



Nutrients and Leaf Plucking Effect on Growth and Yield of Cabbage

**Anannya Parvin¹, Tahmina Mostarin¹, Khaleda Khatun¹, Md. Ehsanul Haq²,
Faiza Akhter¹, Shila Akhter¹ and Montasir Ahmed^{3*}**

¹Department of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

³Plant Pathology Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors TM and KK planned the experiment and lead the research. Authors TM, KK and AP designed and carried out the research. Authors MEH and MA performed the statistical analysis. Author AP carried out the research on field. Authors AP, FA and SA collected the data. Authors AP, MA and MEH wrote the manuscript. Authors AP, FA and SA managed the literature searches. All authors provided critical feedback and helped in shape the research, analysis and manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAHR/2018/45484

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Magdalena Valsikova, Professor, Department of Vegetables Production, Faculty of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Slovak University of Agriculture (SUA), Nitra, Slovakia.

Reviewers:

(1) Kyi Moe, Yezin Agricultural University, Myanmar.

(2) Sayed Hussain, Abdul Wali Khan Unibversity Mardan (AWKUM), Pakistan.

Complete Peer review History: <http://prh.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/27829>

Original Research Article

Received 17 September 2018

Accepted 01 December 2018

Published 18 December 2018

ABSTRACT

The experiment was carried out at the Horticulture Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during September 2016 to December 2016 to find out the growth, yield and economic benefit of cabbage as influenced by nutrients and leaf plucking. The research comprises of two factors: Factor A: NPK nutrients (four levels) as- N_0 = control, $N_1 = N_{120}P_{30}K_{100}kg\ ha^{-1}$, $N_2 = N_{140}P_{40}K_{120}kg\ ha^{-1}$, $N_3 = N_{160}P_{50}K_{140}kg\ ha^{-1}$ and Factor B: leaf plucking (three levels) as- L_0 = No leaf plucked, L_1 = 4-leaves plucked and L_2 = 6-leaves plucked. The experiment was set up in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. In case of nutrients, the highest gross yield ($90.53\ t\ ha^{-1}$) and marketable yield ($68.95\ t\ ha^{-1}$) were obtained from N_3 , while the lowest gross yield ($60.26\ t\ ha^{-1}$) and marketable yield ($44.24\ t\ ha^{-1}$) from N_0 . For dissimilar levels of leaf plucking, the highest gross yield ($80.64\ t\ ha^{-1}$) and marketable yield ($62.08\ t\ ha^{-1}$) were

*Corresponding author: Email: ahmed.montasir@gmail.com;

recorded from L₁, whereas the lowest gross yield (74.13 t ha⁻¹) and marketable yield (56.96 t ha⁻¹) from L₀. Due to combined effect, the highest gross yield (94.38 t ha⁻¹) and marketable yield (71.91 t ha⁻¹) were recorded from N₃L₁, whereas the lowest gross yield (58.75 t ha⁻¹) and marketable yield (41.15 t ha⁻¹) from N₀L₀. From the economic point of view, the highest benefit cost ratio (BCR) was 2.35 noted from N₃L₁ and the lowest (1.63) from N₀L₀. It is evident that the N₃L₁ gave the best performance for the growth, yield and economic benefit of cabbage. So, N₁₄₀ P₅₀ K₁₂₀ kg ha⁻¹ with 4-leaves plucked may be considered as an optimum dose for cabbage production.

Keywords: Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR); cabbage; leaf plucking; NPK nutrients; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) is one of the most significant and nutritious winter leafy vegetables which belongs to the family Cruciferae. It is a biennial crop that is grown as an annual, unless it is grown for seed production [1,2]. It can grow simply under wide range of environmental condition in all temperate, tropical and sub-tropical regions, but cool moist climate is most suitable [3]. The origin of cabbage is the Western Europe and North shores of the Mediterranean Sea [4]. The edible portion of cabbage plant is head which is formed by the fleshy leaves overlapping one another. It has been reported that 100 g of green edible portion of cabbage contains 92% water, 24 kilocalories of food energy, 1.5 g of protein, 4.8 g of carbohydrate, 40 mg of calcium, 0.6 mg of iron, 600 IU of carotene, 0.05 mg of riboflavin, 0.3 mg of niacin and 60 mg of vitamin C [5]. It has been documented as a very necessary vegetable to the farmers in providing income and nutrition worldwide [6]. Cultivation of cabbage is mainly done in winter season in Bangladesh. It is cultivated in 16.6 thousand hectares with a production of 220 thousand metric tons and the average yield is about 9 t ha⁻¹ [7]. Now, India is the second largest manufacturer of cabbage in the world, next to China, accounting for 16.55 per cent of the world area and 12.79 per cent of the world production [8]. Cabbage can play a critical role in elevating the nutritional status of Bangladesh, as it is rich in vitamins and minerals such as ascorbic acid, contains appreciable quantities of thiamin, riboflavin, calcium and iron [9]. Among the vegetables, it covers about 5% production under vegetable crops in Bangladesh [10]. However, low yield in Bangladesh may be attributed to a number of reasons viz. lack of quality seeds, nutrients unavailability, disease and insect infestation, improper or limited irrigation facilities etc.

The demand of cabbage as leafy vegetable is plentiful but the productivity of cabbage per unit

area is quite low in Bangladesh due to excessive use of nutrients. Use of imbalanced nutrients in the soils may be harmful and causing our agricultural soil degraded and unproductive [11]. Nutrients may be applied through two sources viz., organic and inorganic sources. It enhances plant growth by providing amendments to the soil via various nutrients ultimately obtaining higher yield of cabbage. It is compulsory to ensure availability of crucial nutrient components for getting higher production and quality yield in any crop [12]. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium have profound effect on crop productivity and quality. Nitrogen is an essential plant nutrient, which is involved in physiological processes and enzyme activity [13,14]. It plays a significant role in the building up of protoplasm and protein which induce cell division and initiate meristematic activities of plant when applied in optimum quantity. On the other hand, shortage of nitrogen during early growth may lead to the condition known as "buttoning" in Chinese cabbage when plant becomes stunted with reduce leaf development [15]. Phosphorus is concerned in energy transfer and nutrient movement within the plant. Adequate availability of phosphorus stimulates root development, increases stalk and stem strength and improves flower formation, fruiting and seed production. It also enhances uniform and early crop maturity, increases the nitrogen fixing ability of legumes, improves crop quality and increases resistance to plant diseases [16,17,18]. Potassium also increases better yield and improves quality during translocation of carbohydrate within crops. It exerts balancing role on the effects of both nitrogen and phosphorus, consequently it is especially important in multi-nutrient fertilizer application [19].

Leaf plucking in cabbage is an important factor for higher growth and yield of cabbage production. It means the removal of unfolded leaves or basal leaves without affecting the source-sink balance for proper head development. It is recommended that the

successful cabbage production is possible by the application of basal 4-leaves plucking [20]. The plucked leaves may be positive either as vegetables or fodder as increased total biomass production. As cabbage heading begins, leaves become broader and sessile, and more erect in their posture [21]. After the formation and development of cabbage heads, the basal leaves are occasionally harbor of pathogen and insect which is normally induced decay before the time of harvest. Older or unfolded leaves are also competitive in nutrients requirement with younger leaves in cabbage head. Those impacts on slowly head development and maturation or sometimes cabbage head also indiscriminately infested with insect or fungal infection. The assemblage of layers of leaves over the growing point requires the maintenance of a short stem during the heading period [22]. But the possibility of leaf plucking of cabbage has not been explored earlier in Bangladesh. The present exploration was undertaken to evaluate the performance of nutrients and leaf plucking on growth, yield and economic return of cabbage.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Research Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University (SAU), Dhaka, Bangladesh during September 2016 to December 2016. Experimental site situated an elevation of 8 meters above the sea level in Agro-ecological zone of "Madhupur Tract" (AEZ-28) [23]. The soil was sandy loam and medium high land in texture having pH 5.46-5.62.

2.2 Experiment Frame Work

The research was consisted of two factors: Factor A: NPK nutrients (four levels) as- N_0 = control, $N_1 = N_{120} P_{30} K_{100} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$, $N_2 = N_{140} P_{40}$

$K_{120} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$, $N_3 = N_{160} P_{50} K_{140} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and Factor B: leaf plucking (three levels) as- L_0 = No leaf plucked, L_1 = 4-leaves plucked and L_2 = 6-leaves plucked. The two factors experiment was laid out following Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The experiment was divided into three equal blocks where each block was divided into 12 plots. Then 12 treatment combinations were allotted at randomly in each block. The size of the each unit plot was 1.8 m × 1.6 m. The distance maintained between two blocks and two plots were 0.5 m and 0.5 m, respectively. The seedlings were transplanted with maintaining distance row to row 60 cm and plant to plant 40 cm.

2.3 Application of manure and ferti+lizers

About 10 t ha⁻¹ well decomposed cow dung was applied only control (as No treatment) plot and incorporated adequately to the soil during final land preparation whereas others plot were applied with inorganic fertiliser as per treatment. Doses of inorganic fertilisers (Urea, Triple super phosphate and Muriate of potash) were applied in the experimental plot according to the treatments (Table 1). The whole amount of TSP and half amount of MoP were also applied as basal dose before seedlings transplanting in the main field. 1st top dressing of urea was applied when seedlings established in the main field about 10days after seedling transplanting. 2nd top dressing of urea and rest amount of MoP was applied about 25days after 1st top dressing. Then rest amount of urea was applied as 3rd installment about 40 days after transplanting. Each top dressing was followed by manual irrigation.

2.4 Application of Leaf Plucking

Leaf plucking was stunted only when the head grew 12-15cm in diameter. Initially, two bottom leaves were plucked normally which was followed by further leaf plucking as per treatment. Older and unfolded leaves were plucked at

Table 1. Doses of nutrients application in the main field as per treatment

Treatments	Available nutrients (kg ha ⁻¹)			Fertilizers (kg ha ⁻¹)			Doses (g plot ⁻¹)		
	N	P	K	Urea	TSP	MoP	Urea	TSP	MoP
N ₁	120	30	100	260.87	150	200	75.13	43.2	57.6
N ₂	140	40	120	304.35	200	240	87.65	57.6	70.0
N ₃	160	50	140	347.83	250	280	100.00	72.0	80.6

30DAT and 40DAT as per treatment of leaf plucking. The plucked leaves may be useful either as vegetables or fodder as increased total biomass production.

2.5 Cost analysis of Cabbage Production

The cost of production was analysed in order to find out the most economic treatment in respect of NPK nutrients as chemical fertilisers and labour requirement for leaf plucking. All input cost, cost of land and running capital were considered for computing cost of production. The cost and return analysis was done in details according to the procedure of Alam et al. [12]. The benefit cost ratio (BCR) was calculated as follows:

BCR = Gross return per hectare (Tk.) ÷ Cost of production per hectare (Tk.)

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained for different parameters were statistically analysed by MSTAT-C computer package. The significance of the difference among the treatment combinations means was compared by LSD test at 5% level of probability.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height (cm)

Considerable variation was found among the different levels of nutrients in respect of plant height of cabbage (Table 2). At 40 DAT and 50 DAT, the tallest plant (34.42cm and 39.86cm, respectively) was recorded from N₃ while the shortest plant (25.76cm and 30.48cm, respectively) from N₀. At harvest, the tallest plant (45.68cm) was observed in N₃ while the shortest plant (34.95cm) was in N₀. Tekasangla et al. [24], Mankar et al. [25], Kumar et al. [26] and Farooque and Mondal [27] also noticed the related findings of the present study. At 50 DAT, the tallest plant (37.79cm) was observed in L₂ while the shortest plant (33.20cm) was in L₀ (Table 3). At harvest, the tallest plant (43.50cm) was in L₂ while the shortest plant (37.06cm) was in L₀ (Table 3).

The interaction between nutrients and leaf plucking treatments affects significantly on plant height (Table 4). At 40 DAT, the tallest plant (34.67cm) was obtained from N₃L₂ which was statistically identical to N₃L₁ while the shortest plant (25.53cm) was in N₀L₀. At 50 DAT, the tallest plant (41.70cm) was observed in N₃L₂

while the shortest plant (27.90cm) was in N₀L₀. At harvest, the tallest plant (48.47cm) was obtained from N₃L₂ whereas the shortest plant (31.60 cm) was in N₀L₀.

3.2 Number of Loose Leaves Plant⁻¹

At 40, 50 DAT, the maximum number of loose leaves plant⁻¹ (11.87 and 14.00, respectively) was recorded from N₃ while the lowest (9.20 and 11.20 respectively) was in N₀ (Table 2). At harvest, the maximum (15.74) was recorded from N₃ while the minimum (12.68) was in N₀. At 40 DAT and 50 DAT, the maximum (11.16 and 13.26, respectively) was observed in L₁ while the minimum (10.05 and 11.93) was in L₀ (Table 3). At harvest, the maximum (14.93) was recorded from L₁ while the minimum (13.48) was in L₀.

Different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant differences due to their interaction effect on the number of loose leaves per plant of cabbage at 40, 50 DAT and at harvest. At 40 DAT, the maximum (12.36) was recorded from N₃L₁ while the minimum (8.76) was in N₀L₀ (Table 4). At 50 DAT, the maximum (14.93) was counted in N₃L₁ whereas the minimum (10.43) was in N₀L₀. At harvest, the maximum (17.03) was obtained from N₃L₁ while the minimum (12.07) was in N₀L₀.

3.3 Days Required to Head Maturity

The maximum days required to head maturity (65.29) was obtained from N₃ while the minimum (60.32) was in N₀ at harvest (Table 2). Different levels of leaf plucking showed a significant variation on days required to head maturity. The maximum days required to head maturity (63.50) was observed in L₁ while the minimum (61.92cm) was in L₀ at harvest (Table 3). The combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking gives the maximum (66.40) days required to head maturity was recorded from N₃L₂ which was statistically similar to N₃L₁, while N₀L₀ showed the minimum (59.25) days required to head maturity (Table 4).

3.4 Diameter of Stem (cm)

The maximum diameter of stem of cabbage (3.57cm) was observed in N₃ while the minimum (2.56cm) was in N₀ (Table 5). Different levels of leaf plucking showed significant influence on diameter of stem of cabbage. The maximum (3.47cm) was observed in L₁ whereas the minimum (2.93cm) was in L₀ (Table 6). The combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking

showed a significant effect on diameter of stem of cabbage. The maximum diameter of stem (3.86cm) was recorded from N₃L₁ while N₀L₀ gave the minimum (2.36cm) diameter of stem (Table 7).

3.5 Diameter of Head (cm)

The highest diameter of head (20.38cm) was recorded from N₃ while the lowest (16.60cm) was in N₀ (Table 5). Similar findings on diameter of head are reported by Hossain et al. [11], Mankar et al. [25] and Naher et al. [28]. The highest (19.05cm) was observed in L₁ whereas the lowest (18.13cm) was recorded from L₀ (Table 6). Combined effect of different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on diameter of head of cabbage (Table 7). The highest diameter of head (20.93cm) was observed in N₃L₁ while the lowest (15.98cm) was in N₀L₀.

3.6 Head Height (cm)

The maximum head height of cabbage (14.17cm) was obtained from N₃ while the minimum (10.65cm) was recorded in N₀ (Table 5). Hossain et al. [11] and Singh et al. [29] reported that the

similar views on head height of cabbage of the present experiment. The maximum (13.05cm) was observed in L₁ while the minimum (11.96cm) was found in L₀ (Table 6). Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on head height of cabbage (Table 7). The maximum (14.73cm) was recorded from N₃L₁ which was statistically similar to N₃L₂ (14.40cm), while N₀L₀ gave the minimum (10.16cm).

3.7 Head Weight (kg)

The highest head weight of cabbage (1.92 kg) was recorded from N₃ whereas the lowest (1.20 kg) was in N₀ (Table 5). Similar findings of head weight were observed with Mankar et al. [25]. The results under the present experiment were also fairly supported by Hasan and Solaiman [30]. The highest (1.68 kg) was observed in L₁ while the lowest (1.44 kg) was in L₀ (Table 6). The findings obtained from the experiment were partially conformed to Begum [20]. Due to combined effect of different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking, N₃L₁ produced the highest head weight (2.08 kg) which was statistically similar to N₃L₁ while the lowest (1.09 kg) was in N₀L₀ (Table 7).

Table 2. Effect of nutrients on growth parameters at different growth stages of cabbage

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of loose leaves			Days required for head maturity
	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	
N ₀	25.76	30.48	34.95	9.20	11.20	12.68	60.32
N ₁	29.27	34.77	41.03	10.26	12.18	13.51	62.45
N ₂	32.45	37.95	42.42	11.21	12.94	14.43	63.75
N ₃	34.42	39.86	45.68	11.87	14.00	15.74	65.29
CV %	5.41	7.67	7.25	11.60	10.58	7.45	4.88
LSD (0.05)	1.91	1.87	2.82	0.64	0.83	0.69	1.47

Table 3. Effect of leaf plucking on growth parameters at different growth stages of cabbage

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of loose leaves			Days required for head maturity
	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	
L ₀	30.38	33.20	37.06	10.05	11.93	13.48	61.92
L ₁	30.65	36.30	42.50	11.16	13.26	14.93	63.50
L ₂	30.40	37.79	43.50	10.68	12.55	13.85	63.43
CV %	5.41	7.67	7.25	11.68	10.58	7.45	4.88
LSD (0.05)	NS	2.11	2.44	0.55	0.59	0.60	1.22

Table 4. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking on growth parameters at different stages of cabbage

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of loose leaves			Days required for head maturity
	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	
N ₀ L ₀	25.53	27.90	31.60	8.76	10.43	12.07	59.25
N ₀ L ₁	25.96	30.73	35.86	9.76	11.86	13.30	60.91
N ₀ L ₂	25.80	32.80	37.40	9.06	11.30	12.67	60.80
N ₁ L ₀	28.80	31.97	36.73	9.86	11.80	13.03	61.96
N ₁ L ₁	29.66	35.50	42.73	10.70	12.73	14.10	62.93
N ₁ L ₂	29.33	36.86	43.63	10.20	12.03	13.40	62.47
N ₂ L ₀	32.26	35.60	39.16	10.40	12.23	13.93	62.91
N ₂ L ₁	32.56	38.46	43.56	11.83	13.53	15.30	64.25
N ₂ L ₂	32.53	39.80	44.53	11.40	13.06	14.07	64.08
N ₃ L ₀	34.20	37.36	40.76	11.16	13.26	14.90	63.57
N ₃ L ₁	34.40	40.53	47.83	12.36	14.93	17.03	65.90
N ₃ L ₂	34.67	41.70	48.47	12.06	13.80	15.30	66.40
CV %	5.41	7.67	7.25	11.68	10.58	7.45	4.88
LSD (0.05)	3.44	2.23	3.88	1.11	1.11	1.20	1.11



At 40 DAT



At 50 DAT

Fig. 1. Plots after leaf plucking

Table 5. Effect of nutrients on growth and yield contributing parameters at harvest stage

Treatments	Diameter of stem (cm)	Head characteristics				
		Diameter of head (cm)	Head height (cm)	Head weight (kg)	Head thickness (cm)	%Dry matter
N ₀	2.56	16.60	10.65	1.20	7.30	6.33
N ₁	3.17	18.24	12.26	1.46	9.34	6.76
N ₂	3.42	19.47	13.40	1.69	10.35	7.33
N ₃	3.57	20.38	14.17	1.92	10.75	8.02
CV %	8.13	9.56	5.39	6.31	8.87	10.93
LSD (0.05)	0.19	0.19	0.32	0.151	0.39	0.37

Table 6. Effect of leaf plucking on growth and yield contributing parameters at harvest stage

Treatments	Diameter of stem (cm)	Head characteristics				
		Diameter of head (cm)	Head height (cm)	Head weight (kg)	Head thickness (cm)	%Dry matter
L ₀	2.93	18.13	11.96	1.44	8.60	6.86
L ₁	3.47	19.05	13.05	1.68	9.89	7.28
L ₂	3.13	18.85	12.85	1.58	9.80	7.19
CV %	8.13	9.56	5.39	6.31	8.87	10.93
LSD (0.05)	0.16	0.17	0.28	0.081	0.34	0.32

Table 7. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking on growth and yield contributing parameters at harvest of cabbage

Treatments	Diameter of stem (cm)	Head characteristics				
		Diameter of head (cm)	Head height (cm)	Head weight (kg)	Head thickness (cm)	%Dry matter
N ₀ L ₀	2.36	15.98	10.16	1.09	6.80	6.13
N ₀ L ₁	2.80	16.91	10.96	1.26	7.63	6.53
N ₀ L ₂	2.50	16.92	10.83	1.24	7.46	6.33
N ₁ L ₀	2.96	17.63	11.73	1.32	8.43	6.43
N ₁ L ₁	3.40	18.59	12.86	1.56	9.83b	6.97
N ₁ L ₂	3.13	18.52	12.20	1.49	9.76b	6.90
N ₂ L ₀	3.06	18.94	12.56	1.56	9.23	7.00
N ₂ L ₁	3.80	19.77	13.66	1.80	10.96	7.53
N ₂ L ₂	3.40	19.72	13.96	1.71	10.86	7.47
N ₃ L ₀	3.33	19.96b	13.40	1.09	9.96	7.90
N ₃ L ₁	3.86	20.93	14.73	2.08	11.15	8.10
N ₃ L ₂	3.50	20.25	14.40	1.89	11.13	8.06
CV %	8.13	9.56	5.39	6.31	8.87	10.93
LSD (0.05)	0.33	0.34	0.56	0.138	0.69	0.69

3.8 Head Thickness (cm)

The highest head thickness (10.75cm) was found from N₃ while the lowest (7.30cm) Naher et al. [28] suggested that the similar results on head thickness of the present study (Table 5). The highest head thickness (9.89cm) was attained from L₁ whereas the lowest (8.60cm) was in L₀ (Table 6). Interaction effect of different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant differences on thickness of head of cabbage. The highest head thickness (11.15cm) was recorded from N₃L₁ which was statistically identical to N₃L₂ (11.13cm) while the lowest (6.80 cm) was found from N₀L₀ (Table 7).

3.9 Dry Matter Content of Head (%)

The maximum dry matter content (8.02%) was observed from N₃ while the minimum (6.33 %) was in N₀ (Table 5). The maximum dry matter content (7.28 %) was observed in L₁ which was statistically similar to L₂ (7.19 %) whereas the minimum (6.86 %) was found from L₀ (Table 6).

Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on % dry matter content of head. The maximum dry matter content (8.10 %) was recorded from N₃L₁ which was statistically identical to N₃L₂ (8.10 %) while the minimum (6.13 %) was in N₀L₀ (Table 7).

3.10 Weight of Whole Plant (kg)

Different levels of nutrients showed significant effect on weight of whole plant of cabbage under the present study (Table 8). The highest (2.46 kg) was obtained from N₃ while the lowest (1.39 kg) was in N₀. This result of the present study was partially supported by Mankar et al. [25] and Bojokalfa et al. [31]. The highest (2.09 kg) was recorded from L₁ whereas the lowest (1.88 kg) was in L₀ (Table 9). Combined effect of different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant variation on weight of whole plant of cabbage (Table 10). The highest (2.60 kg) was observed from N₃L₁ which was statistically similar to N₃L₂ while the lowest (1.30 kg) was in N₀L₀.

3.11 Gross Yield (t ha⁻¹)

The highest gross yield (90.53 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from N₃ while the lowest (60.26 t ha⁻¹) was in N₀ (Table 8). Jothi et al. [32] and Rahman

[33] stated same views of the present study. It is evident that the highest gross yield (80.64 t ha⁻¹) was observed in L₁ while the lowest (74.13 t ha⁻¹) was in L₀ (Table 9). Begum [20] observed that 4-leaves plucking of cabbage was performed the

Table 8. Effect of nutrients on yield parameters at harvest stage of cabbage

Treatments	Weight of whole plant (kg plant ⁻¹)	Gross yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Marketable yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Economic production (kg plant ⁻¹)
N ₀	1.39	60.26	44.24	1.34
N ₁	1.91	75.66	60.79	1.52
N ₂	2.20	83.07	64.35	1.74
N ₃	2.46	90.53	68.95	1.90
CV %	9.34	9.63	10.27	11.43
LSD (0.05)	0.141	3.56	3.79	0.116

Table 9. Effect of leaf plucking on yield parameters at harvest stage of cabbage

Treatments	Weight of whole plant (kg plant ⁻¹)	Gross yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Marketable yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Economic production (kg plant ⁻¹)
L ₀	1.88c	74.13 c	56.96c	1.53c
L ₁	2.09a	80.64 a	62.08a	1.72a
L ₂	2.01b	77.36 b	59.71b	1.62b
CV %	9.34	9.63	10.27	11.43
LSD (0.05)	0.072	3.08	2.18	0.082



Fig. 2. Some pictures of cabbage head as per treatment at harvest

Table 10. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking on yield parameters at harvest stage

Treatments	Weight of whole plant (kg plant ⁻¹)	Gross yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Marketable yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Economic production (kg plant ⁻¹)
N ₀ L ₀	1.30	58.75	41.15	1.25
N ₀ L ₁	1.43	62.43	46.98	1.42
N ₀ L ₂	1.44	60.07	44.58	1.35
N ₁ L ₀	1.76	71.32	58.78	1.42
N ₁ L ₁	2.02	79.44	63.06	1.63
N ₁ L ₂	1.96	76.18	60.49	1.50
N ₂ L ₀	2.08	79.27	62.33	1.66
N ₂ L ₁	2.31	86.77	66.32	1.84
N ₂ L ₂	2.21	83.16	64.76	1.73
N ₃ L ₀	2.36	87.15	65.90	1.80
N ₃ L ₁	2.60	94.38	71.91	2.00
N ₃ L ₂	2.42	90.03	68.99	1.90
CV %	9.34	9.63	10.27	11.43
LSD (0.05)	0.049	6.16	6.57	0.038

highest gross yield which is similar to this result of the present study. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking had significant effect on gross yield of cabbage. The highest gross yield (94.38 t ha⁻¹) was observed in N₃L₁ (94.38 t ha⁻¹) while the lowest (58.75 t ha⁻¹) was in N₀L₀ (Table 10).

3.12 Marketable Yield (t ha⁻¹)

The highest marketable yield (68.95 t ha⁻¹) was observed in N₃ while the lowest (44.24 t ha⁻¹) was in N₀ (Table 8). The results of the present study were partially supported by Singh [29]. The highest (62.08 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from L₁ while the lowest (56.96 t ha⁻¹) was in L₀ (Table 9). The highest (71.91 t ha⁻¹) was observed in N₃L₁ while the lowest (41.15 t ha⁻¹) was in N₀L₀ (Table 10).

3.13 Economic Production (kg plant⁻¹)

The highest economic production (1.90 kg plant⁻¹) was recorded from N₃ whereas the lowest (1.34 kg plant⁻¹) was in N₀ (Table 8). The findings of the present study are partially supported with Sharma [34]. The highest economic production (1.72 kg plant⁻¹) was observed in L₁ whereas the lowest (1.53 kg plant⁻¹) was in L₀ (Table 9). The combined effect of different levels of nutrients & leaf plucking had a significant effect on economic production of cabbage. The highest economic production (2.00 kg plant⁻¹) was observed in N₃L₁ which was statistically similar to N₃L₂, while the lowest (1.25 kg plant⁻¹) was in N₀L₀ (Table 10).

4. CONCLUSION

Both crop yield and economic benefit of crop are important for the crop production. Leaf plucking represents higher yield in cabbage plant than without no leaf plucking. According to the results of the present experiment, it may be concluded that efficient production of cabbage is increased by the application of nutrients and leaf plucking. Thus, the combined application of nutrients and leaf plucking may be helpful for higher and better qualitative cabbage production in considering crop productivity and economic return of cabbage. On the basis of benefit cost ratio, it may be suggested that N₁₆₀ P₅₀ K₁₄₀ kg ha⁻¹ nutrients with 4-leaves plucked gave maximum and profitable yield of the cabbage head.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ryder EJ. Leafy salad vegetables. AVI publishing company. Inc., Westport, Connecticut; 1979.
- Pierce LC. Vegetables; characteristics, production and marketing. John Wiley and sons. Toronto, Canada; 1987.
- Kibar B, Karaağaço, Hayati K. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Yield and

- Yield Components in Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) Acta Sci. Pol. 2014;13(6):87-97.
4. Chauhan OVS. Vegetable production in India. Ram Prasad and sons, India; 1986.
 5. Rashid MM. Sobji Bijjan. Bangla Academy, Dhaka, Bangladesh; 1993. Bangla.
 6. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nations. 2007. Available:<http://faostat.fao.org>.
 7. BBS. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics of plan10. Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics of Plan., Govt. of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka; 2010.
 8. NHB. Final Area and Production Estimates for Horticulture Crops for 2013-2014. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, India; 2015.
 9. Thompson HC, Kelly WC. Vegetable crops. 5th edition, New York, Toronto, London: McGraw Hill Book Co.; 1985.
 10. BBS. Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning, Govt. of the people's republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka; 2015.
 11. Hossain D, Abuyusuf MAHM, Riad MM, Hussain Al. Response of cabbage to different levels of fertilizer application in salna silty clay loam soil. Bangladesh Res. Publication J. 2011;6(2): 155-166.
 12. Alam MS, Iqbal TMT, Amin M, Gaffer MA. Krishita tikfo sholer utp adonunnayan. Sirajgonj, Bangladesh; 1989. Bangla.
 13. Kodithuwakku DP, Kirthisinghe JP. The effect of different rates of nitrogen fertilizer on the growth, yield and postharvest life of cauliflower. Tropical Agric. Res. and Exten. 2009;21(1):110-114.
 14. Neethu MT, Tripathi SM, Narwad AV, Sreeganesh S. Effect of N and P levels on growth and yield parameters of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) under South Gujarat soil conditions. Int. J. Trop. Agric. 2015;33(2):913-917.
 15. Tindall HD. Vegetable in the Tropics. Macmillan Education Ltd. Houndmills. Basingstoke Hampshiirc. RhRI 2 * S and London. Cited from Hort. Abstr. 1983;50(6):3791-1984.
 16. Mitchell RL. Crop growth and culture. Ames, USA: Iowa State University Press; 1970.
 17. Plaster EJ. Soil science and management. New York, USA: Delmar Publishers Inc.; 1985.
 18. Plaster EJ. Soil science and management. 4thed. New York, USA: Thomson Delmar Learning; 2003.
 19. Bardy NC. The Nature and properties of Soils. 10th edition, New York, USA: McMillan Publishing Company; 1990.
 20. Begum HA. Effect of manuring and leaf plucking on growth and yield of cabbage. MS thesis, Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh; 2005.
 21. Kato T, Sooen A. Physiological studies of head formation on cabbage. J. Jap. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1978;48(4):426-434.
 22. North C. Studies in morphogenesis of *Brassica oleracea* L. Growth and development of cabbage during the vegetative phase. J. Exp. Bot. 1957;8:304-312.
 23. UNDP. Land resource appraisal of Bangladesh for agricultural development report 2: Agro-ecological Regions of Bangladesh, FAO, Rome, Italy; 1988.
 24. Tekasangla SP, Kanaujla, Singh PK. Integrated nutrient management for quality production of cauliflower in acid alfisol of Nagaland. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2015;28(2):244-247.
 25. Mankar A, Kumari C, Karuna K. Effect of nitrogen levels and microbial inoculants on growth, yield and quality of cabbage. Prog. Hort. 2015;47(2):296-299.
 26. Kumar S, Singh JP, Rajbeer RN, Mohan B, Kaushik H, Kumar D. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceavar. botrytis* L.) Int. J. Agric. Sci. 2013;9(2):747-749.
 27. Farooque AM, Mondal F. Effect of spacing and levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of cabbage. Bangladesh Hort. 1987;15(2):1-6.
 28. Naher MNA, Alam MN, Jahan N. Effect of nutrient management on the growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceavar. capitata* L.) in calcareous soils of bangladesh. The Agriculturists. 2014; 12(2): 24-33.
 29. Singh KM, Chand T, Kumar M, Singh KV, Lodhi SK, Singh VP, Sirohi SV. Response of different doses of NPK and boron on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*). IJBSM. 2015;6 (1): 108-112.
 30. Hasan MR, Solaiman AHM. Efficacy of organic and organic fertilizer on the growth

- of *Brassica oleracea* L. (Cabbage). Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci. 2012;4(3):128-138.
31. Bojokalfa MK, Kavak S, Ugur A, Yagmur B. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application on yield and quality characteristics in savoy cabbage (*Brassica Oleracea* var. *sabudab* L.) arkey Ege/Universiteesi. 2003;40(1):17-24.
 32. Jothi LJ, Papiah CM, Ryagopalati R. Influence of NPK and *Azospirillum* on the yield of cabbage. South Indian Hort. 1993;41(5):270-272.
 33. Rahman MM. Effects of cowdung and NPK fertilizers on growth and yield of cabbage. MS thesis, Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202; 2005.
 34. Sharma V. Effect of nutrient management on growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*) inside low cost polyhouse. Himachal J. Agric. Res. 2016;42(1):88-92.

© 2018 Parvin et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://prh.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/27829>