. Sileshi GW, Mafongoya PL, Nath AJ. Agroforestry systems for improving nutrient recycling and soil fertility on degraded lands. Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges. 2020;(1):225-253.
- 16. Gama-Rodrigues AC. Soil organic matter, nutrient cycling and biological dinitrogen-

fixation in agroforestry systems. Agroforestry Systems. 2011;81:191- 193.

- 17. Fahad S, Chavan SB, Chichaghare AR, Uthappa AR, Kumar M, Kakade V, et al. Agroforestry systems for soil health improvement and maintenance. Sustainability. 2022;14(22):14877.
- 18. Atangana A, Khasa D, Chang S, Degrande A, Atangana A, Khasa D, et al. Agroforestry for soil conservation. Tropical Agroforestry. 2014;203-216.
- 19. Tomar JMS, Ahmed A, Bhat JA, Kaushal R, Shukla G, Kumar R. Potential and opportunities of agroforestry practices in combating land degradation. Agroforestrysmall Landholder's Tool for Climate Change Resiliency and Mitigation; 2021.
- 20. Jinger D, Kumar R, Kakade V, Dinesh D, Singh G, Pande VC, et al. Agroforestry for controlling soil erosion and enhancing system productivity in ravine lands of Western India under climate change scenario. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2022;194(4): 267.
- 21. Raj A, Jhariya MK, Yadav DK, Banerjee A, Meena RS. Agroforestry: A holistic approach for agricultural sustainability. Sustainable Agriculture, Forest and Environmental Management. 2019;101- 131.
- 22. Dhyani SK, Newaj R, Sharma AR. Agroforestry: Its relation with agronomy, challenges and opportunities. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2009;54(3):249-266.
- 23. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis. Hans Publishers, Bombay, India; 1966.
- 24. Black CA. Methods of Soil Analysis: CA Black, Editor-in-chief. DD Evans [and Others] Associate Editors. RC Dinauer, Managing Editor. American Society of Agronomy; 1965.
- 25. Walkley and Black CA. An examination of the different method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method, Soil Science. 1934;37:29-33.
- 26. Subbiah BV, and Asijah GL. A rapid procedure for determination of available

nitrogen in soil. Current Sciences. 1956;25:259-260.

- 27. Olsen SR, Watanabe FS, Cosper HR, Larson WE, Nelson LB. Residual
phosphorus availability in long-time phosphorus availability in rotations on calcareous soils. Soil Science. 1954;78(2):141-152.
- 28. Gupta UC. A simplified method for determining hot-water soluble boron in podzol soils. Soil Sci. 1967;103:424- 28.
- 29. John MK, Chuah HH, Nduefeld JH. Application of improved azomethine–H method for determination of Boron in Soils and Plants. 1975;8:559-68.
- 30. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1973.
- 31. Singh I, Rawat P, Kumar A, Bhatt P. Soil physico-bio-chemical properties under different agroforestry systems in Terai region of the Garhwal Hiamalayas. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):2813-2821.
- 32. Mesfin S, and Haileselassie H. Evaluation of soil physico-chemical properties as affected by canopies of scattered agroforestry trees on croplands. South African Journal of Plant and Soil. 2022;39(2):153-162.
- 33. Kumar P, Mishra AK, Chaudhari SK, Basak N, Rai P, Singh K, et al. Carbon pools and nutrient dynamics under Eucalyptus-based agroforestry system in semi-arid region of north-west India. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2018;66(2):188-199.
- 34. Bisht N, Sah VK, Satyawali K, Tiwari S. Comparison of wheat yield and soil properties under open and poplar based agroforestry system. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2017;9(3):1540- 1543.
- 35. Sharma P, Singh, MK, Tiwari P. Agroforestry: A land degradation control and mitigation approach. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2017;6(5):312-317.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

___ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122979>*