Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 4, Page 105-109, 2024; Article no.JEAI.112704
ISSN: 2457-0591
(Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Components of Genetic Variation for Yield and its Attributing Traits in Bottle Gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.)

Harshitha C. R. [a++*], G. C. Yadav [b], Anjali [a] and Abinash Kumar Patel [a]

[a] Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya-224229 (UP), India.
[b] Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences & Technology, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A central University) Lucknow 226025 (UP), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2024/v46i42345

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112704

Original Research Article

Received: 15/12/2023
Accepted: 20/02/2024
Published: 05/03/2024

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out to assess the gene action in bottle gourd for twenty- three characters at Main Experiment Station, Department of Vegetable Science, A.N.D.U.A.&T., Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P). during Zaid 2022 using 12 genotypes, nine lines i.e., Narendra Rashmi, NDBG-17, Pusa Naveen, NDBG-21, Punjab Komal, NDBG-28, NDBG-65-2, NDBG-105, NDBG-Sel-2 and three testers i.e., Narendra Prabha, Narendra Kamna, Narendra Pooja and their 27 hybrids obtained through line × tester mating pattern. The variances due to sca (σ2 s) were higher

[++] P.G. Students;
[#] Professor;
**Corresponding author: E-mail: harshithamudaliar@gmail.com;*

than variance due to gca (σ2g) for all the characters. The value of dominance genetic variances (σ2 D) were larger than additive genetic variance (σ2 A), indicating the presence of dominance and over dominance effects and preponderance of non-additive gene action .The heritability in narrow sense was low for most of the traits except fruit length, days to first staminate flower anthesis and primary branches per plant where high estimate of heritability in narrow sense with low genetic advance were recorded, indicating the presence of non-additive gene action accompanied by environmental influence.

Keywords: Environmental influence; genetic advance; soil-borne diseases; hybrid development, *L. siceraria* ssp. Siceraria; crop cultivation,

1. INTRODUCTION

"Bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.] (2n = 2x = 22), is a diploid, self-compatible, monoecious annual belonging to the genus Lagenaria of the Cucurbitaceae family" [1]. "Fresh fruit usually has light green smooth skin and white flesh and is frequently used in many regions of Asia and Africa as either a stir-fry or soup vegetable ingredient" [2,3]. "The bottle gourd is predominantly grown for its fruit which, when dry, forms a woody rind that is used mostly for the manufacture of containers, musical instrument and fishing floats" [4].

"Phylogenetically, bottle gourd is close to many economically important cucurbit species including cucumber and melon that belong to the genus of Cucumis, as well as watermelon that belongs to the genus Citrullus. It is known almost exclusively in cultivation but is probably native to Africa" [4]. "Two morphologically distinct subspecies of bottle gourd were recognized as: *L. siceraria* ssp. siceraria (the African and American/New World gourds) and *L. siceraria* ssp. asiatica (the Asian gourds)" [5], (Heiser,1973). "Another recent utilization of bottle gourd is as rootstock for watermelon against soil-borne diseases and low soil temperature" [6,7].

"The genetic variation in homozygous genotypes is entirely additive and additive-epistatic, but in segregating populations, both additive and non-additive genes are present. The nature and magnitude of gene action controlling quantitative traits is very useful for successful development of crop varieties through proper choice of parents for hybridization programme" [8,9] (Griffings, 1956).

The per se performance of parents alone cannot judge parents for their breeding value, it is important to understand the behavior and the nature of the genes involved to develop effective heterotic combinations [10,11]. Therefore, gene action, heritability in the narrow sense, and genetic advance are important to estimate the breeding value in selecting good combiner parents, and parental combinations for hybrid development [12,13]. Keeping in view of the above stated facts, the present investigation was carried out to assess the gene action involved in inheritance of various traits.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was conducted in a Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with three replications to appraise the performance of 27 F_1hybrids and their 12 parents (9 lines and 3 testers). The crop was sown in rows spaced at 3 meters apart with a plant to plant spacing of 0.50 meter at the MES, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya. All the recommended agronomic package of practices and protection measures were followed to raise good crop. Data were recorded on five randomly selected plant in each and every treatment for all the characters studied viz. days to first staminate flower anthesis, days to first pistillate flower anthesis, node number to first staminate flower appearance, node number to first pistillate flower appearance, length of pedicel of staminate flower, length of pedicel of pistillate flower, days to first harvest, primary branches per plant, vine length(m), number of node per vine, internodal length(cm), harvest duration, peduncle length, fruit length, average fruit circumference, average fruit weight(g), number of fruits per plant, fruit yield/ plant(kg) ,total soluble solids%, reducing sugars%, non-reducing sugar%, total sugars% ,dry matter.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimates of components of variation are given in table 1. Combining ability analysis

exhibited higher magnitude of variances due to sca (σ^2 s) than variance due to gca (σ^2g) indicating preponderance of non-additive gene action for all the characters. The value of sca variances were found significant and positive for all twenty three characters. Likewise, the significant gca effects were observed in the characters days to first staminate flower anthesis (3.02), days to first pistillate flower anthesis (3.06), node number to first pistillate flower appearance (0.82), length of pedicel of both staminate and pistillate flower appearance (0.66 ,0.33), days to first harvest (2.48), primary branches per plant (9.20), vine length (0.28), number of node per vine (6.95), fruit length (12.80), average fruit circumference (0.76), fruit yield per plant (0.25) and dry matter(0.06). Result were in conformity with the findings of Dubey and Maurya (2007), Sharma et al. (2007), Kumar et al., [14] Ray et al. [15], Adarsh et al. [16], Janaranjani et al. [17], Doloi et al. (2017), Rani and Reddy (2017), Maurya et al. [18], Mahawar et al. [19], Ahmad et al. (2022) in bottle gourd.

The average degree of dominance was more than unity (1) for all the characters except for characters i.e., days to first staminate flower anthesis (0.95) and fruit length (0.92) were less than unity (1) was observed which indicates existence of over dominance and preponderance of non-additive gene effect suggesting there by scope of development of F_1s as well as the recombinants within the segregating populations. The predictability ratio represents the proportion of phenotypic variation that can be attributed to genetic effect of tester line. The predictability ratio was less than 1 for all the characters, indicating that phenotypic variation observed in offspring is influenced by genetic factors and environmental interaction along with tester contribution.

The value of dominance genetic variances (σ^2 D) was larger than additive genetic variance (σ^2 A) for all the twenty-three characters studied. Additive genetic variance in lower magnitude than dominance variance for all the characters indicates the preponderance of dominance gene action.

Table 1. Components of variation

S.No	Characters	Gca variance $\sigma^2 g$ σ^2 g)	Sca variance $\sigma^2 s$	Average degree of dominance
1.	Days to first staminate flower anthesis	3.02 **	3.72**	0.95
2.	Days to first pistillate flower anthesis	3.06 **	6.45**	1.24
3.	Node number to first staminate flower appearance	0.27	2.82**	2.71
4.	Node number to first pistillate flower appearance	0.82 *	3.30**	1.70
5.	Length of pedicel of staminate flower	0.66 *	1.99**	1.47
6.	Length of pedicel of pistillate flower	0.33*	1.20**	1.62
7.	Days to first harvest	2.48*	5.63**	1.29
8.	Primary branches per plant	9.20**	14.72**	1.08
9.	Vine length	0.29*	1.06**	1.66
10.	Number of nodes per vine	6.95*	30.81**	1.80
11.	Internodal length	0.38	1.49**	1.70
12.	Harvest Duration	1.37	21.46**	3.38
13.	Peduncle length	0.24	5.29**	4.03
14.	Fruit length	12.98**	15.06**	0.92
15.	Average fruit circumference	0.76*	1.94**	1.36
16.	Average fruit weight	0.01	0.03**	1.75
17.	Number of fruits per plant	0.13	1.71**	3.15
18.	Fruit yield/ plant	0.25**	0.70**	1.42
19.	Total soluble solids	0.00	0.01**	3.66
20.	Reducing sugars	0.00	0.04**	2.47
21.	Non-reducing sugar	0.00	0.01 **	2.07
22.	Total sugars	0.00	0.01 **	2.87
23.	Dry matter	0.05**	0.11**	1.19

Table1Contd…

S.No	Characters	Predictability ratio	σ^2A	σ^2D
1.	Days to first staminate flower anthesis	0.62	12.09	14.88
2.	Days to first pistillate flower anthesis	0.49	12.27	25.82
3.	Node number to first staminate flower appearance	0.17	1.12	11.26
4.	Node number to first pistillate flower appearance	0.34	3.32	13.21
5.	Length of pedicel of staminate flower	0.42	2.67	7.95
6.	Length of pedicel of pistillate flower	0.36	1.33	4.79
7.	Days to first harvest	0.47	9.91	22.51
8.	Primary branches per plant	0.56	36.78	58.87
9.	Vine length	0.35	1.13	4.25
10.	Number of nodes per vine	0.31	27.79	123.22
11.	Internodal length	0.34	1.51	5.98
12.	Harvest Duration	0.11	5.48	85.85
13.	Peduncle length	0.08	0.95	21.16
14.	Fruit length	0.63	51.91	60.22
15.	Average fruit circumference	0.44	3.04	7.74
16.	Average fruit weight	0.32	0.03	0.12
17.	Number of fruits per plant	0.13	0.50	6.86
18.	Fruit yield/ plant	0.42	1.01	2.79
19.	Total soluble solids	0.10	0.00	0.00
20.	Reducing sugars	0.19	0.02	0.16
21.	Non-reducing sugar	0.26	0.01	0.06
22.	Total sugars	0.15	0.00	0.03
23.	Dry matter	0.51	0.22	0.43

4. CONCLUSION

The line× tester analysis is a simple and efficient method to study the components of variance and assess gene action involved. The higher magnitude of sca(**σ^2** s) variances than variance due to gca (**σ^2**g) indicates the preponderance of non-additive gene action. The average degree of dominance values greater than 1 imply over dominance effects and values closer to 1 and 1 imply complete dominance. If the predictability ratio is high and close to 1, it suggests that additive gene action plays a significant role in expression of such traits. On other hand, if the predictability ratio is low and close to 0, it suggests dominance gene action is pronounced. The value of dominance genetic variances (σ^2 D) greater than additive genetic variance (σ^2 A) indicated the dominance gene action.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Beevy SS, Kuriachan P. Chromosome numbers of south Indian Cucurbitaceae and a note on the cytological evolution in the family. J Cytol Genet. 1996;31:65-71.
2. Morimoto Y, Mvere B. *Lagenaria siceraria*. Vegetables plant resources of tropical Africa. 2004;2:353-358.
3. Grubben GJH, Denton OA. Backhuys Publishers/CTA, Wageningen/Leiden. 2004;353-358.
4. Heiser CB. The gourd book: A thorough and fascinating account of gourds from throughout the world. Norman, OK: University of Oklahoma Press; 1979.
5. Kobiakova JA. The bottle gourd. Bull. Appl. Bot. Genet. Plant Breed.1930;23:475-520.
6. Lee JM: Cultivation of grafted vegetables I. Current status, grafting methods and benefits. Hort. Sci. 1994;29:235-239.
7. Yetisir H, Sari N: Effect of different rootstock on plant growth, yield and quality of watermelon. Aust. J. Exp. Agri. 2003;43:1269-1274.
DOI: 10.1071/EA02095
8. Baker RJ. Issues in diallel analysis. Crop Science.1978;18:533–536.
DOI:10.2135/cropsci1978.0011183X00180 0040001
9. Falconer DS. Introduction to quantitative genetics (3rd ed.). New York: Long-man, Scientific and Technical Group Ltd, Wiley; 1989.

10. Dubey SK, Maurya IB. Studies on combining ability in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.). The Orissa J. Hort. 2006;34(1):81-85.
11. Griffing B. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Aust. J. biol. Sci. 1956a;9(4):463-493.
12. Doloi N, Patel JN, Acharya RR. Heterosis studies in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl]. Vegetos. 2018;31:1-3.
13. Usha Rani K, Reddy EN. Variability and correlation studies in bottle gourd. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2017;5(2):723-731.
14. Kumar AGC, Yadav V, Pandey, Patel MS. Studies on combining ability for yield and its related traits in bottle gourd *(Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl*.).* Annals Agri. Bio. Res. 2014;19(1):140-143.
15. Ray PK, Yadav GC, Baranwal DK, Singh HK. Genetic estimates and gene action for obtaining promising heterotic hybrids in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.]. The Bioscan. 2015;10(2):801-806.
16. Adarsh A, Kumar R, Kumar A, Chaurasiya J, Singh HK, Roy C. Combining ability analysis in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.] for earliness and fruit yield. Green Farming Int. J. 2015;6(5):988-990.
17. Janaranjani KG, Kanthaswamy V, Kumar SR. Heterosis, combining ability, and character association in bottle gourd for yield attributes. Int. J. Veg. Sci. 2016;22(5):490-515.
18. Maurya PK, Yadav GC, Tyagi N. Gene action for yield and quality traits in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. Intl. J. Chem. Studies. 2020;8(6):2891-2894.
19. Mahawar AK, Soni AK, LN Bairwa SK. Combining ability analysis in lagenaria siceraria for growth and yield attributes; 2021.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112704